doi:10.13108/2019-11-1-27

SOLVABILITY OF CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR A SYSTEM OF FIRST ORDER QUASILINEAR EQUATIONS WITH RIGHT-HAND SIDES $f_1 = a_2u(t, x) + b_2(t)v(t, x), \quad f_2 = g_2v(t, x)$

M.V. DONTSOVA

Abstract. We consider a Cauchy problem for a system of two first order quasilinear differential equations with right-hand sides $f_1 = a_2u(t, x) + b_2(t)v(t, x)$, $f_2 = g_2v(t, x)$. We study the solvability of the Cauchy problem on the base of an additional argument method. We obtain the sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a local solution to the Cauchy problem in terms of the original coordinates coordinates for a system of two first order quasilinear differential equations with right-hand sides $f_1 = a_2u(t, x) + b_2(t)v(t, x)$, $f_2 = g_2v(t, x)$, under which the solution has the same smoothness in x as the initial functions in the Cauchy problem does. A theorem on the local existence and uniqueness of a solution to the Cauchy problem is formulated and proved.

The theorem on the local existence and uniqueness of a solution to the Cauchy problem for a system of two first order quasilinear differential equations with right-hand sides $f_1 = a_2u(t,x) + b_2(t)v(t,x)$, $f_2 = g_2v(t,x)$ is proved by the additional argument method. We obtain the sufficient conditions of the existence and uniqueness of a nonlocal solution to the Cauchy problem in terms of the initial coordinates for a system of two first order quasilinear differential equations with right-hand sides $f_1 = a_2u(t,x) + b_2(t)v(t,x)$, $f_2 = g_2v(t,x)$. A theorem on the nonlocal existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem is formulated and proved. The proof of the nonlocal solvability of the Cauchy problem for a system of two quasilinear first order partial differential equations with right-hand sides $f_1 = a_2u(t,x) + b_2(t)v(t,x)$, $f_2 = g_2v(t,x)$ is based on global estimates.

Keywords: first order partial differential equations, Cauchy problem, additional argument method, global estimates.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35F50, 35F55, 35A01, 35A02, 35A05

1. INTRODUCTION

In work [1], by means of an additional argument method, there were found the conditions of nonlocal solvability of the Cauchy problem for the system of form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) + (au(t,x) + bv(t,x))\partial_x u(t,x) = 0, \\ \partial_t v(t,x) + (cu(t,x) + gv(t,x))\partial_x v(t,x) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where u(t, x), v(t, x) are unknown functions, a, c, b, g are known positive constants, $(t, x) \in \Omega_T$, where

$$\Omega_T = \{(t, x) \mid 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T, x \in (-\infty, +\infty), T > 0\}$$

subject to the initial conditions:

$$u(0,x) = \varphi_1(x), \qquad v(0,x) = \varphi_2(x),$$
(2)

©Dontsova M.V. 2019.

M.V. Dontsova, Solvability of Cauchy problem for a system of first order quasilinear equations with right-hand sides $f_1 = a_2 u(t, x) + b_2(t)v(t, x)$, $f_2 = g_2 v(t, x)$.

The reported study was funded by RFBR according to the research project no. 18-31-00125 mol_a. Submitted May 10, 2018.

and $\varphi_1(x)$, $\varphi_2(x)$ are given functions.

In work [2], again by means of the additional argument method there were established the conditions for nonlocal solvability of the Cauchy problem for the system of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) + (a_1 u(t,x) + b_1 v(t,x)) \partial_x u(t,x) = a_2 u(t,x) + b_2 v(t,x), \\ \partial_t v(t,x) + (c_1 u(t,x) + g_1 v(t,x)) \partial_x v(t,x) = g_2 v(t,x), \end{cases}$$
(3)

where u(t, x), v(t, x) are unknown functions, a_1 , c_1 , g_1 , b_i , i = 1, 2, are given positive constants, a_2 , g_2 are given constants, $(t, x) \in \Omega_T$, subject to initial conditions (2).

We consider the system of form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) + (a_1(t)u(t,x) + b_1(t)v(t,x))\partial_x u(t,x) = a_2 u(t,x) + b_2(t)v(t,x), \\ \partial_t v(t,x) + (c_1(t)u(t,x) + g_1(t)v(t,x))\partial_x v(t,x) = g_2 v(t,x), \end{cases}$$
(4)

where u(t, x), v(t, x) are unknown functions, a_2 , g_2 are given constants, $a_1(t)$, $b_1(t)$, $b_2(t)$, $c_1(t)$, $g_1(t)$ are given functions. We suppose that $a_1(t) > 0$, $b_1(t) > 0$, $b_2(t) > 0$, $c_1(t) > 0$, $g_1(t) > 0$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Various methods were employed for studying systems of first order quasilinear equations. In [3], the solvability of a system of first order quasilinear equations was analysed on the base of a classical method of characteristics.

In the framework of the classical method of characteristics, the study is reduced to a nonlinear system of integral equations involving a superposition of unknown functions. Once the solution is found in terms of characteristic variables, to find a solution to the original problem, one has to pass from the characteristic variables to (t, x). In many cases, the latter problem turns out to be so complicated that it is not solved and instead, the possibility of the inverse variables transform is introduced as a condition [3].

In work [4], by means of the additional argument method there were found local solvability conditions of Cauchy problem in terms of original coordinates for a system of two quasilinear equations, under which the solution possesses a lower smoothness than the initial functions $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)$, the boundaries of the solvability interval were given.

In the present work, we study system (4) on Ω_T subject to initial condition (2) and we establish sufficient conditions of existence and uniqueness of local solution to this Cauchy problem in original coordinates ensuring the same smoothness in x for the solution as of initial functions. We also provide sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of nonlocal Cauchy problem in original coordinates; namely, for a given finite segment $t \in [0, T]$. The results are formulated as corresponding theorems on local and nonlocal unique solvability of the Cauchy problem.

According the additional argument method, for problem (4), (2) we write an extended characteristic system [2], [5]–[9]:

$$\frac{d\eta_1(s,t,x)}{ds} = a_1(s)u(s,\eta_1(s,t,x)) + b_1(s)v(s,\eta_1(s,t,x)),\tag{5}$$

$$\frac{d\eta_2(s,t,x)}{ds} = c_1(s)u(s,\eta_2(s,t,x)) + g_1(s)v(s,\eta_2(s,t,x)),\tag{6}$$

$$\frac{du(s,\eta_1(s,t,x))}{ds} = a_2 u(s,\eta_1(s,t,x)) + b_2(s)v(s,\eta_1(s,t,x)),\tag{7}$$

$$\frac{dv(s,\eta_2(s,t,x))}{ds} = g_2 v(s,\eta_2(s,t,x)),$$
(8)

$$\eta_1(t, t, x) = x, \qquad \eta_2(t, t, x) = x,$$
(9)

$$u(0,\eta_1(0,t,x)) = \varphi_1(\eta_1(0,t,x)), \qquad v(0,\eta_2(0,t,x)) = \varphi_2(\eta_2(0,t,x)). \tag{10}$$

We introduce new unknown functions:

$$\begin{aligned} &w_1(s,t,x) = u(s,\eta_1(s,t,x)), & w_2(s,t,x) = v(s,\eta_2(s,t,x)), \\ &w_3(s,t,x) = v(s,\eta_1(s,t,x)), & w_4(s,t,x) = u(s,\eta_2(s,t,x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the characteristic system becomes

$$\frac{d\eta_1(s,t,x)}{ds} = a_1(s)w_1(s,t,x) + b_1(s)w_3(s,t,x),$$

$$(11)$$

$$\frac{d\eta_2(s,t,x)}{ds} = c_1(s)w_4(s,t,x) + g_1(s)w_2(s,t,x),$$
(12)

$$\frac{dw_1(s,t,x)}{ds} = a_2 w_1(s,t,x) + b_2(s) w_3(s,t,x),$$
(13)

$$\frac{dw_2(s,t,x)}{ds} = g_2 w_2(s,t,x),$$
(14)

$$w_3(s,t,x) = w_2(s,s,\eta_1), \qquad w_4(s,t,x) = w_1(s,s,\eta_2), \tag{15}$$

$$\eta_1(t, t, x) = x,$$
 $\eta_2(t, t, x) = x,$ (16)

$$w_1(0,t,x) = \varphi_1(\eta_1(0,t,x)), \qquad w_2(0,t,x) = \varphi_2(\eta_2(0,t,x)).$$
(17)

The unknown functions η_i , w_j , i = 1, 2, $j = \overline{1, 4}$, depend not only on t and x, but also on an additional argument s. Integrating equations (11)–(14) with respect to the argument s and taking into consideration conditions (15)–(17), we obtain an equivalent system of integral equations:

$$\eta_1(s,t,x) = x - \int_s^t (a_1(\tau)w_1 + b_1(\tau)w_3)d\tau,$$
(18)

$$\eta_2(s,t,x) = x - \int_s^t (c_1(\tau)w_4 + g_1(\tau)w_2)d\tau,$$
(19)

$$w_1(s,t,x) = \varphi_1(\eta_1(0,t,x)) + \int_0^s (a_2w_1 + b_2(\tau)w_3)d\tau,$$
(20)

$$w_2(s,t,x) = \varphi_2(\eta_2(0,t,x)) + \int_0^s g_2 w_2 d\tau,$$
(21)

$$w_3(s,t,x) = w_2(s,s,\eta_1), \tag{22}$$

$$w_4(s,t,x) = w_1(s,s,\eta_2).$$
(23)

We substitute (18), (19) into (20)–(23) and we obtain the following system:

$$w_1(s,t,x) = \varphi_1(x - \int_0^t (a_1(\tau)w_1 + b_1(\tau)w_3)d\tau) + \int_0^s (a_2w_1(\tau,t,x) + b_2(\tau)w_3(\tau,t,x))d\tau, \quad (24)$$

$$w_2(s,t,x) = \varphi_2(x - \int_0^t (c_1(\tau)w_4(\tau,t,x) + g_1(\tau)w_2(\tau,t,x))d\tau) + \int_0^s g_2w_2(\tau,t,x)d\tau,$$
(25)

$$w_3(s,t,x) = w_2(s,s,x - \int_s^t (a_1(\tau)w_1 + b_1(\tau)w_3)d\tau),$$
(26)

$$w_4(s,t,x) = w_1(s,s,x - \int_s^t (c_1(\tau)w_4 + g_1(\tau)w_2)d\tau).$$
(27)

In what follows, we shall write that constants K_0, K_1, K_2, \ldots are determined by initial data if these constants are determined via known characteristics of the problem, the norms and extrema of known functions by means of closed algebraic, differential or integral expressions, that is, in the framework of the original problem, they can expressed as a certain number.

The following lemma holds true.

Lemma 1. Assume that the functions $w_1(s,t,x)$, $w_2(s,t,x)$ satisfy system of integral equations (24)–(27), are continuously differentiable and bounded together with its first derivatives. Then the functions $u(t,x) = w_1(t,t,x)$, $v(t,x) = w_2(t,t,x)$ solve problem (4), (2) on Ω_{T_0} , $T_0 \leq T$, where T_0 is a constant determined by initial data.

Lemma 1 is a base in the additional argument method. Lemma 1 can be proved in the same way as in works [2], [4], [5], [7]–[9].

2. EXISTENCE OF LOCAL SOLUTIUON

To prove the existence of solution to problem (4), (2) in the class of bounded functions, we shall employ the system of integral equations (24)–(27).

We denote

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{T} = \{ (s,t,x) | \ 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T, \ x \in (-\infty, +\infty), \ T > 0 \}, \\ &C_{\varphi} = \max\{ \sup_{R} \left| \varphi_{i}^{(l)} \right| | i = 1, 2, \ l = \overline{0,2} \}, \\ &l = \max\{ \sup_{[0,T]} a_{1}(t), \ \sup_{[0,T]} b_{1}(t), \ \sup_{[0,T]} b_{2}(t), \ \sup_{[0,T]} c_{1}(t), \ \sup_{[0,T]} g_{1}(t), \ |a_{2}|, \ |g_{2}| \}, \\ &\|U\| = \sup_{\Gamma_{T}} |U(s,t,x)|, \ \|f\| = \sup_{\Omega_{T}} |f(t,x)|. \end{split}$$

The symbol $\bar{C}^{1,2,2}(\Omega_T)$ stand for the space of functions differentiable in the variable t, twice differentiable in the variable x, possessing mixed second derivatives and bounded together with its derivatives on Ω_T . Let $\bar{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of the functions on \mathbb{R} continuous and bounded with its derivatives up to the second order. By C([0,T]) we denote the space of the functions defined and continuous on the segment [0,T].

We introduce conditions playing a key role in the proof of nonlocal solvability of Cauchy problem (4), (2):

$$a_{1}(t) > 0, \qquad b_{1}(t) > 0, \qquad b_{2}(t) > 0, \qquad c_{1}(t) > 0, \qquad g_{1}(t) > 0, \qquad t \in [0, T],$$

$$\varphi_{1}'(x) \ge 0, \qquad \varphi_{2}'(x) \ge 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(28)

The next theorem provides conditions for the existence of local solution to Cauchy problem (4), (2), under which the solution $u(t,x) = w_1(t,t,x)$, $v(t,x) = w_2(t,t,x)$ possesses the same smoothness in x as the initial functions $\varphi_1(x)$, $\varphi_2(x)$.

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x) \in \overline{C}^2(\mathbb{R}), a_1(t), b_1(t), b_2(t), c_1(t), g_1(t) \in C([0,T])$ and conditions (28) be satisfied. Then for each $0 \leq t \leq T_2$, where $T_2 = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l})$, Cauchy problem (4), (2) has the unique solution $u(t, x), v(t, x) \in \overline{C}^{1,2,2}(\Omega_{T_2})$, which is determined by system of integral equations (24)–(27).

The proof of the theorem is split into two lemmata.

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x) \in \overline{C}^2(\mathbb{R}), a_1(t), b_1(t), b_2(t), c_1(t), g_1(t) \in C([0,T])$ and conditions (28) hold. Then system of integral equations (24)–(27) possesses the unique solution $w_j \in \overline{C}^{1,1,1}(\Gamma_{T_2})$, where $j = \overline{1,4}$,

$$T_2 = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l}).$$

Proof. The proof of the lemma follows the lines of [4] and this is why we mention only the milestones. The main difficulty is that system (24)-(27) involves the superposition of unknown functions. To overcome this problem, we employ a "two-level" algorithm of successive approximations.

The zero approximation for a solution to system of integral equations (24)-(27) is introduced by the identities:

$$w_{10}(s,t,x) = \varphi_1(x),$$
 $w_{20}(s,t,x) = \varphi_2(x),$ $w_{30}(s,t,x) = \varphi_2(x),$ $w_{40}(s,t,x) = \varphi_1(x).$

The first and next approximations for system of equations (24)-(27) are introduced by means of the recurrent sequence of equations (n = 1, 2, ...):

$$w_{1n}(s,t,x) = \varphi_1(x - \int_0^t (a_1(\tau)w_{1n} + b_1(\tau)w_{3n})d\tau) + \int_0^s (a_2w_{1n} + b_2(\tau)w_{3n})d\tau,$$
(29)

$$w_{2n}(s,t,x) = \varphi_2(x - \int_0^t (c_1(\tau)w_{4n}(\tau,t,x) + g_1(\tau)w_{2n}(\tau,t,x))d\tau) + \int_0^s g_2w_{2n}(\tau,t,x)d\tau, \quad (30)$$

$$w_{3n}(s,t,x) = w_{2(n-1)}(s,s,x - \int_{s}^{s} (a_1(\tau)w_{1n} + b_1(\tau)w_{3n})d\tau), \qquad (31)$$

$$w_{4n}(s,t,x) = w_{1(n-1)}(s,s,x - \int_{s}^{t} (c_1(\tau)w_{4n} + g_1(\tau)w_{2n})d\tau).$$
(32)

For system of equations (29)–(32), the zero approximation is defined by the identities

$$w_{jn}^0 = w_{j(n-1)}, \ j = \overline{1, 4}.$$

The first and next approximations are introduced by the relations:

$$w_{1n}^{k+1}(s,t,x) = \varphi_1(x - \int_0^t (a_1(\tau)w_{1n}^k + b_1(\tau)w_{3n}^k)d\tau) + \int_0^s (a_2w_{1n}^k + b_2(\tau)w_{3n}^k)d\tau,$$
(33)

$$w_{2n}^{k+1}(s,t,x) = \varphi_2(x - \int_0^t (c_1(\tau)w_{4n}^k(\tau,t,x) + g_1(\tau)w_{2n}^k(\tau,t,x))d\tau) + \int_0^s g_2 w_{2n}^k(\tau,t,x)d\tau, \quad (34)$$

$$w_{3n}^{k+1}(s,t,x) = w_{2(n-1)}(s,s,x - \int_{s}^{t} (a_1(\tau)w_{1n}^k + b_1(\tau)w_{3n}^k)d\tau),$$
(35)

$$w_{4n}^{k+1}(s,t,x) = w_{1(n-1)}(s,s,x - \int_{s}^{t} (c_1(\tau)w_{4n}^k + g_1(\tau)w_{2n}^k)d\tau).$$
(36)

As in [2], [4], we establish that for all $0 \leq t \leq T_1$, where $T_1 = \min(\frac{1}{20C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{4l})$, the successive approximations (33)–(36) converge to a continuous and bounded solution of system (29)–(32)

and this solution possesses continuous bounded derivatives $\partial_x w_{jn}$, $j = \overline{1, 4}$. The estimates hold:

$$\|w_{jn}\| \leq 2C_{\varphi}, \qquad j = \overline{1, 4}, \qquad \|\partial_x w_{1n}\| \leq 4C_{\varphi}, \\ \|\partial_x w_{2n}\| \leq 4C_{\varphi}, \qquad \qquad \|\partial_x w_{3n}\| \leq 6C_{\varphi}, \qquad \|\partial_x w_{4n}\| \leq 6C_{\varphi}$$

Again as in [2], [4], we establish that for all $0 \leq t \leq T_2$, where $T_2 = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l})$, successive approximations (29)–(32) converge to a continuous bonded solution of system (24)–(27), and this solution has continuous bounded derivatives $\partial_x w_j$, $j = \overline{1, 4}$. The estimates hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_j\| \leqslant 2C_{\varphi}, \quad j = \overline{1, 4}, \qquad \|\partial_x w_1\| \leqslant 4C_{\varphi}, \\ \|\partial_x w_2\| \leqslant 4C_{\varphi}, \qquad \qquad \|\partial_x w_3\| \leqslant 6C_{\varphi}, \qquad \|\partial_x w_4\| \leqslant 6C_{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as above we prove that w_j , $j = \overline{1, 4}$ have continuous bounded derivatives with respect to the time t on Γ_{T_2} . The uniqueness of the solution is proved as in paper [4].

Lemma 3. Let $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x) \in \overline{C}^2(\mathbb{R}), a_1(t), b_1(t), b_2(t), c_1(t), g_1(t) \in C([0,T])$ and conditions (28) hold. Then the solutions $\{w_j\}, j = \overline{1,4}$ of the system of equations (24)–(27) have continuous bounded derivatives $\frac{\partial^2 w_j}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial^2 w_j}{\partial x \partial t}, j = \overline{1,4}$ on Γ_{T_2} , where $T_2 = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l})$.

Proof. We prove this lemma by the scheme proposed in [2] and we provide only the milestones. We twice differentiate successive approximations (29)–(32) in x and we denote $\omega_j^n = w_{jnxx}$, $j = \overline{1, 4}$. As a result, we get the system of equations

$$\begin{split} \omega_{1}^{n} &= -\varphi_{1}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)\omega_{1}^{n} + b_{1}(\tau)\omega_{3}^{n})d\tau + \int_{0}^{s} (a_{2}\omega_{1}^{n} + b_{2}(\tau)\omega_{3}^{n})d\tau \\ &+ \varphi_{1}^{\prime\prime} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)w_{1nx} + b_{1}(\tau)w_{3nx})d\tau\right)^{2}, \end{split}$$
(37)
$$\omega_{2}^{n} &= -\varphi_{2}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)\omega_{4}^{n} + g_{1}(\tau)\omega_{2}^{n})d\tau + \int_{0}^{s} g_{2}\omega_{2}^{n}d\tau \\ &+ \varphi_{2}^{\prime\prime} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)w_{4nx} + g_{1}(\tau)w_{2nx})d\tau\right)^{2}, \end{aligned}$$
(38)
$$\omega_{3}^{n} &= \omega_{2}^{n-1} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{s}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)\omega_{1nx} + b_{1}(\tau)w_{3nx})d\tau\right)^{2} \\ &- w_{2(n-1)x} \int_{s}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)\omega_{1}^{n} + b_{1}(\tau)\omega_{3}^{n})d\tau, \end{aligned}$$
(39)
$$\omega_{4}^{n} &= \omega_{1}^{n-1} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{s}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)w_{4nx} + g_{1}(\tau)w_{2nx})d\tau\right)^{2}$$
(40)

$$-w_{1(n-1)x} \int_{s}^{t} (c_1(\tau)\omega_4^n + g_1(\tau)\omega_2^n) d\tau.$$
 (10)

Under conditions (28), in view of the above estimates $||w_{jn}|| \leq 2C_{\varphi}, j = \overline{1, 4}$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{s}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)w_{1n} + b_{1}(\tau)w_{3n})d\tau \right| \leq tl(\|w_{1n}\| + \|w_{3n}\|) \leq 4tlC_{\varphi} \leq \frac{4lC_{\varphi}}{25lC_{\varphi}} \leq 0.16,$$
$$\left| \int_{s}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)w_{4n} + g_{1}(\tau)w_{2n})d\tau \right| \leq tl(\|w_{4n}\| + \|w_{2n}\|) \leq 4tlC_{\varphi} \leq \frac{4lC_{\varphi}}{25lC_{\varphi}} \leq 0.16.$$

We fix a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and we consider the set

 $\Omega_{x_0} = \left\{ x \, | x_0 - 0.16 \leqslant x \leqslant x_0 + 0.16 \right\}.$

As in paper [2], under conditions (28), for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, the inequalities hold:

$$|\eta_{1n}(s,t,x_1) - \eta_{1n}(s,t,x_2)| \leqslant |x_1 - x_2|, \tag{41}$$

$$|\eta_{2n}(s,t,x_1) - \eta_{2n}(s,t,x_2)| \leq |x_1 - x_2|, \tag{42}$$

where

$$\eta_{1n}(s,t,x) = x - \int_{s}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)w_{1n}(\tau,t,x) + b_{1}(\tau)w_{3n}(\tau,t,x))d\tau,$$

$$\eta_{2n}(s,t,x) = x - \int_{s}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)w_{4n}(\tau,t,x) + g_{1}(\tau)w_{2n}(\tau,t,x))d\tau.$$

In a closed bounded set Ω_{x_0} , continuous second derivatives of the functions φ_i , i = 1, 2, are uniformly continuous. As in paper [2], we prove that under conditions (28), we have a uniform continuity of the functions ω_1^n , ω_2^n in $x \in \Omega_{x_0}$, which implies the equicontinuity of the functions ω_1^n , ω_2^n in x at an arbitrary point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. The equicontinuity of the functions ω_1^n , ω_2^n in x is employed for proving the convergence of successive approximations ω_j^n , $j = \overline{1, 4}$.

We consider the system of equations

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\omega}_{1}^{n} &= -\varphi_{1}'(\eta_{1}(0,t,x)) \int_{0}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{1}^{n} + b_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{3}^{n})d\tau + \int_{0}^{s} (a_{2}\tilde{\omega}_{1}^{n} + b_{2}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{3}^{n})d\tau \\ &+ \varphi_{1}'' \cdot \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)w_{1x} + b_{1}(\tau)w_{3x})d\tau\right)^{2}, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{2}^{n} &= -\varphi_{2}'(\eta_{2}(0,t,x)) \int_{0}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{4}^{n} + g_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{2}^{n})d\tau + \int_{0}^{s} g_{2}\tilde{\omega}_{2}^{n}d\tau \\ &+ \varphi_{2}'' \cdot \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)w_{4x} + g_{1}(\tau)w_{2x})d\tau\right)^{2}, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{3}^{n} &= \tilde{\omega}_{2}^{n-1} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{s}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)w_{1x} + b_{1}(\tau)w_{3x})d\tau\right)^{2} - w_{2x}(s,s,\eta_{1}(s,t,x)) \int_{s}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{1}^{n} + b_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{3}^{n})d\tau, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{4}^{n} &= \tilde{\omega}_{1}^{n-1} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{s}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)w_{4x} + g_{1}(\tau)w_{2x})d\tau\right)^{2} - w_{1x}(s,s,\eta_{2}(s,t,x)) \int_{s}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{4}^{n} + g_{1}(\tau)\tilde{\omega}_{2}^{n})d\tau. \end{split}$$

Under conditions (28), on Γ_{T_2} we have $\tilde{\omega}_j^n \to \tilde{\omega}_j$, $j = \overline{1, 4}$, and the estimates hold:

$$\|\tilde{\omega}_1\| \leq 2C_{\varphi}, \qquad \|\tilde{\omega}_2\| \leq 2C_{\varphi}, \qquad \|\tilde{\omega}_3\| \leq 3C_{\varphi}, \qquad \|\tilde{\omega}_4\| \leq 3C_{\varphi}.$$

Then we establish that under conditions (28), on Γ_{T_2} , the successive approximations ω_j^n converge to the functions $\tilde{\omega}_j$, $j = \overline{1, 4}$, as $n \to \infty$.

We obtain that under conditions (28), $w_{jnxx} \to w_{jxx} = \tilde{\omega}_j$, where the functions $\frac{\partial^2 w_j}{\partial x^2}$, $j = \overline{1,4}$, are continuous and bounded on Γ_{T_2} . Then we establish that under conditions (28) there exist continuous and bounded derivatives $\frac{\partial^2 w_j}{\partial x \partial t}$, $j = \overline{1,4}$, on Γ_{T_2} .

3. EXISTENCE OF NONLOCAL SOLUTION

There holds the following theorem on sufficient conditions ensuring the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the Cauchy problem in the original coordinates for a given finite segment $t \in [0, T]$.

Theorem 2. Let $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x) \in \overline{C}^2(\mathbb{R}), a_1(t), b_1(t), b_2(t), c_1(t), g_1(t) \in C([0,T])$ and conditions (28) hold. Then for each T > 0, Cauchy problem (4), (2) has the unique solution $u(t,x), v(t,x) \in \overline{C}^{1,2,2}(\Omega_T)$, which is determined by the system of integral equations (24)–(27).

Proof. We differentiate the system of equations (4) in x and denoting $p(t, x) = u_x(t, x)$, $q(t, x) = v_x(t, x)$, we obtain the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p + (a_1(t)u(t,x) + b_1(t)v(t,x))\partial_x p = -a_1(t)p^2 - b_1(t)pq + a_2p + b_2(t)q, \\ \partial_t q + (c_1(t)u(t,x) + g_1(t)v(t,x))\partial_x q = -g_1(t)q^2 - c_1(t)pq + g_2q, \\ p(0,x) = \varphi_1'(x), \quad q(0,x) = \varphi_2'(x). \end{cases}$$
(43)

We add two equations to the system of equations (18)-(23):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\gamma_1(s,t,x)}{ds} = -a_1(s)\gamma_1^2 - b_1(s)\gamma_1\gamma_2(s,s,\eta_1) + a_2\gamma_1 + b_2(s)\gamma_2(s,s,\eta_1), \\ \frac{d\gamma_2(s,t,x)}{ds} = -g_1(s)\gamma_2^2 - c_1(s)\gamma_1(s,s,\eta_2)\gamma_2 + g_2\gamma_2, \end{cases}$$
(44)

subject to the conditions $\gamma_1(0, t, x) = \varphi'_1(\eta_1), \gamma_2(0, t, x) = \varphi'_2(\eta_2).$

We rewrite system of equations (44) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1(s,t,x) = \varphi_1'(\eta_1) + \int_0^s \left[-a_1(\tau)\gamma_1^2 + (b_2(\tau) - b_1(\tau)\gamma_1)\gamma_2(\tau,\tau,\eta_1) + a_2\gamma_1 \right] d\tau, \\ \gamma_2(s,t,x) = \varphi_2'(\eta_2) + \int_0^s \left[-g_1(\tau)\gamma_2^2 - c_1(\tau)\gamma_1(\tau,\tau,\eta_2)\gamma_2 + g_2\gamma_2 \right] d\tau. \end{cases}$$
(45)

The existence of a continuous solution to system (45) on Γ_{T_2} , where $T_2 = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l})$, under conditions (28), is proved by the method of successive approximations. We define these approximations:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1^{n+1} = \varphi_1'(\eta_1) + \int_0^s \left[-a_1(\tau)(\gamma_1^n)^2 + (b_2(\tau) - b_1(\tau)\gamma_1^n)\gamma_2^n(\tau,\tau,\eta_1) + a_2\gamma_1^n \right] d\tau, \\ \gamma_2^{n+1} = \varphi_2'(\eta_2) + \int_0^s \left[-g_1(\tau)(\gamma_2^n)^2 - c_1(\tau)\gamma_1^n(\tau,\tau,\eta_2)\gamma_2^n + g_2\gamma_2^n \right] d\tau, \end{cases}$$
(46)

and also $\gamma_1^0 = \varphi_1'(\eta_1), \ \gamma_2^0 = \varphi_2'(\eta_2)$. Under conditions (28), on Γ_{T_2} the estimates hold: $|\gamma_i^{n+1}| \leq 2C_{\varphi}$.

As in paper [2], we establish that for all $0 \leq t \leq T_2$, where $T_2 = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l})$, the inequality

$$\left\|\gamma_{1}^{n+1} - \gamma_{1}^{n}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2}^{n+1} - \gamma_{2}^{n}\right\| \leq 0.52 \left(\left\|\gamma_{1}^{n} - \gamma_{1}^{n-1}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2}^{n} - \gamma_{2}^{n-1}\right\|\right),$$

holds true. This inequality implies that the successive approximations $\{\gamma_i^n\}$, i = 1, 2, converge to a continuous solution of system (45) on Γ_{T_2} under conditions (28). The solution satisfies the estimates:

$$|\gamma_i| \leqslant 2C_{\varphi}, \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

We differentiate successive approximations (46) with respect to x:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{1x}^{n+1} = \varphi_1''(\eta_1)\eta_{1x} \\ + \int_0^s \left((a_2 - 2a_1(\tau)\gamma_1^n - b_1(\tau)\gamma_2^n)\gamma_{1x}^n + (b_2(\tau) - b_1(\tau)\gamma_1^n)\gamma_{2x}^n(\tau,\tau,\eta_1)\eta_{1x} \right) d\tau, \\ \gamma_{2x}^{n+1} = \varphi_2''(\eta_2)\eta_{2x} + \int_0^s \left[(g_2 - 2g_1(\tau)\gamma_2^n - c_1(\tau)\gamma_1^n)\gamma_{2x}^n - c_1(\tau)\gamma_2^n\gamma_{1x}^n(\tau,\tau,\eta_2)\eta_{2x} \right] d\tau, \end{cases}$$
(47)

where

$$\eta_{1x}(s,t,x) = 1 - \int_{s}^{t} (a_{1}(\tau)w_{1x} + b_{1}(\tau)w_{3x})d\tau,$$

$$\eta_{2x}(s,t,x) = 1 - \int_{s}^{t} (c_{1}(\tau)w_{4x} + g_{1}(\tau)w_{2x})d\tau.$$

Under conditions (28), on Γ_{T_2} , the estimates

$$|\eta_{ix}| \leqslant 1, \qquad |\gamma_{ix}^{n+1}| \leqslant 5C_{\varphi}, \qquad i=1,2,$$

hold.

We consider the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{21} = \varphi_1''(\eta_1)\eta_{1x} \\ + \int_0^s \left((a_2 - 2a_1(\tau)\gamma_1 - b_1(\tau)\gamma_2)\omega_{21} + (b_2(\tau) - b_1(\tau)\gamma_1)\omega_{22}(\tau, \tau, \eta_1)\eta_{1x} \right) d\tau, \\ \omega_{22} = \varphi_2''(\eta_2)\eta_{2x} + \int_0^s \left((g_2 - 2g_1(\tau)\gamma_2 - c_1(\tau)\gamma_1)\omega_{22} - c_1(\tau)\omega_{21}(\tau, \tau, \eta_2)\gamma_2\eta_{2x} \right) d\tau. \end{cases}$$
(48)

We prove the existence of a continuous solution to system (48) by means of the method of successive approximations:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{21}^{n+1} = \varphi_1''(\eta_1)\eta_{1x} \\ + \int_0^s [(a_2 - 2a_1(\tau)\gamma_1 - b_1(\tau)\gamma_2)\omega_{21}^n + (b_2(\tau) - b_1(\tau)\gamma_1)\omega_{22}^n(\tau,\tau,\eta_1)\eta_{1x}]d\tau, \\ \omega_{22}^{n+1} = \varphi_2''(\eta_2)\eta_{2x} + \int_0^s [(g_2 - 2g_1(\tau)\gamma_2 - c_1(\tau)\gamma_1)\omega_{22}^n - c_1(\tau)\omega_{21}^n(\tau,\tau,\eta_2)\gamma_2\eta_{2x}]d\tau. \end{cases}$$
(49)

Under conditions (28), on Γ_{T_2} , the estimates

$$\left\|\omega_{2i}^{n+1}\right\| \leqslant 5C_{\varphi}, \qquad i=1,2,$$

hold true.

It follows from (49) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \omega_{21}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}^{n} \right| &\leqslant \left| \int_{0}^{s} (a_{2} - 2a_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1} - b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2})(\omega_{21}^{n} - \omega_{21}^{n-1})d\tau \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{0}^{s} (b_{2}(\tau) - b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1})(\omega_{22}^{n}(\tau, \tau, \eta_{1}) - \omega_{22}^{n-1}(\tau, \tau, \eta_{1}))\eta_{1x}d\tau \right| \\ \left| \omega_{22}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}^{n} \right| &\leqslant \left| \int_{0}^{s} (g_{2} - 2g_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2} - c_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1})(\omega_{22}^{n} - \omega_{22}^{n-1})d\tau \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{0}^{s} c_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2}(\omega_{21}^{n}(\tau, \tau, \eta_{2}) - \omega_{21}^{n-1}(\tau, \tau, \eta_{2}))\eta_{2x}d\tau \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of integrals, absolute value, supremum of the functions, we arrive at the following inequalities:

$$\begin{split} \left|\omega_{21}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}^{n}\right| \leqslant l \int_{0}^{s} (1+2|\gamma_{1}| + |\gamma_{2}|) |\omega_{21}^{n} - \omega_{21}^{n-1}| d\tau \\ &+ l \int_{0}^{s} (1+|\gamma_{1}|) \cdot |\omega_{22}^{n}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1}) - \omega_{22}^{n-1}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1})| \cdot |\eta_{1x}| d\tau, \\ \left|\omega_{22}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}^{n}\right| \leqslant l \int_{0}^{s} (1+2|\gamma_{2}| + |\gamma_{1}|) |\omega_{22}^{n} - \omega_{22}^{n-1}| d\tau \\ &+ l \int_{0}^{s} |\gamma_{2}| \cdot |\omega_{21}^{n}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2}) - \omega_{21}^{n-1}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2})| \cdot |\eta_{2x}| d\tau. \end{split}$$

Since

 $|\gamma_i| \leqslant 2C_{\varphi}, \qquad i=1,2, \qquad |\eta_{1x}| \leqslant 1,$

we have

$$\|\omega_{21}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}^{n}\| \leq (6ltC_{\varphi} + lt)\|\omega_{21}^{n} - \omega_{21}^{n-1}\| + (2ltC_{\varphi} + lt)\|\omega_{22}^{n} - \omega_{22}^{n-1}\|.$$

Since

 $|\gamma_i| \leqslant 2C_{\varphi}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \qquad |\eta_{2x}| \leqslant 1,$

we get

$$\|\omega_{22}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}^n\| \leqslant (6ltC_{\varphi} + lt)\|\omega_{22}^n - \omega_{22}^{n-1}\| + 2ltC_{\varphi}\|\omega_{21}^n - \omega_{21}^{n-1}\|$$

We sum up two latter inequalities to obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\omega_{21}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}^{n}\| + \|\omega_{22}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}^{n}\| &\leq (8ltC_{\varphi} + lt)\|\omega_{21}^{n} - \omega_{21}^{n-1}\| + (8ltC_{\varphi} + 2lt)\|\omega_{22}^{n} - \omega_{22}^{n-1}\|. \end{split}$$
For all $0 \leq t \leq T_{2}$ with $T_{2} = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l})$, the inequality holds:

$$\|\omega_{21}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}^{n}\| + \|\omega_{22}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}^{n}\| \leq \left(\frac{8lC_{\varphi}}{25C_{\varphi}l} + \frac{l}{10l}\right) \|\omega_{21}^{n} - \omega_{21}^{n-1}\|$$

$$+ \left(\frac{8lC_{\varphi}}{25C_{\varphi}l} + \frac{2l}{10l}\right) \|\omega_{22}^{n} - \omega_{22}^{n-1}\|,$$
$$\|\omega_{21}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}^{n}\| + \|\omega_{22}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}^{n}\| \leqslant 0.42 \|\omega_{21}^{n} - \omega_{21}^{n-1}\| + 0.52 \|\omega_{22}^{n} - \omega_{22}^{n-1}\|,$$
$$\|\omega_{21}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}^{n}\| + \|\omega_{22}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}^{n}\| \leqslant 0.52 (\|\omega_{21}^{n} - \omega_{21}^{n-1}\| + \|\omega_{22}^{n} - \omega_{22}^{n-1}\|).$$

Hence, under conditions (28), successive approximations $\{\omega_{2i}^n\}$, i = 1, 2, converge to a continuous solution of system (48) on Γ_{T_2} . It follows from (47), (48) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\gamma_{1x}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}\right\| &\leqslant \left|\int_{0}^{s} \left(a_{2} - 2a_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1} - b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1})\right)(\gamma_{1x}^{n} - \omega_{21})d\tau\right| \\ &+ \left|\int_{0}^{s} \left(b_{2}(\tau) - b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1}\right)(\gamma_{2x}^{n}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1}) - \omega_{22}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1}))\eta_{1x}d\tau\right| + |\sigma_{31}^{n}|, \\ \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}\right\| &\leqslant \left|\int_{0}^{s} \left(g_{2} - 2g_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2} - c_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2})\right)(\gamma_{2x}^{n} - \omega_{22})d\tau\right| \\ &+ \left|\int_{0}^{s} \left(-c_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2}\right)(\gamma_{1x}^{n}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2}) - \omega_{21}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2}))\eta_{2x}d\tau\right| + |\sigma_{41}^{n}|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_{31}^{n} = \int_{0}^{s} \left[(-2a_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1x}^{n} - b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2x}^{n}\eta_{1x})(\gamma_{1}^{n} - \gamma_{1}) - b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1x}^{n}(\gamma_{2}^{n} - \gamma_{2}) \right] d\tau,$$

$$\sigma_{41}^{n} = \int_{0}^{s} \left[(-2g_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2x}^{n} - c_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1x}^{n}\eta_{2x})(\gamma_{2}^{n} - \gamma_{2}) - c_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2x}^{n}(\gamma_{1}^{n} - \gamma_{1}) \right] d\tau.$$

By the properties of integrals, absolute value and supremum of function we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\gamma_{1x}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}\right| \leqslant l \int_{0}^{s} (1+2|\gamma_{1}|+|\gamma_{2}|) \left|\gamma_{1x}^{n} - \omega_{21}\right| d\tau \\ &+ l \int_{0}^{s} (1+|\gamma_{1}|) \cdot \left|\gamma_{2x}^{n}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1}) - \omega_{22}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1})\right| \cdot \left|\eta_{1x}\right| d\tau + \left|\sigma_{31}^{n}\right|, \\ \left|\gamma_{2x}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}\right| \leqslant l \int_{0}^{s} (1+2|\gamma_{2}|+|\gamma_{1}|) \left|\gamma_{2x}^{n} - \omega_{22}\right| d\tau \\ &+ l \int_{0}^{s} \left|\gamma_{2}\right| \cdot \left|\gamma_{1x}^{n}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2}) - \omega_{21}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2})\right| \cdot \left|\eta_{2x}\right| d\tau + \left|\sigma_{41}^{n}\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\gamma_1| \leq 2C_{\varphi}, \ |\gamma_2| \leq 2C_{\varphi}, \qquad |\eta_{1x}| \leq 1, \qquad |\eta_{2x}| \leq 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\gamma_{1x}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}\right\| &\leq (6ltC_{\varphi} + lt) \left\|\gamma_{1x}^{n} - \omega_{21}\right\| + (2ltC_{\varphi} + lt) \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{n} - \omega_{22}\right\| + |\sigma_{31}^{n}|, \\ \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}\right\| &\leq (6ltC_{\varphi} + lt) \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{n} - \omega_{22}\right\| + 2ltC_{\varphi} \left\|\gamma_{1x}^{n} - \omega_{21}\right\| + |\sigma_{41}^{n}|. \end{aligned}$$

We sum up to latter inequalities and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_{1x}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}\| &\leq (8ltC_{\varphi} + lt)\|\gamma_{1x}^{n} - \omega_{21}\| \\ &+ (8ltC_{\varphi} + 2lt)\|\gamma_{2x}^{n} - \omega_{22}\| + |\sigma_{31}^{n}| + |\sigma_{41}^{n}|. \end{aligned}$$

For all $0 \leq t \leq T_2$, where $T_2 = \min(\frac{1}{25C_{\varphi}l}, \frac{1}{10l})$, the inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_{1x}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}\| &\leq 0.42 \|\gamma_{1x}^n - \omega_{21}\| + 0.52 \|\gamma_{2x}^n - \omega_{22}\| + |\sigma_{31}^n| + |\sigma_{41}^n|, \\ \|\gamma_{1x}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}\| &\leq 0.52 (\|\gamma_{1x}^n - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^n - \omega_{22}\|) + |\sigma_{31}^n| + |\sigma_{41}^n|. \end{aligned}$$

Employing the uniform convergences $\gamma_1^n \Rightarrow \gamma_1$, $\gamma_2^n \Rightarrow \gamma_2$, we choose n = N so that $|\sigma_{31}^n| + |\sigma_{41}^n| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|\gamma_{1x}^{n+1} - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^{n+1} - \omega_{22}\| \le 0.52(\|\gamma_{1x}^n - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^n - \omega_{22}\|) + \varepsilon.$$
(50)
We denote $S_{1N} = \|\gamma_{1x}^N - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^N - \omega_{22}\|.$

We denote $S_{1N} = ||\gamma_{1x}^N - \omega_{21}|| + ||\gamma_{2x}^N - \omega_{22}||$. By means of the induction, we are going to prove the inequality:

$$\left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+p} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+p} - \omega_{22}\right\| \leq (0.52)^p S_{1N} + (1 + 0.52 + \dots + (0.52)^{p-1})\varepsilon,$$
(51)

where p = 1, 2, ... It follows from (50) that for N + 1, the inequality

$$\left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+1} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+1} - \omega_{22}\right\| \le 0.52S_{1N} + \varepsilon$$

holds as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+2} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+2} - \omega_{22}\right\| &\leq 0.52(\left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+1} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+1} - \omega_{22}\right\|),\\ \left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+2} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+2} - \omega_{22}\right\| &\leq 0.52(0.52S_{1N} + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for N + 2 we get:

$$\left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+2} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+2} - \omega_{22}\right\| \leqslant (0.52)^2 S_{1N} + (1+0.52)\varepsilon.$$

Suppose that for N + p, p = 1, 2, ..., the inequality holds:

$$\left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+p} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+p} - \omega_{22}\right\| \leq (0.52)^p S_{1N} + (1 + 0.52 + \dots + (0.52)^{p-1})\varepsilon.$$

Then for N + p + 1, p = 1, 2, ... we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_{1x}^{N+p+1} - \omega_{21}\| + \|\gamma_{2x}^{N+p+1} - \omega_{22}\| &\leq 0.52(\left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+p} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+p} - \omega_{22}\right\|) + \varepsilon, \\ \|\gamma_{1x}^{N+p+1} - \omega_{21}\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+p+1} - \omega_{22}\right\| &\leq 0.52((0.52)^{p}S_{1N} + (1+0.52+\dots+(0.52)^{p-1})\varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \\ \|\gamma_{1x}^{N+p+1} - \omega_{21}\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+p+1} - \omega_{22}\right\| &\leq (0.52)^{p+1}S_{1N} + (1+0.52+\dots+(0.52)^{p})\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, inequality (51) is true, where p = 1, 2, ... and this implies that

$$\left\| \gamma_{1x}^{N+p} - \omega_{21} \right\| + \left\| \gamma_{2x}^{N+p} - \omega_{22} \right\| < (0.52)^p S_{1N} + \frac{1}{1 - 0.52} \varepsilon,$$

$$\left\| \gamma_{1x}^{N+p} - \omega_{21} \right\| + \left\| \gamma_{2x}^{N+p} - \omega_{22} \right\| < (0.52)^p S_{1N} + \frac{1}{0.48} \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\|\gamma_{1x}^{N+p} - \omega_{21}\right\| + \left\|\gamma_{2x}^{N+p} - \omega_{22}\right\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty, \quad p \to \infty.$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_{ix}{}^n = \omega_{2i}$, i = 1, 2. We obtain that the solution to system (45) possesses a continuous derivative in x: $\gamma_{ix} = \frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial x} = \omega_{2i}$. The estimates hold:

$$\|\gamma_{ix}\| \leqslant 5C_{\varphi}, \qquad i=1,2.$$

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{1t}(s,t,x) = \varphi_1''(\eta_1)\eta_{1t} \\ + \int_0^s \left[(a_2 - 2a_1(\tau)\gamma_1 - b_1(\tau)\gamma_2(\tau,\tau,\eta_1))\gamma_{1t} + (b_2(\tau) - b_1(\tau)\gamma_1)\gamma_{2x}\eta_{1t} \right] d\tau, \\ \gamma_{2t}(s,t,x) = \varphi_2''(\eta_2)\eta_{2t} \\ + \int_0^s \left[(g_2 - 2g_1(\tau)\gamma_2 - c_1(\tau)\gamma_1(\tau,\tau,\eta_2))\gamma_{2t} - c_1(\tau)\gamma_2\gamma_{1x}\eta_{2t} \right] d\tau, \end{cases}$$
(52)

where

.

$$\eta_{1t}(s,t,x) = -a_1(t)w_1 - b_1(t)w_3 - \int_s^t (a_1(\tau)w_{1t} + b_1(\tau)w_{3t})d\tau,$$

$$\eta_{2t}(s,t,x) = -c_1(t)w_4 - g_1(t)w_2 - \int_s^t (c_1(\tau)w_{4t} + g_1(\tau)w_{2t})d\tau.$$

We differentiate system of equations (44) with respect to t:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\gamma_{1t}(s,t,x)}{ds} = (a_2 - 2a_1(s)\gamma_1 - b_1(s)\gamma_2(s,s,\eta_1))\gamma_{1t} + (b_2(s) - b_1(s)\gamma_1)\gamma_{2x}\eta_{1t}, \\ \frac{d\gamma_{2t}(s,t,x)}{ds} = (g_2 - 2g_1(s)\gamma_2 - c_1(s)\gamma_1(s,s,\eta_2))\gamma_{2t} - c_1(s)\gamma_{1x}\gamma_2\eta_{2t} \end{cases}$$
(53)

subject to the conditions: $\gamma_{1t}(0,t,x) = \varphi_1''(\eta_1)\eta_{1t}, \ \gamma_{2t}(0,t,x) = \varphi_2''(\eta_2)\eta_{2t}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{1t} = & \varphi_1''(\eta_1)\eta_{1t} \exp(\int_0^s (a_2 - 2a_1(\tau)\gamma_1 - b_1(\tau)\gamma_2(\tau, \tau, \eta_1))d\tau) \\ &+ \int_0^s (b_2(\tau) - b_1(\tau)\gamma_1)\gamma_{2x}\eta_{1t} \exp(\int_{\tau}^s (a_2 - 2a_1(\nu)\gamma_1 - b_1(\nu)\gamma_2(\nu, \nu, \eta_1))d\nu)d\tau, \\ \gamma_{2t} = & \varphi_2''(\eta_2)\eta_{2t} \exp(\int_0^s (g_2 - 2g_1(\tau)\gamma_2 - c_1(\tau)\gamma_1(\tau, \tau, \eta_2))d\tau) \\ &- \int_0^s c_1(\tau)\gamma_2\gamma_{1x}\eta_{2t} \exp(\int_{\tau}^s (g_2 - 2g_1(\nu)\gamma_2 - c_1(\nu)\gamma_1(\nu, \nu, \eta_2))d\nu)d\tau. \end{split}$$

Therefore, there exits functions γ_{1t} , γ_{2t} satisfying system (52). Then we differentiate with respect to t successive approximations (46) and under conditions (28), on Γ_{T_2} we prove the convergence $\gamma_{1t}^n \to \gamma_{1t}, \gamma_{2t}^n \to \gamma_{2t}$. Hence, we establish that

$$\gamma_{1t} = \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial t}, \qquad \gamma_{2t} = \frac{\partial \gamma_2}{\partial t}.$$

Thus, we have proved the existence of a differentiable solution to problem (45). Therefore,

$$\gamma_1(t,t,x) = p(t,x) = \partial_x u, \qquad \gamma_2(t,t,x) = q(t,x) = \partial_x v.$$

As in paper [2], we establish estimates:

$$||v|| \leq C_{\varphi} \exp(|g_2|T), \qquad ||u|| \leq C_{\varphi} \exp(|a_2|T)(1 + Tl \exp(|g_2|T)).$$
 (54)

By (44) we have

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{1}(s,t,x) = \varphi_{1}'(\eta_{1}) \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{s} (a_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1} + b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1}) - a_{2})d\tau\right) \\ + \int_{0}^{s} b_{2}(\tau)\gamma_{2}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{1}) \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^{s} (a_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1} + b_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2}(\nu,\nu,\eta_{1}) - a_{2})d\nu\right) d\tau, \quad (55) \\ \gamma_{2}(s,t,x) = \varphi_{2}'(\eta_{2}) \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{s} (g_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{2} + c_{1}(\tau)\gamma_{1}(\tau,\tau,\eta_{2}) - g_{2})d\tau\right). \end{cases}$$

Since $\varphi'_2(x) \ge 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (55) that $\gamma_2 \ge 0$ on Γ_T . And due to $\varphi'_1(x) \ge 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_2(t) > 0$, $\gamma_2 \ge 0$ on Γ_T .

$$f_1(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad b_2(t) > 0, \quad \gamma_2 \ge 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_T$$

it follows from (55) that $\gamma_1 \ge 0$ on Γ_T . Since

$$\begin{split} &\gamma_1 \geqslant 0, \qquad \gamma_2 \geqslant 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_T, \\ &a_1(t) > 0, \quad b_1(t) > 0, \quad b_2(t) > 0, \quad c_1(t) > 0, \quad g_1(t) > 0, \quad t \in [0,T], \end{split}$$

by (55) we get that on Γ_T the estimates hold:

$$\|\gamma_2\| \leq C_{\varphi} \exp(|g_2|T), \quad \|\gamma_1\| \leq C_{\varphi} \exp(|a_2|T)(1+Tl\exp(|g_2|T)).$$

Hence,

$$\|\partial_x v\| \leq C_{\varphi} \exp(|g_2|T), \qquad \|\partial_x u\| \leq C_{\varphi} \exp(|a_2|T)(1+Tl\exp(|g_2|T)). \tag{56}$$
[1] we confirm that for all t and x the estimates

As in [1], we confirm that for all t and x the estimates

$$\left|\partial_{x^{2}}^{2}u\right| \leq E_{11} ch(T\sqrt{C_{12}C_{21}}) + E_{21}\sqrt{\frac{C_{12}}{C_{21}}}sh(T\sqrt{C_{12}C_{21}}),\tag{57}$$

$$\left|\partial_{x^{2}}^{2}v\right| \leqslant E_{21} ch(T\sqrt{C_{12}C_{21}}) + E_{11}\sqrt{\frac{C_{21}}{C_{12}}}sh(T\sqrt{C_{12}C_{21}}),\tag{58}$$

hold, where E_{11} , E_{21} , C_{12} , C_{21} are constants determined by initial data.

The obtained global estimates (54), (56)–(58) allow us to continue the solution to each prescribed segment [0, T]. We choose $u(T_0, x)$, $v(T_0, x)$ as the initial data and employing Theorem 1, we continue the solution to some segment $[T_0, T_1]$. Then we take $u(T_1, x)$, $v(T_1, x)$ and employing Theorem 1, we continue the solution to the segment $[T_1, T_2]$. In finitely many steps the solution can be continued to each prescribed segment [0, T].

The uniqueness of solution to Cauchy problem (4), (2) can be proved by applying estimates similar to those employed in proving the convergence of successive approximations. \Box

Example. We consider the Cauchy problem for the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) + ((t+1)u(t,x) + (2t+1)v(t,x))\partial_x u(t,x) = -3u(t,x) + (2t+3)v(t,x), \\ \partial_t v(t,x) + ((t+2)u(t,x) + (2t+4)v(t,x))\partial_x v(t,x) = -2v(t,x), \end{cases}$$
(59)

where u(t, x), v(t, x) are unknown functions, $(t, x) \in \Omega_T$, subject to the initial conditions:

$$u(0,x) = \varphi_1(x) = 1 + 5 \arctan x, \qquad v(0,x) = \varphi_2(x) = -\frac{1}{e^x + 2}.$$
 (60)

Since $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x) \in \overline{C}^2(\mathbb{R}), a_1(t), b_1(t), b_2(t), c_1(t), g_1(t) \in C([0, T]),$ $a_1(t) = t + 1 > 0, \quad b_1(t) = 2t + 1 > 0, \quad b_2(t) = 2t + 3 > 0, \quad c_1(t) = t + 2 > 0,$ $g_1(t) = 2t + 4 > 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \varphi_1'(x) = \frac{5}{1 + x^2} > 0, \quad \varphi_2'(x) = \frac{e^x}{(e^x + 2)^2} > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$ then by Theorem 2, Cauchy problem (59), (60) possesses the unique solution $u(t, x), v(t, x) \in \bar{C}^{1,2,2}(\Omega_T)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- S.N. Alekseenko, T.A. Shemyakina, M.V. Dontsova. Nonlocal solvability conditions for system of first order partial differential equations // Nauchno-tekhnicheskie vedomosti SPbGBU. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki. 3(177). 190–201 (2013). (in Russian).
- M.V. Dontsova. Nonlocal solvability conditions for Cauchy problem for a system of first order partial differential equations with special right-hand sides // Ufimskij Matem. Zhurn. 6:4, 71–82 (2014). [Ufa Math. J. 6:4, 68–80 (2014).]
- B.L. Rozhdestvenskij, N.N. Yanenko. Systems of quasilinear equations and their applications to gas dynamics. Nauka, Moscow (1978). [Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1983).]
- M.I. Imanaliev, S.N. Alekseenko. On the existence of a smooth bounded solution for a system of two first-order nonlinear partial differential equations // Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk. 379:1, 16–21 (2001). [Dokl. Math. 64:1, 10–15 (2001).]
- M.I. Imanaliev, P.S. Pankov, S.N. Alekseenko. Additional argument method // Vestnik KazNU. Ser. Matem. mech. inform. 1, 60–64 (2006). (in Russian).
- 6. M.V. Dontsova. Study of solvability for a system of first order partial differential equations with free terms // Proc. of International Youth Conference "Lomonosov-2014", (2014). (in Russian).
- T.A. Shemyakina. Existence theorem for bounded solution to Cauchy problem for Frankl system of hyperbolic type // Nauchno-tekhnicheskie vedomosti SPbGBU. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki. 2(146), 130–131 (2012). (in Russian).
- S.N. Alekseenko, M.V. Dontsova. The solvability conditions of the system of long waves in a water rectangular channel, the depth of which varies along the axis // Zhurn. Srednevolzh. Matem. Obsch. 18:2, 115–124 (2016). (in Russian).
- M.V. Dontsova. Nonlocale existence of a bounded solution to a system of two first order partial differential equations with continuous bounded right hand sides // Vestnik TvGU. Ser. Prikl. Matem. 3, 21–36 (2014). (in Russian).

Marina Vladimirovna Dontsova, Lobachevsky University, Gagarin av. 23, 603950, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia E-mail: dontsowa.marina2011@yandex.ru