doi:10.13108/2017-9-1-54

UDC 517.948

# ON COERCIVE PROPERTIES AND SEPARABILITY OF BIHARMONIC OPERATOR WITH MATRIX POTENTIAL

# O.Kh. KARIMOV

**Abstract.** In the work we consider the coercive properties of a nonlinear biharmonic operator with a matrix operator in the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  and we prove its separability in this space. The considered nonlinear operators are not small perturbation of linear operators. The case of the linear biharmonic operator is considered separately.

**Keywords:** biharmonic differential operator, matrix potential, coercive inequalities, non-linearity, separability.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q40, 35J10

#### 1. Introduction

In the paper we study the separability of the nonlinear biharmonic operator

$$L[u] = \Delta^2 u(x) + V(x, u(x))u(x)$$

with a matrix potential and this operator is not a weak perturbation of a linear operator. We obtain sufficient condition for the separability of this operator in the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  and we establish the appropriate coercive inequalities.

Fundamental results on the separability theory of the differential operators are due to W.N. Everitt and M. Gierz. In works [1]–[4] they obtained a series of important results on the separability of the Sturm-Liouville operator and of its powers. They also considered a multi-dimensional Schrödinger operator. An essential contribution in further developing of this theory was made by K.Kh. Boimatov, M. Otelbaev and by their pupils (see [5]–[8] and the references therein). The coercive properties of nonlinear Schrödinger and Dirac operators were considered in [6]. The separability of a nonlinear Schrödinger operator was studied in [8].

The separability of partial differential operators was studied first by K.Kh. Boimatov in work [5]. The separability of the linear biharmonic operator  $L[u] = \Delta^2 u(x) + V(x)u(x)$  was studied before in works [9], [10]. The separability of nonlinear second order differential operators with varying matrix coefficients in an n-dimensional Euclidean space was studied before in work [11]. The present work generalizes work [9] for a nonlinear case.

It should be noted that the separability of nonlinear differential operators was studied mostly in the case when the considered operator is a weak perturbation of a linear operator. In distinction to this case, the nonlinear differential operators we consider here are not necessarily a weak perturbation of a linear operator.

O.Kh. Karimov, On coercive properties and separability of biharmonic operator with matrix potential.

<sup>©</sup>KARIMOV O.KH. 2017.

Submitte February 10, 2016.

# 2. Formulation of main result

In the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ , where l is a natural number, we consider the differential equation

$$\Delta^{2}u(x) + V(x, u(x))u(x) = f(x), \qquad u(x) \in W_{2,loc}^{4}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $V(x,\omega)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^l$ , are square positive definite Hermitian matrices in End  $\mathbb{C}^l$ . Hereinafter  $B^l$ , B is a linear space, stands for the space of the elements  $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_l)$  with the entries  $y_j$  in B.

**Definition 2.1.** Equation (2.1) and the associated differential operator are called separable in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ , if  $\Delta^2 u(x)$ ,  $V(x, u(x))u(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  for all  $u(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \cap W^4_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  such that  $f(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ .

Given  $z^{(i)} = (z_1^{(i)}, \dots, z_l^{(i)})$  (i = 1, 2), we let

$$\langle z^{(1)}, z^{(2)} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l} z_j^{(1)} \overline{z_j^{(2)}}.$$

We denote

$$(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle u(x), v(x) \rangle dx,$$

if the integral in the right hand side converges absolutely.

In what follows we assume that  $V(x,\omega) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l; \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^l)$ .

We introduce new matrix functions

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_l) = V^{1/2}(x, \omega), (x_i \in R, \xi_j, \eta_j \in R),$$
  

$$Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_l) = F^2(x, \omega), (x_i \in R, \xi_j, \eta_j \in R),$$

where  $\omega$  is defined by the identity  $\omega = (\xi_1 + i\eta_1, \ldots, \xi_l + i\eta_l)$ . Here  $V^{\frac{1}{2}}(x, \omega)$  is introduced as the square root of a positive definite Hermitian matrix.

We assume that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega = (\xi_1 + i\eta_1, \ldots, \xi_l + i\eta_l)$ ,  $\Omega = (\mu_1 + i\nu_1, \ldots, \mu_l + i\nu_l)$ ,  $(\xi_j, \eta_j, \mu_j, \nu_j \in R)$  and  $u \in W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the matrix function  $F(x, \omega)$  satisfies the conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i^2} F^{-\frac{3}{2}}; \mathbb{C}^l \right\|^2 \leqslant \sigma_1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2 \leqslant \sigma_2 \left\| F^{\frac{3}{2}} u; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mu_{j} F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial \xi_{j}} \omega + \nu_{j} F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial \eta_{j}} \omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\| \leq \delta_{1} \left\| F^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\|, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mu_{j} F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{j}} \omega + \nu_{j} F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_{j}} \omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\| \leqslant \delta_{2} \left\| F^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\|. \tag{2.5}$$

We also assume that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega = (\xi_1 + i\eta_1, \dots, \xi_l + i\eta_l)$ ,  $\Omega = (\mu_1 + i\nu_1, \dots, \mu_l + i\nu_l)$ ,  $(\xi_j, \eta_j, \mu_j, \nu_j \in R)$  and  $u \in W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the inequalities

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} Q^{-1}; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\|^{2} \leqslant \sigma_{3}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2 \leqslant \sigma_4 \| Vu; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \|^2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mu_{j} F^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial x_{i} \partial \xi_{j}} \omega + \nu_{j} F^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial x_{i} \partial \eta_{j}} \omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\| \leqslant \delta_{3} \left\| F \Omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\|, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mu_{j} F^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi_{j}} \omega + \nu_{j} F^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \eta_{j}} \omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\| \leq \delta_{4} \| F \Omega; \mathbb{C}^{l} \|. \tag{2.9}$$

hold true.

Now we are in position to formulate the main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that conditions (2.2)–(2.9) hold and let the numbers  $\sigma_j$ ,  $\delta_j$ ,  $(j = \overline{1,4})$ , are such that

$$\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2 < 4, \qquad \delta_1 + 2\delta_2 < 1, \qquad \sigma_3 + 2\sigma_4 < 4, \qquad \delta_3 + 2\delta_4 < 1.$$
 (2.10)

Then equation (2.1) is separable in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  and for all vector functions  $u(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \cap W^4_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  such that  $f(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  the belongings

$$\Delta^2 u, \ V(x,u)u, \ V^{\frac{1}{2}}(x,u)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

hold. At that, the coercive inequality

$$\|\Delta^{2}u(x); L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\| + \|V(x, u(x))u(x); L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\|$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|V^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}u(x)}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\right\| \leq M\|f(x); L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\|$$
(2.11)

is valid, where a positive number M is independent of u(x), f(x).

**Example.** The assumption of the theorem are satisfied for equation (2.1) as  $V(x, u(x)) = (1 + |u(x)|^2)^{\rho}$ , n = 1, that is,  $Q(x, \xi, \eta) = (1 + \xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\rho}$  as  $\rho \leqslant \min\{\frac{\delta_2}{2}; \frac{\delta_4}{4}\}$ .

## 3. Auxiliary Lemmata

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that in equation (2.1) the vector function f(x) belongs to the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  and the vector function u(x) belongs to the class  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \cap W^4_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ . Then the vector functions  $V^{1/2}(x,u(x))u(x)$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}$   $(i=1,2,\ldots,n)$  belong to the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a fixed nonnegative function equalling one as |x| < 1. For each positive number  $\varepsilon$  we let  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varphi(\varepsilon x)$ .

Employing the identities

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}$$
 and  $(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon} u) = (\Delta^2 u, \varphi_{\varepsilon} u) + (V(x, u)u, \varphi_{\varepsilon} u),$ 

we have

$$(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon}u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} u \right) + (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon}u),$$

$$(3.1)$$

where  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  denotes the scalar product in the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ .

Since the function  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  is real-valued and

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right| \leqslant M_1 \varepsilon, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_k \partial x_i} \right| \leqslant M_0 \varepsilon^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$M_1 = \sup |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)|, \qquad M_0 = \sup |\Delta \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)|,$$

then passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , by identity (3.1) we find

$$\operatorname{Re}(f, u) \geqslant \sum_{k,i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right) + (Vu, u),$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that conditions (2.2)–(2.5) hold and let a vector function u(x) in the class  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \cap W_{2,loc}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  solves equation (2.1) with the right hand side  $f(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ . Then the vector functions  $F^{\frac{3}{2}}(x,u(x))u(x)$ ,  $F^{\frac{1}{2}}(x,u(x))\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}$ ,  $k=1,\ldots,n$ , belong the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ .

*Proof.* Let the function  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)$  be the same as in proof of the Lemma 3.1. It is obvious that

$$(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon} F u) = (\Delta^2 u, \varphi_{\varepsilon} F u) + (V(x, u)u, \varphi_{\varepsilon} F u).$$

In view the identity

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi_{\varepsilon}Fu)}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}}Fu + \varphi_{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}u + \sum_{j=1}^{l}\varphi_{\varepsilon}\operatorname{Re}\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{j}}u + \sum_{j=1}^{l}\varphi_{\varepsilon}\operatorname{Im}\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_{j}}u + \varphi_{\varepsilon}F\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}},$$

after some simple transformations we obtain

$$(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Fu) = A_1^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2A_2^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2A_3^{\varepsilon}(u) + A_4^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2A_5^{\varepsilon}(u) + A_6^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2A_7^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2A_8^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2A_9^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2A_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u) + (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Fu),$$

$$(3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{split} A_{1}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} Fu \right), \quad A_{2}^{\varepsilon}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \right), \\ A_{3}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} F\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right), \quad A_{4}^{\varepsilon}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} u \right), \\ A_{5}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right), \quad A_{6}^{\varepsilon}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon} F\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right), \\ A_{7}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{j}} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \right), \\ A_{8}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{j}} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \right) \right), \\ A_{9}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial^{2}u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{j}} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_{j}} \right) u \right), \\ A_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{j}} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_{j}} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hereinafter the values of F,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_j}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_j}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial \xi_j}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial \eta_j}$  are taken at the point  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \operatorname{Re} u_1(x), \ldots, \operatorname{Re} u_l(x), \operatorname{Im} u_1(x), \ldots, \operatorname{Im} u_l(x))$ .

Estimating the functionals, we find that by Lemma 3.1 the functionals  $A_1^{\varepsilon}(u)$ ,  $A_2^{\varepsilon}(u)$ ,  $A_3^{\varepsilon}(u)$ ,  $A_7^{\varepsilon}(u)$  tend to zero as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

For the functionals  $A_m^{\varepsilon}(u)$ , m=4,5,6,8,9,10, we obtain the following estimates

$$\begin{split} |A_4^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \frac{\beta_1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \varphi_{\varepsilon} F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} \right) + \frac{\sigma_1}{2\beta_1} (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon} Fu), \\ |A_5^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \frac{\beta_2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \varphi_{\varepsilon} F \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} \right) + \frac{\sigma_2}{2\beta_2} (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon} Fu), \\ |A_6^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2, \qquad |A_8^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \delta_1 \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2, \\ |A_9^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \delta_2 \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2, \qquad |A_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \delta_2 \sum_{k=1}^n \| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2. \end{split}$$

Here  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  are arbitrary positive numbers and  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are the constants in conditions (2.2)–(2.5). While estimating the functionals  $A_9^{\varepsilon}(u)$  and  $A_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u)$ , we have employed inequality (2.5) twice: in the case

$$\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_l) = u(x) = (u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_l(x)),$$

and

$$\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_l) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i}, \dots, \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_i}\right).$$

On the basis of the obtained estimates, by identity (3.2) we have

$$|(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Fu)| \geqslant \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{1}}{2\beta_{1}} - \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\beta_{2}}\right) \cdot (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Fu) - |A_{1}^{\varepsilon}(u)| - |A_{2}^{\varepsilon}(u)| - |A_{3}^{\varepsilon}(u)| - |A_{7}^{\varepsilon}(u)| + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{1}}{2} - \beta_{2} - 2\delta_{1} - 2\delta_{2} - 2\delta_{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, \varphi_{\varepsilon}F\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}\right).$$

Then we apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain the inequality

$$||f; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}|||Fu; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}|| \geqslant |(f, Fu)| \geqslant \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{1}}{2\beta_{1}} - \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\beta_{2}}\right) (Vu, Fu) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{1}}{2} - \beta_{2} - 2\delta_{1} - 4\delta_{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}, F\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}\right).$$

$$(3.3)$$

Now we choose positive numbers  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  so that to satisfy the conditions

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{2\beta_1} + \frac{\sigma_2}{\beta_2} < 1, \quad \frac{\beta_1}{2} + \beta_2 + 2\delta_1 + 4\delta_2 < 1.$$

Since by Lemma 3.1  $Fu \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ , it follows from inequality (3.3) that the vector functions  $F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}$ , (k = 1, 2, ..., n),  $F^{\frac{3}{2}}u$  belong to the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ . The proof is complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.1. Proceeding as above and employing the identity

$$(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Vu) = (\Delta^2 u, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Vu) + (V(x, u)u, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Vu),$$

by simple transformations we obtain

$$(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Vu) = B_{1}^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2B_{2}^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2B_{3}^{\varepsilon}(u) + B_{4}^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2B_{5}^{\varepsilon}(u) + B_{5}^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2B_{7}^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2B_{8}^{\varepsilon}(u) + 2B_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u) + (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Vu),$$

$$(4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{split} B_1^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i^2} Q u \right), \quad B_2^{\varepsilon}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i} u \right), \\ B_3^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} Q \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad B_4^{\varepsilon}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x_i^2} u \right), \\ B_5^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad B_6^{\varepsilon}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\varepsilon} Q \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \right), \\ B_7^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi_j} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \eta_j} \right) u \right), \\ B_8^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x_i \partial \xi_j} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x_i \partial \eta_j} \right) u \right), \\ B_9^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial x_i^2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi_j} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial x_i^2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \eta_j} \right) u \right), \\ B_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i^2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi_j} + \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i^2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \eta_j} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right). \end{split}$$

Hereinafter the values of Q,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi_i}$ ,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \eta_i}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x_i \partial \xi_i}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x_i \partial \eta_i}$  are taken at the point  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \operatorname{Re} u_1(x), \ldots, \operatorname{Re} u_l(x), \operatorname{Im} u_1(x), \ldots, \operatorname{Im} u_l(x)).$ Estimating the functionals  $B_j^{\varepsilon}(u), j = \overline{1, 10}$ , we find that the functionals  $B_1^{\varepsilon}(u), B_2^{\varepsilon}(u)$ ,

 $B_3^{\varepsilon}(u), B_7^{\varepsilon}(u)$  tend to zero as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

For the functionals  $B_m^{\varepsilon}(u)$ , m=4,5,6,8,9,10, we obtain the following estimates:

$$\begin{split} |B_4^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \frac{\beta_3}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( F \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \varphi_{\varepsilon} F \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} \right) + \frac{\sigma_3}{2\beta_3} (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon} Vu), \\ |B_5^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \frac{\beta_4}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \varphi_{\varepsilon} Q \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} \right) + \frac{\sigma_4}{2\beta_4} (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon} Vu), \\ |B_6^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2, \qquad |B_8^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \delta_3 \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} F \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2, \\ |B_9^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \delta_4 \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} F \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2, \qquad |B_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u)| &\leqslant \delta_4 \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} F \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \right\|^2. \end{split}$$

Here  $\beta_3$ ,  $\beta_4$  are positive numbers and  $\sigma_3$ ,  $\sigma_4$ ,  $\delta_3$  and  $\delta_4$  are the constants in conditions (2.6)– (2.10). While estimating the functionals  $B_9^{\varepsilon}(u)$  and  $B_{10}^{\varepsilon}(u)$ , inequality (2.9) is used twice: in the case

$$\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_l) = u(x) = (u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_l(x)),$$

and

$$\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_l) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i}, \dots, \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_i}\right).$$

By the obtained estimates and identity (4.1) we have

$$|(f, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Vu)| \geqslant \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2\beta_3} - \frac{\sigma_4}{\beta_4}\right) (Vu, \varphi_{\varepsilon}Vu) - |B_1^{\varepsilon}(u)| - |B_2^{\varepsilon}(u)| - |B_3^{\varepsilon}(u)| - |B_7^{\varepsilon}(u)| + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_3}{2} - \beta_4 - 2\delta_3 - 2\delta_4 - 2\delta_4\right) \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, \varphi_{\varepsilon}V\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}\right).$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain the inequality

$$||f; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l|| ||Vu; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l|| \geqslant |(f, Vu)| \geqslant \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2\beta_3} - \frac{\sigma_4}{\beta_4}\right) (Vu, Vu) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_3}{2} - \beta_4 - 2\delta_3 - 4\delta_4\right) \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}, V\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}\right).$$

Then we choose positive numbers  $\beta_3$ ,  $\beta_4$  to satisfy the conditions

$$\frac{\sigma_3}{2\beta_3} + \frac{\sigma_4}{\beta_4} < 1, \quad \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \beta_4 + 2\delta_3 + 4\delta_4 < 1.$$

By the obtained inequality via simple transformations we arrive at coercive inequality (2.11). The separability of nonlinear operator (2.1) in the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  is implied by coercive inequality (2.11). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

#### 5. Linear case

For the sake of a pictorial presentation, we formulate the statement of Theorem 2.1 in the case of a linear biharmonic operator. We assume that  $V(x,\omega)$  is independent of  $\omega$  and is of the form  $V(x,\omega)=V(x)$ , where  $V(x)=V^*(x)\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n,\operatorname{End}\mathbb{C}^l)$ . We also suppose that for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u\in W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} F^{-\frac{3}{2}}; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\|^{2} \leqslant \sigma_{1},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l} \right\|^{2} \leqslant \sigma_{2} \left\| F^{\frac{3}{2}} u; ; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l} \right\|^{2},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| V^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} V^{-1}; \mathbb{C}^{l} \right\|^{2} \leqslant \sigma_{3},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| V^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l} \right\|^{2} \leqslant \sigma_{4} \| V u; ; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l} \|^{2}$$

hold, where  $\sigma_j$ ,  $j = \overline{1, 4}$ , are some numbers.

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume that the conditions formulated in this section hold and let the numbers  $\sigma_j$ ,  $j = \overline{1, 4}$ , be such that  $0 < \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2 < 4$ ,  $0 < \sigma_3 + 2\sigma_4 < 4$ . Then equation (2.1) is separable in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  and for all vector functions  $u(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l \cap W^4_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  such that  $f(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$  the belongings

$$\Delta^2 u$$
,  $Vu$ ,  $V^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)^l$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

hold true. At that, the coercive inequality

$$\|\Delta^{2}u(x); L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\| + \|V(x)u(x); L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\|$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}u(x)}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}; L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\| \leq M \|f(x); L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{l}\|$$

hold, where a positive number M is independent of u(x), f(x).

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. W.N. Everitt, M. Gierz. Some properties of the domains of certain differential operators // Proc. London Math. Soc. s3-23:2, 301–324 (1971).
- 2. W.N. Everitt, M. Gierz. On some properties of the powers of a family self-adjoint differential expressions // Proc. London Math. Soc. s3-24:1, 149-170 (1972).
- 3. W.N. Everitt, M. Gierz. Some inequalities associated with certain differential operators // Math.Z. 126:4, 308–326 (1972).
- 4. W.N. Everitt, M. Gierz. Inequalities and separation for Schrödinger-type operators in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  // Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg. Sect A. **79**:3-4, 257–265 (1977).
- 5. K.Kh. Boimatov. Separability theorems, weighted spaces and their applications // Trudy Matem. Inst. Steklova AN SSSR. 170, 37–76 (1984). [Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 170, 39–81 (1987).]
- 6. K.Kh. Boimatov, A. Sharifov. Coercive properties of nonlinear Schrödinger and Dirac operators // Dokl. Akad. Nauk. **326**:3, 393–398 (1992). [Dokl. Math. **46**:2, 258–263 (1993).]
- M.O. Otelbaev. Coercive estimates and separability theorems for elliptic equations in R<sup>n</sup> // Trudy Matem. Inst. Steklova AN SSSR. 161, 195–217 (1983). [Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 161, 213–239 (1984).]
- 8. M.B. Muratbekov, M. Otelbaev. Smoothness and approximation properties for solutions of a class of nonlinear equations of Schrödinger type // Izv. VUZov. Matem. 3, 44–48 (1989). [Soviet Math. Izv. VUZ. Matem. 33:3, 68–74 (1989).]
- 9. E.M.E. Zayed. Separation for the biharmonic differential operator in the Hilbert space associated with existence and uniqueness theorem // J. Math. Anal. Appl. 337:1, 659–666 (2008).
- 10. O.Kh. Karimov. Coercive properties and separability of a biharmonic operator with a matrix potential // Abstracts of International Conference on Functional Spaces and Theory of Approximation of Functions dedicated to 110th anniversary of Academician S.M. Nikol'skii, Moscow. 153–154 (2015). (in Russian).
- 11. O.Kh. Karimov. On separability of nonlinear second order differential operators with matrix coefficients // Izv. AN RT. Otdel. fiz.-matem., geol. tekhn. nauk. 4(157), 42–50 (2014). (in Russian).

Olimzhon Khudoiberdievich Karimov,

Institute of Mathematics AS RT,

Ainy st., 299/4,

734063, Dushanbe, Republic of Tadjikistan

E-mail: karimov\_olim@mail.ru