

SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF TWO PARTICLE HAMILTONIAN ON ONE-DIMENSIONAL LATTICE

M.E. MUMINOV, A.M. KHURRAMOV

Abstract. We consider a system of two arbitrary quantum particles on a one-dimensional lattice with special dispersion functions (describing site-to-site particle transport), where the particles interact by a chosen attraction potential. We study how the number of eigenvalues of operator family $h(k)$ depends on the particle interaction energy and the total quasimomentum $k \in \mathbb{T}$ (where \mathbb{T} is a one-dimensional torus). Subject to the particle interaction energy, we obtain conditions for existence of multiple eigenvalues below the essential spectrum of operator $h(k)$.

Keywords: two-particle Hamiltonian on one dimensional lattice, eigenvalue, multiple eigenvalue.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 44A55, 81Q10

1. INTRODUCTION

In the continuous case the study of spectral properties of the complete Hamiltonian for a two-particle system is reduced to the study of a two-particle Schrödinger operator by means of choosing the energy of the mass center so that one-particle bound states are eigenfunctions for the energy operator with a separated complete momentum (in fact, such operator is independent of total momentum) [1]. Dealing with a lattice, “choosing of the mass center” corresponds to the realization of the Hamiltonian as “fibered operator”, i.e., the “direct integral” of the family of operators $h(k)$ describing the energy of two particles depending on total quasi-momentum $k \in \mathbb{T}^d$ (\mathbb{T}^d is a d -dimensional torus) [2, 3]. Discrete Hamiltonians were studied in works [4, 5]. In work [4] the emergence of bound states levels was shown for some values of quasi-momentum and these levels were separated from the continuous spectrum by a certain distance. Spectral properties of a two-particle operator depending on the total quasi-momentum were studied in [5].

It was shown in work [3] that in the case when operator $h(\mathbf{0})$ has a virtual level at the left threshold of the essential spectrum, the discrete spectrum of operator $h(k)$ located to the left of the essential spectrum is always non-empty for each $k \in \mathbb{T}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Assuming that dispersion laws of particles $\varepsilon_1(\cdot)$ and $\varepsilon_2(\cdot)$ were linearly dependent functions, in work [6] there was shown that the positivity of $h(\mathbf{0})$ implies the positivity of $h(k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

In [7] there was studied a system of two particles on a three-dimensional lattice with some dispersion law describing the transport of the particle from a node to a neighboring site interacting by an attractive potential only on the closest neighboring sites. The spectral properties of operator family $h(k)$ we studied subject to the particles interaction energy and total quasi-momentum $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ (\mathbb{T}^3 is a 3-dimensional torus).

In the work we consider a two-particle Schrödinger operator $h(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}$, associated with a system of two particles on the one-dimensional lattice, where the potential is described by some

M.E. MUMINOV, A.M. KHURRAMOV, SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF TWO PARTICLE HAMILTONIAN ON ONE-DIMENSIONAL LATTICE.

© MUMINOV M.E., KHURRAMOV A.M. 2014.

Submitted January 30, 2014.

$(2N + 1)$ -dimensional integral operator and the dispersion law is studied depending on N . We study the existence of eigenvalues for operator family $h(k)$ depending on particles interaction energy and total quasi-momentum k .

2. FORMULATION OF MAIN RESULTS

Let \mathbb{Z} be the one-dimensional integer lattice, $(\mathbb{Z})^2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be the Cartesian power of \mathbb{Z} , and $\ell_2((\mathbb{Z})^2)$ be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions defined on $(\mathbb{Z})^2$.

We consider the coordinate representation for the Hamiltonian of the system of two arbitrary particles interacting with a pair short range potential $\hat{v}(\cdot)$ on the one-dimensional lattice acting in space $\ell_2((\mathbb{Z})^2)$ by the formula

$$\hat{h} = \hat{h}_0 - \hat{v},$$

where the action of \hat{h}_0 and \hat{v} is described by the rules:

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{h}_0 \hat{\psi})(n_1, n_2) &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} [\hat{\varepsilon}_1(s) \hat{\psi}(n_1 + s, n_2) + \hat{\varepsilon}_2(s) \hat{\psi}(n_1, n_2 + s)], \\ (\hat{v} \hat{\psi})(n_1, n_2) &= \hat{v}(n_1 - n_2) \hat{\psi}(n_1, n_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{\varepsilon}_1(s)$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}_2(s)$ are real-valued even functions describing the transport of a particle from the site to the neighboring site; these functions are defined as

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_i(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m_i} & \text{as } s = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2m_i} & \text{as } s = \pm 2n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\hat{v}(s) = \begin{cases} 2\pi\mu_0 & \text{as } s = 0, \\ \pi\mu_l & \text{as } s = \pm l, \quad l = \overline{1, N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $m_i > 0$ is the mass of i th particle, $i = 1, 2$, $\mu_l > 0$, n is a natural number.

We introduce the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(x) &\equiv 0, \quad P_1(x) = x, \\ P_k(x) &= P_1(P_{k-1}(x)) + 2P_{k-1}(x) - P_{k-2}(x) + 2P_1(x), \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let Δ be the discrete Laplacian on the one-dimensional lattice. It acts in $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by the formula

$$(\Delta f)(s) = f(s + 1) + f(s - 1) - 2f(s).$$

Proposition 1. *For each $f \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ the identity*

$$f(s + k) + f(s - k) - 2f(s) = (P_k(\Delta)f)(s), \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

holds true.

Proof. Assume that as $k = l$, $l \geq 2$, the identity

$$f(s + l) + f(s - l) - 2f(s) = (P_l(\Delta)f)(s)$$

is valid. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_1(P_l(\Delta))f)(s) &= [f(s + l + 1) + f(s - (l - 1)) - 2f(s + 1)] \\ &\quad + [f(s - (l + 1)) + f(s + (l - 1)) - 2f(s - 1)] - 2(P_l(\Delta)f)(s) \\ &= (P_{l+1}(\Delta)f)(s) + (P_{l-1}(\Delta)f)(s) - 2(P_1(\Delta)f)(s) - (P_l(\Delta)f)(s). \end{aligned}$$

It yields that

$$\begin{aligned} f(s+l+1) + f(s-(l+1)) - 2f(s) &= (P_1(\Delta)f)(s) + 2(P_l(\Delta)f)(s) \\ &\quad - (P_{l-1}(\Delta)f)(s) + 2(P_1(\Delta)f)(s) = (P_{l+1}(\Delta)f)(s). \end{aligned}$$

□

We observe that free hamiltonian \hat{h}_0 of two arbitrary particles system on the one-dimensional lattice acts in the space $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ by the formula

$$\hat{h}_0 = \frac{1}{2m_1} P_{2n}(\Delta) \times E + \frac{1}{2m_2} E \times P_{2n}(\Delta),$$

where E is the identity mapping in $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

We note that considered operator \hat{h} is bounded and self-adjoint in $\ell_2((\mathbb{Z})^2)$.

Let $\mathbb{T} = (-\pi, \pi]$, $L_2(\mathbb{T})$ be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions defined on \mathbb{T} . By means of Fourier transform [3], [6]

$$\mathfrak{F} : \ell_2((\mathbb{Z})^2) \rightarrow L_2((\mathbb{T})^2), \quad (\mathfrak{F}\hat{f})(p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{s \in (\mathbb{Z})^2} \hat{f}(s) e^{-i(p,s)},$$

we obtain the momentum representation h of operator \hat{h} , i.e., $h = \mathfrak{F}\hat{h}\mathfrak{F}^{-1}$. Then we expand operator h into the direct operator integral

$$h = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \oplus h(k) dk,$$

where $h(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}$, is the self-adjoint operator acting in $L_2(\mathbb{T})$ by the formula

$$h(k) = h_0(k) - \mathbf{v}.$$

Here $h_0(k)$ the operator of multiplication by the function

$$\mathcal{E}_k(p) = \frac{1}{m_1} \varepsilon(p) + \frac{1}{m_2} \varepsilon(p-k), \quad \varepsilon(p) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\varepsilon}(s) e^{ips} = 1 - \cos 2np$$

and \mathbf{v} is an integral operator with the kernel

$$v(p-q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}(s) e^{-i(p-q)s} = \sum_{l=0}^N \mu_l \cos l(p-q).$$

We note that Weyl theorem on essential spectrum [8] implies that the essential spectrum $\sigma_{ess}(h(k))$ of operator $h(k)$ does not change under compact perturbation \mathbf{v} and coincides with the spectrum of unperturbed operator $h_0(k)$. At that, $\sigma_{ess}(h(k))$ consists of the range of function $\mathcal{E}_k(\cdot)$, i.e.,

$$\sigma_{ess}(h(k)) = \sigma(h_0(k)) = [m(k), M(k)],$$

where $m(k) = \min_{p \in \mathbb{T}} \mathcal{E}_k(p)$, $M(k) = \max_{p \in \mathbb{T}} \mathcal{E}_k(p)$.

Since $\mathbf{v} \geq 0$, then

$$\sup(h(k)f, f) \leq \sup(h_0(k)f, f) = M(k)(f, f), \quad f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}).$$

This is why operator $h(k)$ has no eigenvalues to right of the essential spectrum, i.e.,

$$\sigma(h(k)) \cap (M(k), \infty) = \emptyset.$$

In what follows we assume that

$$n = \begin{cases} \text{LCF}\{2, 4, \dots, 2(N-1)\} & \text{as } N > 1, \\ 1, & \text{as } N = 1, \end{cases}$$

where LCF stands for the lowest common factor. It should be mentioned that if N is a power of a prime number, then number $\frac{n}{2N}$ is fractional. Otherwise number $\frac{n}{2N}$ is natural.

We introduce the notations

$$\begin{aligned} d(k; z) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z}, & c_N(k; z) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos^2 Ns ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z}, \\ s_N(k; z) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin^2 Ns ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z}, & z &< m(k), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p) &= \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{2}{m_1 m_2} \cos 2nk + \frac{1}{m_2^2} \cos 2np}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The representation for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p)$ implies that $\min_{p \in [-\pi, \pi]} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p)$ is attained at zero only. This is why the integral $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin^2 Ns ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - m(k)}$ converges and is positive.

We denote

$$\mu^0(k) = \frac{1}{s_N(k; m(k))}. \tag{2}$$

Assumption 1. Assume that $m = m_1 = m_2$ and $k = \pm \frac{\pi}{2n}$.

We note that if $\frac{n}{2N}$ is a natural (fractional) number, then $c_N(k; z) = s_N(k; z)$ ($c_N(k; z) > s_N(k; z)$).

Theorem 1. Suppose that Assumption 1 fails. Then the following statements hold true:

1. If $\frac{n}{2N}$ is a natural number, then for each $\mu = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ operator $h(k)$ has exactly $2N + 1$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) to the left of the essential spectrum.

2. If $\frac{n}{2N}$ is a fractional number, then for each $\mu = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and $\mu_N \in M_\alpha$, operator $h(k)$ has exactly $2N + \alpha$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) to the left of the essential spectrum, where $M_0 = (0; \mu^0(k)]$, $M_1 = (\mu^0(k); \infty)$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 2. Suppose Assumption 1 holds. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p) \equiv \frac{2}{m}$ and for each $\mu = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ operator $h(k)$ has exactly $2N + 1$ eigenvalues reading as: $z_0 = \frac{2}{m} - 2\mu_0\pi$, $z_l = \frac{2}{m} - \mu_l\pi$, $l = \overline{1, N}$. At that, z_0 is a simple eigenvalue and z_l , $l \geq 1$, is a double eigenvalue.

Remark. It should be mentioned that if $1 - \frac{\mu^*}{2}d(k, z^*) = 0$, $z^* < m(k)$, $\mu^* > 0$ (see Lemma 2) and $\mu_l = \mu^*$ for each $l \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N - 1\}$, then number $z = z^*$ an eigenvalue of operator $h(k)$ having the multiplicity at least $2N - 2$.

3. EIGENVALUES OF $h(k)$

We introduce an operator $\tilde{h}(k)$ acting in $L_2(\mathbb{T})$ by the rule

$$\tilde{h}(k) = \tilde{h}_0(k) - \mathbf{v},$$

where $\tilde{h}_0(k)$ is the operator of multiplication by the function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p) = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{2}{m_1 m_2} \cos 2nk + \frac{1}{m_2^2} \cos 2np}.$$

Suppose that the unitary operator $U : L_2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T})$ is defined by the formula

$$(Uf)(p) = f\left(p - \frac{1}{2n}\theta(k)\right),$$

where

$$\theta(k) = \arccos \frac{\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \cos 2nk}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{2}{m_1 m_2} \cos 2nk + \frac{1}{m_2^2}}}.$$

Then

$$(U^{-1}f)(p) = f\left(p + \frac{1}{2n}\theta(k)\right), \quad f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}).$$

Lemma 1. *Operator $h(k)$ is unitarily equivalent to operator $\tilde{h}(k)$, i.e.,*

$$\tilde{h}(k) = U^{-1}h(k)U.$$

Proof. Since the representation

$$\mathcal{E}_k(p) = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{2}{m_1 m_2} \cos 2nk + \frac{1}{m_2^2}} \cos(2np - \theta(k))$$

holds true, then

$$(h_0(k)Uf)(p) = \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{2}{m_1 m_2} \cos 2nk + \frac{1}{m_2^2}} \cos(2np - \theta(k)) \right) f\left(p - \frac{1}{2n}\theta(k)\right).$$

It is easy to check that

$$(U^{-1}h_0(k)Uf)(p) = \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{2}{m_1 m_2} \cos 2nk + \frac{1}{m_2^2}} \cos 2np \right) f(p),$$

i.e.,

$$U^{-1}h_0(k)U = \tilde{h}_0(k).$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (U^{-1}\mathbf{v}Uf)(p) &= U^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} v(s-p) f\left(s - \frac{1}{2n}\theta(k)\right) ds \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} v\left(s - \left(p + \frac{1}{2n}\theta(k)\right)\right) f\left(s - \frac{1}{2n}\theta(k)\right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

In this latter integral we make the change $s - \frac{1}{2n}\theta(k) = t$ and get

$$(U^{-1}\mathbf{v}Uf)(p) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t-p) f(t) dt,$$

i.e.,

$$U^{-1}\mathbf{v}U = \mathbf{v}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2. *A number z , $z < m(k)$ is an eigenvalue of operator $\tilde{h}(k)$ if and only if $\Delta(k; z) = 0$, where*

$$\Delta(k; z) = (1 - \mu_0 d(k; z)) \prod_{l=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{\mu_l}{2} d(k; z)\right)^2$$

for natural $\frac{n}{2N}$, and

$$\Delta(k; z) = (1 - \mu_0 d(k; z)) \prod_{l=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_l}{2} d(k; z)\right)^2 (1 - \mu_N c_N(k; z)) (1 - \mu_N s_N(k; z))$$

for fractional $\frac{n}{2N}$. At that, the order of zero of function $\Delta(k; \cdot)$ coincides with the multiplicity of the eigenvalue of operator $h(k)$.

Proof. Let $z < m(k)$ be an eigenvalue of operator $\tilde{h}(k)$ and f the associated eigenvector, i.e., the equation

$$\tilde{h}(k)f = zf$$

has a non-trivial solution f . Then

$$f = r_0(z)\mathbf{v}f, \quad (3)$$

where $r_0(z)$ is the operator of multiplication by function $\frac{1}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p)-z}$. Introducing the notations

$$\varphi_l = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cos ls f(s) ds, \quad (4)$$

$$\psi_l = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sin ls f(s) ds, \quad (5)$$

we rewrite identity (3) as

$$f(p) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p)-z} \sum_{l=0}^N \mu_l (\varphi_l \cos lp + \psi_l \sin lp). \quad (6)$$

Substituting (6) into (4) and (5) and employing the evenness of function $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(\cdot)$, we obtain the system of linear equations

$$\varphi_l = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{r=1}^N \mu_r \varphi_r \frac{\cos ls \cos rs}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s)-z} ds, \quad l = 0, \dots, N, \quad (7)$$

$$\psi_l = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{r=1}^N \mu_r \psi_r \frac{\sin ls \sin rs}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s)-z} ds, \quad l = 1, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

It follows from the definition of number n that number $\frac{n}{2l}$ is natural for each $l = 1, \dots, N-1$. It implies that function $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(\cdot)$ is periodic with the period $\frac{\pi}{2l}$ for each $l = 1, \dots, N-1$. Let us show that for each $l = 1, \dots, 2N-1$ the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos ls}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s)-z} ds = 0 \quad (9)$$

holds true. Indeed, if l an odd (even) number, we make the change of variable $s = t + \pi$ ($s = t + \frac{\pi}{l}$) in the integral in the left hand side of identity (9) and we have

$$I_l(z) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos lt}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(t)-z} dt = -I_l(z).$$

It yields identity (9). By the primitive identities

$$\cos ls \cos rs = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(l+r)s + \cos(l-r)s), \quad \sin ls \sin rs = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(l-r)s - \cos(l+r)s),$$

and (9) we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos ls \cos rs}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s)-z} ds = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin ls \sin rs}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s)-z} ds = 0, \quad l \neq r, \quad l, r = 1, \dots, N. \quad (10)$$

In view of (10), identities (7) and (8) cast into the form

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_l &= \mu_l \varphi_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos^2 ls}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} ds, \quad l = 0, \dots, N, \\ \psi_l &= \mu_l \psi_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin^2 ls}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} ds, \quad l = 1, \dots, N.\end{aligned}$$

The determinant of the system of linear equations w.r.t. unknowns $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N$ reads as

$$\Delta(k; z) = \prod_{l=0}^N \left(1 - \mu_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos^2 l s ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} \right) \prod_{l=1}^N \left(1 - \mu_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin^2 l s ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} \right).$$

At that, if $z < m(k)$ is an eigenvalue of operator $\tilde{h}(k)$, then

$$\Delta(k; z) = (1 - \mu_0 d(k; z)) \prod_{l=1}^N \left(1 - \mu_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos^2 l s ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} \right) \left(1 - \mu_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin^2 l s ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} \right) = 0.$$

It is easy to show that in accordance with (10), for each $l \leq N$ under natural $n/2N$ the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos^2 l s ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin^2 l s ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} = \frac{1}{2} d(k; z)$$

holds true. Hence, the identity

$$\Delta(k; z) = (1 - \mu_0 d(k; z)) \prod_{l=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{\mu_l}{2} d(k; z) \right)^2$$

is valid.

Vice versa, suppose that $\Delta(k; z) = 0$. Then for some $l \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and $z < m(k)$ at least one of the factors in $\Delta(k; z)$ vanishes, i.e., either $1 - \mu_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{ds}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} = 0$ or $(1 - \frac{\mu_l}{2} d(k; z))^2 = 0$.

And it is easy to make sure that number $z < m(k)$ is an eigenvalue of operator $\tilde{h}(k)$ and either

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p) - z} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\cos lp}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p) - z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sin lp}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p) - z}$$

are the associated eigenfunctions.

For a fractional $\frac{n}{2N}$ we argue in the same way.

We observe that the order of zero of function $\Delta(k; \cdot)$ coincides with the multiplicity of the eigenvalue of operator $\tilde{h}(k)$. The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that Assumption 1 fails. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{T}$ number $\mu^0(k)$ defined by formula (2) is finite.

The relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - \mu_0 d(k; z) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{as } z \rightarrow -\infty, \\ -\infty & \text{as } z \rightarrow m(k), \\ \text{decays monotonously} & z \in (-\infty, m(k)), \end{cases} \\
 1 - \frac{\mu_l}{2} d(k; z) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{as } z \rightarrow -\infty, \\ -\infty & \text{as } z \rightarrow m(k), \\ \text{decays monotonously} & z \in (-\infty, m(k)), \end{cases} \\
 1 - \mu_N c_N(k; z) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{as } z \rightarrow -\infty, \\ -\infty & \text{as } z \rightarrow m(k), \\ \text{decays monotonously} & z \in (-\infty, m(k)), \end{cases} \\
 1 - \mu_N s_N(k; z) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{as } z \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \geq 0 & \text{as } \mu_N \in (0, \mu^0(k)] \text{ for each } z \in (-\infty, m(k)), \\ < 0 & \text{as } \mu_N > \mu^0(k), \quad z = m(k). \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

hold true.

We observe that functions $d(k; \cdot)$, $c_N(k; \cdot)$, $s_N(k; \cdot)$ defined by formula (1) are positive and monotonically increasing on $(-\infty, m(k))$. This is the above relations imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - \mu_0 d(k; \cdot) &\text{ has the unique zero for each } \mu_0 > 0, \\
 1 - \frac{\mu_l}{2} d(k; \cdot) &\text{ has the unique zero for each } \mu_l > 0, \\
 1 - \mu_N c_N(k; \cdot) &\text{ has the unique zero for each } \mu_N > 0, \\
 1 - \mu_N s_N(k; \cdot) &= \begin{cases} \text{has no zeroes as } & \mu_N \in (0; \mu^0(k)], \\ \text{has the unique zero as } & \mu_N \in (\mu^0(k); \infty). \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemmata 2 and 1 we complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds true. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(p) \equiv \frac{2}{m}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(k; z) &= (1 - \mu_0 d(k; z)) \prod_{l=1}^N \left(1 - \mu_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\cos^2 ls}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} ds \right) \left(1 - \mu_l \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sin^2 ls}{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(s) - z} ds \right) \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{2\mu_0\pi}{\frac{2}{m} - z} \right) \prod_{l=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{\mu_l\pi}{\frac{2}{m} - z} \right)^2 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

It allows us to find easily the zeroes of function $\Delta(k; \cdot)$: $z_0 = \frac{2}{m} - 2\mu_0\pi$ is a simple zero, $z_l = \frac{2}{m} - \mu_l\pi$ is a double zero, $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. In accordance with Lemmata 1 and 1 these numbers are eigenvalues of $h(k)$. It is easy to check that the associated eigenfunctions read as

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{1}{2\mu_0\pi}, \quad \varphi_l^+ = \frac{\cos lp}{\mu_l\pi}, \quad \varphi_l^- = \frac{\sin lp}{\mu_l\pi}, \quad l = \overline{1, N}.$$

The proof is complete. □

The authors thank the referee for careful reading of the paper and useful remarks.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. D. Faddeev. *Mathematical questions in the quantum theory of scattering for a system of three particles* // Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **69**, 3–122 (1963). (in Russian).
2. D.C. Mattis. *The few-body problem on lattice* // Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**:2. 1986. P. 361–379.
3. S. Albeverio, S.N. Lakaev, K.A. Makarov, Z.I. Muminov. *The threshold effects for the two-particle Hamiltonians* // Commun. Math. Phys. **262**:1. 2006. P. 91–115.
4. E.L. Lakshtanov, R.A. Minlos. *The spectrum of two-particle bound states of transfer matrices of Gibbs fields (fields on a two-dimensional lattice: adjacent levels)* // Funct. Anal. Appl. **39**:1, 31–45 (2005).
5. P.A. Faria da Veiga, L. Ioriatti and M. O’Carroll. *Energy momentum spectrum of some two-particle lattice Schrödinger Hamiltonians* // Physical review E, Vol. **66**:1, id 016130 (2002).
6. M.E. Muminov. *Positivity of the two-particle Hamiltonian on a lattice* // Teor. Mat. Fiz. **153**:3, 381–387 (2007). [Theor. Math. Phys. **153**:3, 1671–1676 (2007).]
7. M.E. Muminov, A.M. Khurramov *Spectral properties of a two-particle Hamiltonian on a lattice* // Teor. Mat. Fiz. **177**:3, 480–493 (2013). [Theor. Math. Phys. **177**:3, 1693–1705 (2013).]
8. M. Reed, B. Simon. . *Methods of modern mathematical physics. Analysis of operators*. Academic Press, San Diego (1982).

Mukhiddin Eshkobilovich Muminov,
Samarkand State University,
Universitetskii boulevard, 15,
140101, Samarkand, Uzbekistan
Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM)
Skudai,
81310, s. Johor, Malaysia
E-mail: mmuminov@mail.ru

Abdimazhid Molikovich Khurramov,
Samarkand State University,
Universitetskii boulevard, 15,
140101, Samarkand, Uzbekistan
E-mail: xurramov@mail.ru