

# DESCRIPTION OF ZERO SEQUENCES FOR HOLOMORPHIC AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF FINITE $\lambda$ -TYPE IN A CLOSED HALF-STRIP

N.B. SOKULSKA

**Abstract.** We describe the zero sets of holomorphic and meromorphic functions  $f$  of finite  $\lambda$ -type in a closed half-strip satisfying  $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$  on the boundary.

**Keywords:** holomorphic function, meromorphic function, function of finite  $\lambda$  - type, sequence of finite  $\lambda$ -density,  $\lambda$ -admissible sequence

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30D35

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in the closure of the half-strip

$$S = \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > 0, \quad 0 < t < 2\pi\}.$$

Suppose  $f$  has neither zeros nor poles on  $\partial S$ , and  $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$ ,  $\sigma \geq 0$ . Denote by  $\{s_j\}$  the zero sequence of function  $f$  in  $S$ ,  $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j$ , by  $\{p_j\}$  the sequence of its poles in  $S$ .

Let  $S^*$  be the strip  $S$  with the straight slits  $\{\tau\sigma_j + it_j\}$ ,  $\{\tau \operatorname{Re} p_j + i \operatorname{Im} p_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq \tau < \infty$ . Given  $s_0 \in S^*$ , suppose  $\log f(s_0)$  is well-defined and let

$$\log f(s) = \log f(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad (1)$$

where integral is taken along a piecewise-smooth path in  $S^* \cup \partial S$ , which connects  $s_0$  and  $s$ .

By  $n(\eta, f)$  we denote the counting function of poles of  $f$  in the rectangle  $R_\eta = \{\sigma + it : 0 < \sigma \leq \eta, \quad 0 \leq t < 2\pi\}$ . We let

$$N(\sigma, f) = \int_0^\sigma n(\eta, f) d\eta. \quad (2)$$

and

$$c_0(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(\sigma + it)| dt. \quad (3)$$

The following Lemma is a counterpart of Jensen-Littlewood Theorem ([1]).

---

Н.Б. СОКУЛЬСКАЯ, ОПИСАНИЕ МНОЖЕСТВ НУЛЕЙ ГОЛОМОРФНЫХ И МЕРОМОРФНЫХ В ЗАМКНУТОЙ ПОЛУПОЛОСЕ ФУНКЦИЙ КОНЕЧНОГО  $\lambda$ -ТИПА.

© СОКУЛЬСКАЯ Н.Б. 2014.

Поступила 24 января 2014г.

**Lemma 1.** [2] *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in the closure of half-strip  $S$ ,  $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$ ,  $\sigma \geq 0$ . Then*

$$N\left(\sigma, \frac{1}{f}\right) - N(\sigma, f) = c_0(\sigma, f) - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} c_0(\sigma_0, f) + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} - 1\right) c_0(0, f),$$

$$\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0. \quad (4)$$

The Nevanlinna characteristic of such functions was defined in [2] as

$$T(\sigma, f) = m_0(\sigma, f) - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} m_0(\sigma_0, f) + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} - 1\right) m_0(0, f) + N(\sigma, f), \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0,$$

where

$$m_0(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(\sigma + it)| dt.$$

**Definition 1.** *A positive non-decreasing continuous unbounded function  $\lambda(\sigma)$  defined for all  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$  is said to be a growth function.*

**Definition 2.** *Let  $\lambda(\sigma)$  be a growth function and  $f$  be a meromorphic function in  $\bar{S}$ , such that  $f(\sigma + 2\pi i) = f(\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$ . We say that  $f$  is of finite  $\lambda$ -type if  $T(\sigma, f) \leq A\lambda(\sigma + B)$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$  for some constants  $A > 0, B > 0$  and all  $\sigma, \sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$ .*

We denote by  $\Lambda$  the class of meromorphic functions of finite  $\lambda$ -type in  $\bar{S}$  and  $\Lambda_H$  the class of holomorphic functions of finite  $\lambda$ -type in  $\bar{S}$ .

In this paper we describe the zero sequences of holomorphic functions in  $\Lambda_H$ , as well as zero and pole sequences of meromorphic functions in  $\Lambda$ .

For entire and meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  functions similar problems were solved by L. Rubel and B. Taylor ([3]), for holomorphic and meromorphic functions in a punctured plane the same was done by A. Kondratyuk and I. Laine ([4]).

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF ZERO SEQUENCES OF HOLOMORPHIC AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF FINITE $\lambda$ -TYPE IN A HALF-STRIP

Let  $Q = \{s_j\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers in  $\bar{S}$ . By  $n(\eta, Q)$  we indicate the counting function of  $Q$  in the rectangle  $R_\eta$  and we let

$$N(\sigma, Q) = \int_0^\sigma n(\eta, Q) d\eta.$$

**Definition 3.** *A sequence  $Q = \{s_j\}$  from  $\bar{S}$  has a finite  $\lambda$ -density if*

$$N(\sigma, Q) \leq A\lambda(\sigma + B)$$

for some positive constants  $A, B$  and all  $\sigma, \sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$ .

**Definition 4.** *A sequence  $Q = \{s_j\}$  from  $\bar{S}$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -admissible if it has finite  $\lambda$ -density and there are positive constants  $A, B$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{\sigma_1 < \operatorname{Re} s_j \leq \sigma_2} \left( \frac{1}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k \right| \leq \frac{A\lambda(\sigma_1 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + \frac{A\lambda(\sigma_2 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_2}},$$

for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Denote

$$c_k(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} \log |f(\sigma + it)| dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5)$$

For a meromorphic in  $\overline{R}_\sigma$  function  $f$  such that  $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$  the following relations hold true (see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(\sigma, f) &= \frac{e^{k\sigma}}{2k} \alpha_k(f) - \frac{e^{-k\sigma}}{2k} \overline{\alpha_{-k}}(f) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{s_j \in R_\sigma} \left[ \left( \frac{e^\sigma}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k - \left( \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^\sigma} \right)^k \right] - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{p_j \in R_\sigma} \left[ \left( \frac{e^\sigma}{e^{p_j}} \right)^k - \left( \frac{e^{\overline{p_j}}}{e^\sigma} \right)^k \right], \quad (6) \\ c_{-k}(\sigma, f) &= \overline{c_k}(\sigma, f) \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_j, p_j$  are its zeroes and poles in  $\overline{R}_\sigma$  respectively, and

$$\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} \frac{f'(it)}{f(it)} dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Theorem 1.** *A sequence  $Q$  in  $\overline{S}$  is the zero sequence of the function in  $\Lambda_H$  if and only if it is  $\lambda$ -admissible.*

*Proof.* Let  $Q = \{s_j\}$  be the zero sequence of a function  $f$  from  $\Lambda_H$ . Then by (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_k(\sigma_2, f)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} - \frac{c_k(\sigma_1, f)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} &= \frac{\alpha_k e^{k\sigma_2} - \overline{\alpha_{-k}} e^{-k\sigma_2}}{2k e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_2}} \left[ \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left( \frac{e^{\sigma_2}}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k - \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left( \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right)^k \right] \\ &- \frac{\alpha_k e^{k\sigma_1} - \overline{\alpha_{-k}} e^{-k\sigma_1}}{2k e^{k\sigma_1}} - \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_1}} \left[ \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left( \frac{e^{\sigma_1}}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k - \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left( \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right)^k \right] \\ &= \frac{\overline{\alpha_{-k}}}{2k} \left[ \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} - \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} \right] + \frac{1}{2k} \left[ \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \frac{1}{(e^{s_j})^k} - \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \frac{1}{(e^{s_j})^k} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_1}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left( \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right)^k - \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_2}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left( \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ .

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\sigma_1 < \operatorname{Re} s_j \leq \sigma_2} \frac{1}{(e^{s_j})^k} &= \frac{2c_k(\sigma_2, f)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} - \frac{2c_k(\sigma_1, f)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + \frac{\overline{\alpha_{-k}}}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} - \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_2}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left( \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right)^k - \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_1}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left( \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right)^k. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_i}} \left| \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_i}} \right|^k \leq \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_i}} 1 \leq n(\sigma_i + 1, \frac{1}{f}) \leq N(\sigma_i + 1, \frac{1}{f}) \leq A_1 \lambda(\sigma_i + 1 + B_1), \quad \sigma_i \in R_{\sigma_i}, i = 1, 2,$$

for some constants  $A_1, B_1 > 0$ .

We also get the estimate for the left-hand side of identity (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{\sigma_1 < \operatorname{Re} s_j \leq \sigma_2} \frac{1}{e^{ks_j}} \right| &\leq \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_2 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_1 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + \frac{|\bar{\alpha} - k|}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} + \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_2}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left| \frac{e^{\bar{s}_j}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right|^k + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_1}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left| \frac{e^{\bar{s}_j}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right|^k \\
 &\leq \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_2 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_1 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + C \left[ \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} + \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_2}} N \left( \sigma_2 + 1, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_1}} N \left( \sigma_1 + 1, \frac{1}{f} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{A \lambda(\sigma_2 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{A \lambda(\sigma_1 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sigma_2 > \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $A = \max\{A_1, A_2, C\}$ ,  $B = \max\{B_1 + 1, B_2\}$ .

Theorem 2 in [2] implies that the sequence  $Q$  has a finite  $\lambda$ -density. Hence, it is  $\lambda$ -admissible.

Let now  $Q = \{s_j\}$  be  $\lambda$ -admissible. Then the sequence  $Z = \{z_j\}$ ,  $z_j = e^{s_j} \in \mathbb{C}$ , is  $\lambda_1$ -admissible in  $\mathbb{C}$ , where  $\lambda_1(r) = \lambda(\log r)$ . By the Rubel-Taylor Theorem [3, p. 84], (see also [5, p. 29]), there exists an entire function  $F(z)$  of finite  $\lambda_1$ -type with zero sequence  $Z = \{z_j\}$ . Therefore, the function  $f(s) = F(e^s)$  is holomorphic of finite  $\lambda$ -type in  $\bar{S}$  with the zero sequence  $\{s_j\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *A sequence  $Q$  in  $\bar{S}$  is the zero sequence of a function in  $\Lambda$  if and only if it has finite  $\lambda$ -density.*

*Proof.* If  $Q = \{s_j\}$  is the zero sequence of a function  $f$ ,  $f \in \Lambda$ , then from [2], we have

$$N(\sigma, Q) = N\left(\sigma, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq T(\sigma, f) \leq B\lambda(\sigma + C),$$

for all  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$  and some  $B, C > 0$ .

Let now  $Q = \{s_j\}$  be a sequence of finite  $\lambda$ -density. Then the sequence  $Z = \{z_j\}$ ,  $z_j = e^{s_j}$ , has the finite  $\lambda_1$ -density if  $\lambda_1(r) = \lambda(\log r)$ . By the Rubel-Taylor Theorem [3, p. 88] (see also [5, p. 35]) there exist a meromorphic function  $F$  of finite  $\lambda_1$ -type with zero sequence  $Z$ . The function  $f(s) = F(e^s)$  is the meromorphic of finite  $\lambda$ -type in  $\bar{S}$  with zero sequence  $\{s_j\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *A sequence  $P = \{p_j\}$  is the pole sequence of a function  $f$  from  $\Lambda$  if and only if it has finite  $\lambda$ -density.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2 to the function  $\frac{1}{f}$ .  $\square$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J.E. Littlewood *On the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **22** (1924), 295-318.
2. N.B. Sokul's'ka *Meromorphic functions of finite  $\lambda$ -type in half-strip* // Carpathian Mathematical Publications 2012. V.4, №2. P. 328-339 (in Ukrainian).
3. L.A. Rubel, B.A. Taylor *Fourier series method for meromorphic functions* // Bull. Soc. Math. France 1968. 96. P. 53-96.
4. A. Kondratyuk, I. Laine *Meromorphic functions in multiply connected domains* // Fourier series method in complex analysis (Merkrijärvi, 2005), Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., **10** (2006), P. 9-111.
5. A.A. Kondratyuk *Fourier Series and Meromorphic Functions*. L'viv.: Izdat. L'viv Univ., 1988. 196 p (in Russian).

Наталья Богдановна Сокульская,  
Львовский национальный университет имени Ивана Франко,  
ул. Университетская, 1,  
79000, г. Львов, Украина  
E-mail: natalya.sokulska@gmail.com