

doi:10.13108/2019-11-4-115

REALIZATION OF HOMOGENEOUS TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES WITH $p = \infty$ AND CHARACTERIZATIONS VIA DIFFERENCES

M. BENALLIA, M. MOUSSAI

Abstract. In this paper, via the decomposition of Littlewood-Paley, the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is defined on \mathbb{R}^n by distributions modulo polynomials in the sense that $\|f\| = 0$ ($\|\cdot\|$ the quasi-seminorm in $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$) if and only if f is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n . We consider this space as a set of “true” distributions and we are lead to examine the convergence of the Littlewood-Paley sequence of each element in $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. First we use the realizations and then we obtain the realized space $\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$.

Our approach is as follows. We first study the commuting translations and dilations of realizations in $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, and employing distributions vanishing at infinity in the weak sense, we construct $\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. Then, as another possible definition of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, in the case $s > 0$, we make use of the differences and describe $\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ as $s > \max(n/q - n, 0)$.

Keywords: Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Littlewood-Paley decomposition, realizations.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46E35.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study a realization of homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ on \mathbb{R}^n . The spaces $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ are defined by distributions modulo polynomials in the sense that $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = 0$ if and only if f is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n . Some of their properties can be found in [12], [22].

The basic definition of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is given via the Littlewood-Paley decomposition (abbreviated as LP decomposition). To recall this, we introduce some notations.

By ρ we denote an infinitely differentiable radial function obeying the estimates $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ such that

$$\rho(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{as } |\xi| \leq 1, \quad \rho(\xi) = 0 \quad \text{as } |\xi| \geq \frac{3}{2}.$$

We denote $\gamma(\xi) := \rho(\xi) - \rho(2\xi)$. This function is supported in the annulus $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2}$, and

$$\gamma(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{as } \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq 1, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma(2^j \xi) = 1 \quad \text{as } \xi \neq 0.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the symbol \mathcal{P}_m stands for the set of all polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n of degree less than m obeying $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{0\}$. By \mathcal{P}_∞ we denote the set of all polynomials. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, the set \mathcal{S}'_m of the tempered distributions modulo polynomials is the dual space of \mathcal{S}_m , which is the orthogonal space of \mathcal{P}_m in \mathcal{S} , that is, \mathcal{S}_m is the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\langle u, f \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{P}_m$. For a tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, the symbol $[f]_m$ denotes the equivalence class of f modulo \mathcal{P}_m .

M. BENALLIA, M. MOUSSAI, REALIZATION OF HOMOGENEOUS TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES WITH $p = \infty$ AND CHARACTERIZATIONS VIA DIFFERENCES .

© BENALLIA M., MOUSSAI M. 2018.

Submitted October 11, 2018.

We define the operators Q_j by the formula

$$\widehat{Q_j f} := \gamma(2^{-j}(\cdot))\widehat{f}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

These operators are defined on \mathcal{S}' as well as on \mathcal{S}'_m since $Q_j f = 0$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{P}_m$. For instance, we have $Q_j(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{S}_\infty$. All these operators take values in the space of analytical functions of exponential type, see the Paley-Wiener theorem. Finally, we adopt the following convention: for $f \in \mathcal{S}'_m$, we define $Q_j f := Q_j f_1$ for all $f_1 \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $[f_1]_m = f$.

We turn to the LP decomposition; for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ (or \mathcal{S}'_∞) the identity

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_j f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}_\infty \quad (\text{or } \mathcal{S}'_\infty) \tag{1}$$

holds; this is an easy application of Lemma 7 below. However, once we work in $\dot{F}^s_{\infty,q}$, it is possible to obtain the convergence of the series of the LP decomposition in \mathcal{S}'_μ for some integer μ , see (7) below. This leads us to the need to realize $\dot{F}^s_{\infty,q}$ and to obtain the realized spaces by using the notion of realization. For a quasi-Banach distribution space $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'_\infty$, we need to find a continuous linear mapping $\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_m$ such that $[\sigma(f)]_m$ coincides with f modulo polynomials in \mathcal{P}_m for all $f \in E$, cf. Definition 4 below. If in addition, E is a translation or a dilation invariant, that is,

$$\|\tau_a f\|_E = \|f\|_E \quad \text{or} \quad \|h_\lambda f\|_E = \lambda^r \|f\|_E$$

with $r \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\tau_a f(x) := f(x - a)$ and $h_\lambda f(x) := f(x/\lambda)$ for all $x, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\lambda > 0$, the existence of a such σ commuting with translation or dilation operators, that is, obeying

$$\tau_a \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \tau_a \quad \text{or} \quad h_\lambda \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ h_\lambda,$$

is nontrivial.

We note that the realizations have been introduced by G. Bourdaud [3] for the homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}$; the corresponding integer μ was defined in [7]. In the same way, we know the realizations of both the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}^s_{p,q}$ with $p < \infty$ and the homogeneous Sobolev spaces \dot{W}^m_p , and some of their properties, see, for instance, [2], [5], [6], [7], [16], [21]. Also, nowadays there are various papers presenting applications of the realizations to Navier-Stokes equations, pseudodifferential operators, wavelet, etc., see, for instance, [9], [15], [20] and in particular, a comment in [1].

On the other hand, the distributions vanishing at infinity play an important role to characterize such realization. We recall this notion.

Definition 1. *We say that a distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ vanishes at infinity if*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} h_\lambda f = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

The set of all such distributions is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$.

For instance, we have $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ if $f \in L_p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$). If either $f \in L_\infty$ or $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ then $\partial_j f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). An easy statement is given by identity $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty = \{0\}$ (see, for instance, [3]).

As usually, \mathbb{N} stands for the natural numbers $\{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. All function spaces occurring in the paper are defined in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . By $\|\cdot\|_p$ we denote the L_p quasi-norm for $0 < p \leq \infty$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the symbol $[s]$ denotes the integer part of s . For all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the standard norms in \mathcal{S} are given by

$$\zeta_m(f) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq m} (1 + |x|)^m |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|.$$

The Fourier transform for a function $f \in L_1$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The operator \mathcal{F} can be extended to the whole \mathcal{S}' in the usual way. In the same way we define the inverse Fourier transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} ,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) := (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{f}(-x).$$

For an arbitrary function f , we define the difference operators as

$$\Delta_h f = \Delta_h^1 f := \tau_{-h} f - f, \quad \Delta_h^m f := \Delta_h(\Delta_h^{m-1} f), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots$$

The constants c, c_1, \dots are strictly positive and depend only on the fixed parameters as n, s, q and probably on auxiliary functions, their values may vary from line to line. The notation $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq cB$. The symbol $E \hookrightarrow F$ denotes that we have the embedding $E \subseteq F$ and the natural mapping $E \rightarrow F$ is continuous. Throughout the paper, the real numbers s, q satisfy as $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ unless otherwise is stated.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definitions and some properties of homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ and of inhomogeneous ones $F_{\infty,q}^s$. Section 3 is devoted to the realizations of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. In Section 4, by means of the differences, we characterize the realized spaces of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ in the case $s > \max(n/q - n, 0)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Homogeneous spaces $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. By $P_{k,\nu}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$) we denote the dyadic cube with side length 2^{-k} , left lower corner in the point $2^{-k}\nu$ and sides parallel to the coordinate axes, that is,

$$P_{k,\nu} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-k}\nu_j \leq x_j < 2^{-k}(\nu_j + 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

The definition of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ was given by Frazier and Jawerth [12] as follows.

Definition 2. Let $q \in]0, \infty[$. The space $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'_{\infty}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq k} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Remark 1. For $q = \infty$, the set $\dot{F}_{\infty,\infty}^s$ coincides with the Hölder space $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$, see [14, Eq. (1.3)] and Lemma 3 below. We let

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,\infty}^s} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} \|Q_j f\|_{\infty} < \infty.$$

The space $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ becomes a quasi-Banach with the above defined quasi-seminorm. On the one hand, its definition is independent of the choice of γ , see [12, Cor. 5.3]. On the other hand, by (1) and Lemma 7 below, we have $\mathcal{S}_{\infty} \hookrightarrow \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'_{\infty}$. We also have the following statements.

Lemma 1. There exist two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that the inequalities

$$c_1 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq \lambda^s \|h_{\lambda} f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \tag{2}$$

holds for all $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ and all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. At the first step, we prove (2) with $\lambda := 2^N$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here by using the identity

$$Q_j(h_{2^N} f) = Q_{j+N} f(2^{-N}(\cdot)),$$

we obtain easily that

$$\|h_{2^N} f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = 2^{-Ns} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

In the case of arbitrary $\lambda > 0$, we introduce an integer $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^N \leq \lambda < 2^{N+1}$. Then we use the equivalent quasi-seminorm in $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ defined by the function $\gamma_1 := \gamma(2^N \lambda^{-1} \cdot)$ and we get

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = 2^{Ns} \|f(2^{-N} \lambda \cdot)\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

Then it is not difficult to prove that

$$c_1 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq \|f(2^{-N} \lambda \cdot)\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$$

for some positive constants c_1 and c_2 independent of N , λ and f . This completes the proof. \square

The next lemma was proved in [11].

Lemma 2. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in P_{j,\nu}} |\varphi(x)| \leq c 2^{jn/q} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi\|_{L_q(P_{j,\eta})} \tag{3}$$

holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$.

Lemma 3. *For all $q > 0$ we have $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{F}_{\infty,\infty}^s = \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$.*

Proof. The identity is known, see, for instance, [12] and here we provide a proof of the embedding for more clarity.

Let $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. By Lemma 2 we have

$$|Q_j f(x)|^q \leq c_1 2^{jn} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{P_{j,\eta}} |Q_j f(y)|^q dy \quad \text{for all } x \in P_{j,\nu},$$

which is bounded by

$$c_1 2^{-jsq} 2^{jn} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{P_{j,\eta}} \sum_{l \geq j} 2^{lsq} |Q_l f(y)|^q dy,$$

where the constant c_1 is independent of f , j and ν . This inequality implies that

$$|Q_j f(x)| \lesssim 2^{-js} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \quad (\forall x \in P_{j,\nu}).$$

Then we get

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,\infty}^s} = \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{k \geq j} \sup_{z \in P_{j,\eta}} 2^{ks} |Q_k f(z)| \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2. *An inequality opposite to (3) can be easily proved, and for this, the assumption $\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$ is not needed.*

Remark 3. *In case $1 < q < \infty$, the space $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ has another definition introduced by Triebel [19], which is compatible with the one of Frazier and Jawerth, see a comment in [12].*

2.2. Inhomogeneous spaces $F_{\infty,q}^s$. For each $f \in \mathcal{S}$ (or $f \in \mathcal{S}'$), we use the inhomogeneous LP decomposition $f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho * f + \sum_{j>0} Q_j f$ in \mathcal{S} (or \mathcal{S}') and we obtain the inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{\infty,q}^s$ as introduced in [12].

Definition 3. *The space $F_{\infty,q}^s$ is the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s} := \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho * f\|_{\infty} + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq k} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Also as above,

$$\|f\|_{F_{\infty,\infty}^s} = \|f\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^s} := \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho * f\|_{\infty} + \sup_{j>0} 2^{js} \|Q_j f\|_{\infty} < \infty,$$

cf. Lemma 3 and see also [19, Sect. 2.3.4, Rem. 3].

For some properties of $F_{\infty,q}^s$, we refer to [12]. The case $s > 0$ is related with the case of the homogeneous space.

Lemma 4. *Let $s > 0$. Then*

(i) $F_{\infty,q}^s \hookrightarrow L_{\infty}$,

(ii) $F_{\infty,q}^s$ is the set of $f \in L_{\infty}$ such that $[f]_{\infty} \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. The expression $\|f\|_{\infty} + \|[f]_{\infty}\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$ is an equivalent quasi-norm in $F_{\infty,q}^s$.

Proof. *Proof of (i).* This embedding can be found in [22], see in particular, Statement (iii) in Propositions 2.4 and Proposition 2.6 in the cited work as well as Remark 8 below.

Proof of (ii). Let $f \in L_{\infty}$ be such that $[f]_{\infty} \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. Thanks to the convolution inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho * f\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho\|_1 \|f\|_{\infty},$$

we have

$$\|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\infty} + \|[f]_{\infty}\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

For the opposite inequality, let $f \in F_{\infty,q}^s$. By (i), we first have $\|f\|_{\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s}$. Then for all $k \leq 0$ and all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq k} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx &= 2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \left(\sum_{k \leq j \leq 0} + \sum_{j \geq 1} \right) 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\infty}^q \sum_{j \leq 0} 2^{jsq} + 2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

On the one hand, denoting by $E(x)$ the vector $([x_1], \dots, [x_n]) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get an elementary inequality

$$[2^{1-k}\nu_j] \leq 2x_j < [2^{1-k}\nu_j] + 1 + 2^{1-k}, \quad x \in P_{k,\nu}, \quad k \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and this yields

$$x \in P_{k,\nu} \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{r=0}^{1+2^{1-k}} P_{1, E(2^{1-k}\nu) + r w_0},$$

where $w_0 := (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{1+2^{1-k}} \int_{P_{1, E(2^{1-k}\nu) + r w_0}} \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx \\ &\leq (2 + 2^{1-k}) \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{P_{1,\eta}} \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx \\ &\leq (2 + 2^{1-k}) \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{rn} \int_{P_{r,\eta}} \sum_{j \geq r} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx \\ &\leq (2 + 2^{1-k}) \|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by inserting this inequality into (4), and taking into account that $2^{kn}(2+2^{1-k}) \leq 4$ for $k \leq 0$, we get

$$2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq k} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx \lesssim \|f\|_\infty^q + \|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s}^q \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s}^q, \quad k \leq 0. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, clearly for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq k} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx \leq \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{rn} \int_{P_{r,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq r} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q dx \leq \|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s}^q.$$

Then this estimate and (5) yield the desired result. The proof is complete. \square

The space $F_{\infty,q}^s$ can be described via differences. We recall the following statement.

Lemma 5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that*

$$\max(n/q - n, 0) < s < m. \quad (6)$$

Then

(i) *A function f belongs to $F_{\infty,q}^s$ if and only if $f \in L_\infty$ and*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,1}(f) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{kn} \int_0^{2^{1-k}} t^{-sq} \sup_{t/2 \leq |h| < t} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^q dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the expression $\|f\|_\infty + \mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,1}(f)$ is an equivalent quasi-seminorm in $F_{\infty,q}^s$.

(ii) *The same conclusion holds by replacing in (i) the term $\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,1}(f)$ by*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,2}(f) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{kn} \int_0^{2^{1-k}} t^{-sq} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \left(t^{-n} \int_{t/2 \leq |h| < t} |\Delta_h^m f(x)| dh \right)^q dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

or

$$\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,3}(f) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{kn} \int_0^{2^{1-k}} t^{-sq} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} t^{-n} \int_{t/2 \leq |h| < t} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^q dh dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof. We refer to [22, Rem. 4.8] if $0 < q < \infty$, and to [22, Cor. 4.3] as $q = \infty$, in which the statement was proved for the Besov-type spaces $B_{\infty,\infty}^{s,\tau}$, but $B_{\infty,\infty}^{s,0} = B_{\infty,\infty}^s$. \square

2.3. Definition of realizations.

Definition 4. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. Let E be a vector subspace of \mathcal{S}'_m endowed with a quasi-norm such that the continuous embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'_m$ holds. A realization of E into \mathcal{S}'_k is a continuous linear mapping $\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_k$ such that $[\sigma(f)]_m = f$ for all $f \in E$. The image set $\sigma(E)$ is called the realized space of E with respect to σ .*

Remark 4. *In case $k = m$ the identity is the unique realization.*

If a realization is known, then it generates other realizations. We recall the following statement, see [6, Prop. 1].

Lemma 6. *Let $\sigma_0 : E \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_k$ be a realization. For all finite families $(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq N}$ of continuous linear functionals on E , the following formula defines a realization of E in \mathcal{S}'_k :*

$$\sigma(f)(x) := \sigma_0(f)(x) + \sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(f) x^\alpha \quad (\text{modulo } \mathcal{P}_k).$$

And vice versa, each realization of E modulo \mathcal{P}_k is given in such a way.

3. REALIZATIONS OF $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$

In what follows, to any space $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, we associate a number $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by:

$$\mu := \max(0, [s] + 1). \quad (7)$$

We shall employ the following lemma, a classical consequence of Taylor formula, see, for instance, [16, Prop. 2.5].

Lemma 7. *Let $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that*

- (i) $\|Q_j \varphi\|_p \leq c_1 2^{-jN} \zeta_{m_1}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma) \zeta_{m_1}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (ii) $\|Q_j \varphi\|_p \leq c_2 2^{jN} \zeta_{m_2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma) \zeta_{m_2}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_N$ and all $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

Our main aim is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. Then the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_j f$ converges in \mathcal{S}'_μ . Let us define $\sigma(f)$ as the its sum belonging to \mathcal{S}'_μ . Then the mapping $\sigma : \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_\mu$ is a translation and a dilation commuting realization of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ into \mathcal{S}'_μ . The element $\sigma(f)$ is the unique representative of f in \mathcal{S}'_μ satisfying $[\sigma(f)]_\infty = f$ in \mathcal{S}'_∞ and $\partial^\alpha \sigma(f) \in \tilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| = \mu$. Moreover,*

$$\|[\sigma(f)]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

Proof. Step 1. Let $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. We introduce a radial and positive function $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\gamma \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$. Then we define a sequence of operators (\tilde{Q}_j) as (Q_j) by taking $\tilde{\gamma}$ instead of γ .

Let $g \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$. We begin with the inequality

$$|\langle Q_j f, \tilde{Q}_j g \rangle| \leq 2^{js} \|Q_j f\|_\infty (2^{-js} \|\tilde{Q}_j g\|_1).$$

Then by Lemma 7 with $p = 1$, $\varphi := g$ and an arbitrary N and $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$ we get:

$$|\langle Q_j f, \tilde{Q}_j g \rangle| \lesssim 2^{-js} \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\mu}) \zeta_m(g) \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (8)$$

where an integer m depends only on N and μ . We choose N such that $N + s > 0$, and by the definition of μ we have $\mu - s > 0$. Then by the identity $\langle Q_j f, g \rangle = \langle Q_j f, \tilde{Q}_j g \rangle$ we get

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle Q_j f, g \rangle| \lesssim \zeta_m(g) \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}. \quad (9)$$

Step 2. Inequality (9) yields

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{S}_\mu, \zeta_m(g) \leq 1} |\langle \sigma(f), g \rangle| \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$$

for all $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. Then σ is a realization of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ into \mathcal{S}'_μ .

Step 3. The identity $[\sigma(f)]_\infty = f$ in \mathcal{S}'_∞ is implied by (1).

Step 4. Let $|\alpha| = \mu$, $\lambda > 0$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}$. We introduce an integer r such that $2^{-r-1} < \lambda \leq 2^{-r}$. Then $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}(h_\lambda(Q_{j-r} f^{(\alpha)}))$ is contained in the annulus $2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 3 \cdot 2^j$, and

$$\mathcal{F}(Q_k h_\lambda(Q_{j-r} f^{(\alpha)})) = 0 \quad \text{as } k - j \geq 3 \quad \text{or } k - j \leq -2.$$

Hence,

$$\langle h_\lambda(Q_{j-r} f^{(\alpha)}), g \rangle = \sum_{k=-2}^3 \langle h_\lambda(Q_{j-r} f^{(\alpha)}), Q_{j+k} g \rangle.$$

By Bernstein inequality we have

$$\|h_\lambda(Q_{j-r} f^{(\alpha)})\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{(j-r)|\alpha|} \|Q_{j-r} f\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{j(\mu-s)} \lambda^{\mu-s} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s},$$

on the one hand. On the other hand, by Lemma 7(i) and the fact that $\|Q_{j+k}g\|_1 \lesssim \|g\|_1$, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m := m(N) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$|\langle h_\lambda(\partial^\alpha \sigma(f)), g \rangle| \lesssim \lambda^{\mu-s} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \left(\zeta_m(g) \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j(\mu-s-N)} + \|g\|_1 \sum_{j < 0} 2^{j(\mu-s)} \right).$$

Choosing N such that $N + s - \mu > 0$, and taking into account that $\mu - s > 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we pass to limit as λ tends to 0 and arrive at $\partial^\alpha \sigma(f) \in \tilde{C}_0$.

Step 5. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{S}'_\mu$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the identity $[f_1]_\infty = [f_2]_\infty = f$ and $\partial^\alpha f_i \in \tilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| = \mu$. Then

$$f_1 - f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^\alpha(f_1 - f_2) \in \tilde{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty = \{0\} \quad \text{for all} \quad |\alpha| \geq \mu.$$

Hence, $f_1 - f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$.

Step 6. Since each operator Q_j commutes with the mapping τ_a for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the realization σ commutes also with τ_a .

Let $\lambda > 0$. Since $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is dilation invariant, that is, $h_\lambda f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, see Lemma 1, it follows that $\sigma(h_\lambda f) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_j(h_\lambda f) \in \mathcal{S}'_\mu$. We define the operators $Q_{j,\lambda}$ as Q_j replacing γ by $h_\lambda \gamma$. It is easy to see that $Q_j(h_\lambda f) = h_\lambda Q_{j,\lambda} f$ in \mathcal{S}' since $Q_j \varphi(\lambda(\cdot)) = Q_{j,\lambda}(h_{\lambda^{-1}} \varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$; recall that $Q_j(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{S}_\infty$. We now define the realization $\sigma_\lambda(f) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_{j,\lambda} f$ of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ into \mathcal{S}'_μ . Then

$$\langle \sigma(h_\lambda f), \varphi \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle h_\lambda Q_{j,\lambda} f, \varphi \rangle = \lambda^n \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle Q_{j,\lambda} f, \varphi(\lambda(\cdot)) \rangle = \lambda^n \langle \sigma_\lambda(f), \varphi(\lambda(\cdot)) \rangle$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$. Hence,

$$\sigma(h_\lambda f) = h_\lambda \sigma_\lambda(f) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{S}'_\mu. \quad (10)$$

As above, we also obtain that for σ_λ , the arguing in Steps 1–5 hold true. Then

$$[\sigma(f)]_\infty = [\sigma_\lambda(f)]_\infty = f,$$

and $\sigma(f) - \sigma_\lambda(f) \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$. But $\partial^\alpha(\sigma(f) - \sigma_\lambda(f)) \in \tilde{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty = \{0\}$ if $|\alpha| \geq \mu$, and hence, $\sigma(f) - \sigma_\lambda(f) \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$. This implies $h_\lambda(\sigma(f) - \sigma_\lambda(f)) \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$. Therefore,

$$h_\lambda \sigma(f) = h_\lambda \sigma_\lambda(f) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{S}'_\mu. \quad (11)$$

Now, by (10) and (11) we obtain that $\sigma(h_\lambda f) = h_\lambda \sigma(f)$ in \mathcal{S}'_μ .

Step 7. It is clear that $Q_r Q_j f = 0$ as $|j - r| \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\sigma(f)]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} &= \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{ln} \int_{P_{l,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq l} 2^{jsq} \left| \sum_{j-1 \leq r \leq j+1} Q_r Q_j f \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{ln} \int_{P_{l,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq l} 2^{jsq} \left| \sum_{m=-1}^1 Q_{m+j} Q_j f \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We let

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1 := \sum_{m=-1}^1 \gamma(2^{-m} \cdot) \gamma,$$

and define the operators $\tilde{Q}_{j,1}$ as

$$\widehat{\tilde{Q}_{j,1} f} := \tilde{\gamma}_1(2^{-j}(\cdot)) \widehat{f}.$$

Then we get

$$\sum_{m=-1}^1 Q_{m+j} Q_j = \tilde{Q}_{j,1} \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (13)$$

We have

$$\text{supp } \tilde{\gamma}_1 \subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma}_1(\xi) \geq 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq 1$$

since $\tilde{\gamma}_1(\xi) \geq \gamma^2(\xi)$, see the definition of γ in Section 1. Then $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ satisfies equations (2.1)–(2.3) in [12] and owing to equation (5.1) and Corollary 5.3 in [12], we can replace the operators Q_j by $\tilde{Q}_{j,1}$ in Definition 2 to obtain

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \lesssim \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{ln} \int_{P_{l,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq l} 2^{jsq} \left| \sum_{m=-1}^1 Q_{m+j} Q_j f \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

Hence, it follows from (12) that $\|[\sigma(f)]_{\infty}\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$.

Finally, for this identity for quasi-seminorms, we can add the following observation. Let $f_1 \in \mathcal{S}'$ be such that $[f_1]_{\infty} = [\sigma(f)]_{\infty}$. We have

$$\|[\sigma(f)]_{\infty}\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = \|[f_1]_{\infty}\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

Let $f_2 \in \mathcal{S}'$ be such that $[f_2]_{\infty} = f$. By Step 5, $f_1 - f_2$ is a polynomial; we denote $f_1 - f_2 =: \tilde{f}$. But $Q_j([\sigma(f)]_{\infty}) = Q_j f_1 = Q_j f_2$ since $Q_j \tilde{f} = 0$; we also have $Q_j f_1 = Q_j f_2$ in the sense of functions, since both $Q_j f_1$ and $Q_j f_2$ are smooth functions of exponential type, see Paley-Wiener theorem [13, Thm. 1.7.7]). We again arrive at the desired identity. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 5. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, if $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, the series $\sum_{j \geq 0} Q_j f$ converges in \mathcal{S}' . Indeed, the inequality (8) becomes

$$|\langle Q_j f, \tilde{Q}_j g \rangle| \lesssim 2^{-j(N+s)} \zeta_m(g) \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$; here \tilde{Q}_j is the same as in Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1.

The next lemma characterizes the number μ ; the proof of this lemma is similar to that given by G. Bourdaud for Besov spaces [4, Prop. 2.2.1].

Lemma 8. Let $s \geq 0$. Then there exists a function $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ such that the series $\sum_{j \leq 0} Q_j f$ diverges in $\mathcal{S}'_{\mu-1}$.

Proof. We briefly outline the proof, since in case $q < \infty$ we do not have the same spaces as in [4]. We denote $m := \mu - 1 = [s]$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. As $\partial_1^m \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_m$, we split the sum $\sum_{j \leq 0} \langle Q_j f, \partial_1^m \varphi \rangle$ into $I_1 + I_2$, where

$$I_1 := (-1)^m \sum_{j \leq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_1^m Q_j f(x) - \partial_1^m Q_j f(0)) \bar{\varphi}(x) dx, \quad I_2 := (-1)^m \sum_{j \leq 0} \partial_1^m Q_j f(0).$$

It is sufficient to construct a function $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ such that $|I_1| < \infty$ and $|I_2| = \infty$. For this purpose, let $g \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that

$$\hat{g} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \hat{g} \geq 0, \quad \text{supp } \hat{g} \subset \left\{ \xi : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq 1, \xi_1 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

We let

$$f(x) := \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{k(s+m)/2} g(2^{-k}x).$$

Clearly, we have

$$Q_j f(x) = 2^{-j(s+m)/2} g(2^j x) \quad \text{if } j \leq 0, \quad Q_j f(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } j \geq 1,$$

since $\gamma(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{g}(2^k\xi) = 0$ if $k \neq -j$ and $\gamma\widehat{g} = \widehat{g}$; we recall that $\gamma(\xi) = 1$ as $\frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq 1$. It is also clear that for all $j \leq 0$ the identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1^m Q_j f(0) &= (2\pi)^{-n} i^m 2^{j(m-s)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi_1^m \widehat{g}(\xi) d\xi, \\ |\partial_1^m Q_j f(x) - \partial_1^m Q_j f(0)| &\leq (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{j(m-s+2)/2} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi_k| \xi_1^m \widehat{g}(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{j \leq 0} \partial_1^m Q_j f(0) \right| = \infty, \quad \sum_{j \leq 0} \|\nabla \partial_1^m Q_j f\|_\infty < \infty.$$

It remains to prove that $[f]_\infty \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. Since

$$\int_{P_{k,\nu}} |g(2^j x)|^q dx \leq 2^{-jn} \|g\|_1^q$$

and $s - m \geq 0$, that is, $2^{jq(s-m)/2} \leq 1$ for all $j \leq 0$, we first have

$$2^{kn} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} \sum_{0 \geq j \geq k} 2^{jq(s-m)/2} |g(2^j x)|^q dx \leq \|g\|_1^q \sum_{0 \geq j \geq k} 2^{(k-j)n} \lesssim \|g\|_1^q \quad (14)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by taking the supremum over $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ in (14), we get

$$\|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \lesssim 1.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Without use the LP decomposition, we define the realized space of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$.

Definition 5. *The realized space of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ denoted by $\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\mu$ such that $[f]_\infty \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ and $f^{(\alpha)} \in \widetilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| = \mu$.*

We should be sure of the identity $\sigma(\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s) = \check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, where the mapping σ was defined in Theorem 1. The direct embedding is by the definition; let us prove the opposite one.

Let $f \in \check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, then $f - \sigma([f]_\infty)$ is a polynomial. Since $\widetilde{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty = \{0\}$ and $f^{(\alpha)} - \partial^\alpha \sigma([f]_\infty) \in \widetilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| \geq \mu$, we conclude $f - \sigma([f]_\infty) \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$, that is, $f = \sigma([f]_\infty)$ in \mathcal{S}'_μ .

The space $\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is equipped with a quasi-seminorm defined as

$$\|f\|_{\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s} := \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

Of course, one has to justify this definition. If $[f]_\mu = [f_1]_\mu$ and $[f]_\infty = [f_2]_\infty$, then $f_1 - f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, but $Q_j(f_1 - f_2) = 0$, which is a sufficient argument. In the case $s \geq 0$, $\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ can be characterized in \mathcal{S}' . This is done in the next lemma; for the case $s = 0$ see Remark 6 below.

Lemma 9. *Let $s > 0$. Then $\check{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $[f]_\infty \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, and $f^{(\alpha)} \in \widetilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| = \mu$, and moreover:*

- (i) *If $s \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $f \in C^{\mu-1}$ and $f^{(\alpha)}(0) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq \mu - 1$,*
- (ii) *If $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f \in C^{\mu-2}$ and $f^{(\alpha)}(0) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq \mu - 2$ with $\mu = s + 1 \geq 2$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of Proposition 4.8 in [7] and of Theorem 4.5 in [16] thanks to the embedding $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$; let us briefly outline this.

Proof of (i). We first define $\tilde{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ in \mathcal{S}' by replacing each $Q_j f$ by a polynomial of degree less than μ in $\sigma(f)$, see Theorem 1. Then we get a realization denoted σ_1 . Since any realization on $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ is a surjective mapping, then if $f \in \tilde{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, there exists $g \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ such that $[f]_\mu = g$, and it is sufficient to take $f := \sigma_1(g)$.

Construction of σ_1 . Let $g \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. Then the series

$$\sigma_1(g) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(Q_j g - \sum_{|\alpha| < \mu} (Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}(0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right)$$

converges in \mathcal{S}' . The mapping $\sigma_1 : \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is a realization of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ into \mathcal{S}' , where $\sigma_1(f)$ is the unique representative of g in \mathcal{S}' , of class $C^{\mu-1}$, $\partial^\alpha \sigma_1(g)(0) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq \mu - 1$, $\partial^\alpha \sigma_1(g) \in \tilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| = \mu$ and $\|[\sigma_1(g)]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$.

We now present the role of the assumption $s \notin \mathbb{N}$: by the Bernstein inequality

$$\|(Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{j|\alpha|} \|Q_j g\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{j(|\alpha|-s)} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Q_j g(x) - \sum_{|\alpha| < \mu} (Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}(0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right| &\leq \|Q_j g\|_\infty + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mu-1} \frac{|x|^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \|(Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}\|_\infty \\ &\lesssim (2^{-js} + 2^{j(\mu-1-s)}(1+|x|)^{\mu-1}) \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Taylor formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Q_j g(x) - \sum_{|\alpha| < \mu} (Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}(0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right| &\leq \mu \sum_{|\alpha| = \mu} \frac{|x|^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\mu-1} |(Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}(tx)| dt \\ &\lesssim 2^{j(\mu-s)} |x|^\mu \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|\sigma_1(g)(x)| \lesssim \left\{ \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(2^{-js} + 2^{j(\mu-1-s)}(1+|x|)^{\mu-1} \right) + \sum_{j < 0} 2^{j(\mu-s)} |x|^\mu \right\} \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

Thus, thanks to assumption $s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, we get the convergence of above series with $\mu - 1 - s = [s] - s < 0$ and $\mu - s > 0$.

Proof of (ii). As in the previous step, we consider the mapping:

$$\sigma_2(g) := \sum_{j \geq 0} Q_j g + \sum_{j < 0} \left(Q_j g - \sum_{|\alpha| < \mu} (Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}(0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right) \quad \text{for all } g \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s, \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma_2(g)$ is the unique representative of g in \mathcal{S}' , and σ_2 is also a realization of $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ into \mathcal{S}' satisfying $\partial^\alpha \sigma_2(g) \in \tilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| = \mu$ and $\|[\sigma_2(g)]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} = \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$. If in addition $s > 0$, then $\sigma_2(g)$ is of class $C^{\mu-2}$.

Owing to Lemma 6, if $f \in \tilde{F}_{\infty,q}^s$, there exists $g \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ such that $[f]_\mu = g$ and it is sufficient to take

$$f := \sigma_2(g) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq \mu-2} \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (Q_j g)^{(\beta)}(0) \right) \frac{x^\beta}{\beta!}.$$

For the realization σ_2 we refer to [7, Rem. 4.9]. In case $s > 0$, for $|\beta| \leq \mu - 2$, we have $|\beta| - s \leq \mu - 2 - s = -1$, and then

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \|(Q_j g)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{(|\beta|-s)j} \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s};$$

the estimate for the sum

$$\sum_{j < 0} |\partial^\beta \{Q_j g - \sum_{|\alpha| < \mu} (Q_j g)^{(\alpha)}(0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!}\}|$$

can be obtained as in [16]. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 6. If $f \in \tilde{F}_{\infty, q}^0$ then $f = \sigma_2(g)$, where $\sigma_2(g)$ is defined in the above proof, see (15).

Remark 7. Clearly, we can not identify $\dot{F}_{\infty, 2}^0$ with BMO, where the space BMO is as defined in [10], since $\|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty, 2}^0} = 0$ for all polynomials, while one can easily find a polynomial $f \notin \mathcal{P}_1$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^{n+1})^{-1} |f(x)| dx = \infty$, see [10].

4. CHARACTERIZATIONS BY DIFFERENCES

We now present a characterization of realized spaces $\tilde{F}_{\infty, q}^s$ by means of differences. In view of Lemmata 4 and 5, one could think that the scales $\mathcal{N}_{\infty, q}^{s, m, i}(f)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are other equivalent quasi-seminorms in $\dot{F}_{\infty, q}^s$. But this is not the case since for any polynomial f of degree m we can have $\mathcal{N}_{\infty, q}^{s, m, i}(f) \neq 0$, while $\|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty, q}^s} = 0$; for instance $f(x) := x_1^m$, then $\Delta_h^m f(x) = m! h_1^m$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\infty, q}^{s, m, 1}(f) = m! 2^{m-s} (q(m-s))^{-1/q}$, which tends to infinity as $s \uparrow m$; the kernel of Δ_h^m is \mathcal{P}_m .

Lemma 10. Let (6) be satisfied. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the inequality $\mathcal{N}(f) \leq c \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty, q}^s}$ holds for all $f \in F_{\infty, q}^s$, where $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{\infty, q}^{s, m, 1}$. The same holds if we replace $\mathcal{N}_{\infty, q}^{s, m, 1}$ by $\mathcal{N}_{\infty, q}^{s, m, i}$ with $i = 2, 3$.

Proof. Lemmata 4 and 5 we have

$$\mathcal{N}(f) \lesssim \|f\|_\infty + \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty, q}^s}$$

for all $f \in F_{\infty, q}^s$. Replacing f by $f_\lambda := f(\lambda(\cdot))$ arbitrary $\lambda > 0$ in this inequality and using Lemma 1, we obtain:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-s} \mathcal{N}(f_\lambda) \leq c \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty, q}^s} \quad \text{for all } f \in F_{\infty, q}^s. \quad (16)$$

Let now $\lambda > 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2^N \leq \lambda < 2^{N+1}$. By the elementary inequality

$$\forall x \in P_{k, \nu} : [2^N \lambda^{-1} \nu_j] \leq 2^{k+N} \lambda^{-1} x_j < [2^N \lambda^{-1} \nu_j] + 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

recall that $2^{-1} < 2^N \lambda^{-1} \leq 1$, we obtain

$$x \in P_{k, \nu} \Rightarrow \lambda^{-1} x \in P_{k+N, E(2^N \lambda^{-1} \nu)} \cup P_{k+N, E(2^N \lambda^{-1} \nu) + w_0},$$

where $w_0 := (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and we have employed the notation $E(x) = ([x_1], \dots, [x_n]) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. As $\Delta_h^m f(x) = \Delta_{(\lambda^{-1} h)}^m f_\lambda(\lambda^{-1} x)$, with the change of variables $y := \lambda^{-1} x$, $r := \lambda^{-1} t$ and $u := \lambda^{-1} h$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{kn} \int_0^{2^{1-k}} t^{-sq} \sup_{\substack{\frac{t}{2} \leq |h| < t \\ P_{k, \nu}}} \int |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^q dx \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{-sq} \sum_{l=0}^1 2^{(k+N)n} \int_0^{2^{1-(k+N)}} r^{-sq} \sup_{\substack{\frac{r}{2} \leq |u| < r \\ P_{k+N, E(2^N \lambda^{-1} \nu) + tw_0}}} \int |\Delta_u^m f_\lambda(y)|^q dy \frac{dr}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and this allows us to bound last term in (17) by

$$c\lambda^{-sq} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{jn} \int_0^{2^{1-j}} r^{-sq} \sup_{r/2 \leq |u| < r} \int_{P_{j,\eta}} |\Delta_u^m f_\lambda(y)|^q dy \frac{dr}{r}, \quad (18)$$

where c is independent of k . Calculating the supremum over $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ in (17), and taking (18) into consideration, we obtain $\mathcal{N}(f) \leq c\lambda^{-s} \mathcal{N}(f_\lambda)$. Finally by (16), we complete the proof. \square

Here our second main result is as follows.

Theorem 2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that (6) is satisfied. Then $\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,i}(f)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, define equivalent quasi-seminorms in $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$.*

Proof. We consider only $\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,1}(f)$, since the estimates of $\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,i}(f)$, $i = 2, 3$, can be obtained in the same way. To simplify the notations, in the proof we write $\mathcal{N}(f)$ instead of $\mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,1}(f)$.

The proof of $\| [f]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq c\mathcal{N}(f)$, for all regular tempered distribution f obeying $\mathcal{N}(f) < \infty$ can be done as in [18, Subs. 4.1] and we omit the details.

The opposite inequality is similar to that given in [18], and we present only the needed changes. Let $f \in \dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$. We denote $f_k := \sum_{-k \leq j \leq k_s} Q_j f$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We also define $k_s := 0$ as $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_s = k$ as $s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Then the function f_k belongs to $F_{\infty,q}^s$. Indeed, the inequality $\| f_k \|_\infty \leq c \| [f]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$ with a constant $c := c(k) > 0$, can be obtained by the assumption on s and the following estimate:

$$|Q_j f(x)| \leq c 2^{-js} \| f \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (19)$$

In order to prove (19), it is sufficient to employ the embedding $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{F}_{\infty,\infty}^s = \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$.

Now we are going to prove that

$$\| [f_k]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq c \| [f]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \quad (20)$$

with a constant independent of f and k . We proceed as in Step 7 in the proof of Theorem 1. Then similar to (12) recalling that $Q_r Q_j f = 0$ as $|j - r| \geq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| [f_k]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} &= \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{ln} \int_{P_{l,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq l} \left| \sum_{\substack{-k \leq r \leq k_s \\ |r-j| \leq 1}} Q_r Q_j f \right|^q 2^{jsq} dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{(l-N)n} \int_{P_{l-N,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq l-N} \left| \sum_{\substack{-k \leq r \leq k_s \\ |r-j| \leq 1}} Q_r Q_j f \right|^q 2^{jsq} dx \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since here the supremum is taken over all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is translation invariant in \mathbb{Z} . The last identity is trivial but is useful for the next computation. On the one hand, in the sum $\sum_{|r-j| \leq 1} \dots$ we have at most three terms corresponding to $r \in \{j-1, j, j+1\}$, and hence

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{-k \leq r \leq k_s \\ |r-j| \leq 1}} Q_r Q_j f \right|^q \leq 2^{2(q-1)} \sum_{\substack{-k \leq r \leq k_s \\ |r-j| \leq 1}} |Q_r Q_j f|^q. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, by the following elementary inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } -k \leq r \leq k_s \text{ and } |r-j| \leq 1 &\Rightarrow -k-1 \leq j \leq k_s+1, \\ \text{if } -k-1 \leq j \leq k_s+1 \text{ and } |r-j| \leq 1 &\Rightarrow -k-2 \leq r \leq k_s+2, \end{aligned}$$

by the fact that

$$\{r \in \mathbb{Z} : -k \leq r \leq k_s\} \subset \{r \in \mathbb{Z} : -k-2 \leq r \leq k_s+2\},$$

and by using (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq l-N} \left| \sum_{\substack{-k \leq r \leq k_s \\ |r-j| \leq 1}} Q_r Q_j f \right|^q 2^{jsq} &\leq c \sum_{j \geq l-N} \sum_{\substack{-k \leq r \leq k_s \\ |r-j| \leq 1}} |Q_r Q_j f|^q 2^{jsq} \\ &\leq c \sum_{\substack{j \geq l-N \\ -k-1 \leq j \leq k_s+1}} \sum_{|r-j| \leq 1} |Q_r Q_j f|^q 2^{jsq}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Choosing the integer $N := N_{k,l}$ such that $-k-1 \geq l-N_{k,l}$, we bound the last term in (23) as follows:

$$c \sum_{j \geq l-N_{k,l}} \sum_{|m| \leq 1} |Q_{j+m} Q_j f|^q 2^{jsq} \quad \text{with } m := r-j.$$

Substituting this bound into (21), letting $\ell := l-N_{k,l}$, and taking the supremum over all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$\| [f_k]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq c \sum_{|m| \leq 1} \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(2^{\ell n} \int_{P_{\ell,\nu}} \sum_{j \geq \ell} |Q_{j+m} Q_j f|^q 2^{jsq} dx \right)^{1/q} \quad (24)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We continue by letting $\tilde{\gamma}_m := \gamma(2^{-m}(\cdot))\gamma$, and this function possesses the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \tilde{\gamma}_0 &\subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2} \right\}, & \tilde{\gamma}_0(\xi) &\geq 1 \quad \text{as } \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq 1, \\ \text{supp } \tilde{\gamma}_{-1} &\subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{4} \right\}, & \tilde{\gamma}_{-1}(\xi) &> 0 \quad \text{as } \frac{9}{16} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{11}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{-1}(\xi) \geq c > 0 \quad \text{on } \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{9}{16} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{11}{16} \right\}, \quad c := \min_{\frac{9}{16} \leq |\eta| \leq \frac{11}{16}} \gamma(2\eta)\gamma(\eta).$$

The next property is

$$\text{supp } \tilde{\gamma}_1 \subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 1 \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2} \right\}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_1(\xi) > 0 \quad \text{as } \frac{9}{8} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{11}{8},$$

and hence,

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1(\xi) \geq c > 0 \quad \text{on } \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{9}{8} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{11}{8} \right\}, \quad c := \min_{\frac{9}{8} \leq |\eta| \leq \frac{11}{8}} \gamma\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\gamma(\eta).$$

Then we define the operators $\tilde{Q}_{j,m}$ as $\widehat{\tilde{Q}_{j,m}f} := \tilde{\gamma}_m(2^{-j}(\cdot))\widehat{f}$, and as in (13), this yields

$$Q_{m+j}Q_j = \tilde{Q}_{j,m} \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We replace the operators Q_j by $\tilde{Q}_{j,m}$ with $m \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ in Definition 2 and we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}^{\tilde{\gamma}_m}$ the associated quasi-seminorms. By [12, Cor. 5.3], we have:

$$\| [f]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}^{\tilde{\gamma}_m} \leq c \| [f]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s},$$

where c is independent of f . But from (24), we also have

$$\| [f_k]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \leq c \sum_{m=-1}^1 \| [f]_\infty \|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}^{\tilde{\gamma}_m} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This proves estimate (20).

Applying now Lemma 10 to f_k , we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}(f_k) \leq c \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (25)$$

the constant c is independent of k , see (20). On the other hand, letting

$$r_j(x) := \sum_{|\alpha| < \mu} (Q_j f)^{(\alpha)}(0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!}$$

and recalling that $\mu = [s] + 1$, cf. (7), we obtain that the sequence $(f_k - \sum_{-k \leq j \leq k_s} r_j)_{k \geq 0}$ converges uniformly on each compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n to a limit denoted v , see [18, (22), Subs. 2.2] for $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$. At the same time, $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$ cf. Lemma 3. By applying twice the Fatou lemma in (25), we get

$$\mathcal{N}(v) \leq c \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}. \quad (26)$$

In case $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we add the following inequality:

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} Q_j f\right) \leq c \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}, \quad (27)$$

that is, $\sum_{j \geq 0} Q_j f \in F_{\infty,q}^s$. The latter can be obtained by Lemma 10 since we can apply (19) thanks to $s > 0$, see (6), and to obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq 0} Q_j f \right\|_\infty \lesssim \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}$$

and similar to Step 7 in the proof of Theorem 1, we also have

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq 0} Q_j f \right\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \lesssim \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

We let $g := v + \sum_{j \geq 0} Q_j f$ if $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g := v$ if $s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$. We have $f - g \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_\mu) = \{0\}$; recall that $\Delta_h^m(x^\alpha) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| < m$, and by assumption $m \geq \mu > s$. Then it follows from (26) and (27) that

$$\mathcal{N}(f) \leq \mathcal{N}(f - g) + \mathcal{N}(g) \lesssim \|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 8. *Of course, the statement of Lemma 4 is certainly known and in particular (i) is classical, but now this can be deduced from Theorem 2 at least for $q \geq 1$. Indeed, the difficult part in the proof of Lemma 4 is $\|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s}$, where now, we get*

$$\|[f]_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,1}(f) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{\infty,q}^{s,m,1}(f) + \|f\|_\infty \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{\infty,q}^s}$$

if $q \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $0 < s < m$.

CONCLUSION

The realized spaces $\tilde{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ of the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ are now characterized by quasi-seminorms in discrete and continuous (if $s > 0$) forms. Our next step will be the extension of the study on $\tilde{F}_{\infty,q}^s$ to:

- the pointwise multiplication as in e.g. [2],
- the composition operators as in case of the realized homogeneous Besov spaces, see e.g. [8, Thm. 4] or [17, Thm. 5.1],
- the pseudodifferential operators as in e.g. [15].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. H. Bahouri, J.Y. Chemin, R. Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*. Springer, Berlin (2011).
2. S. Bissar, M. Moussai. *Pointwise multiplication in the realized homogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces* // Probl. Anal. Issues Anal. **7**(25):1, 3–22 (2018).
3. G. Bourdaud. *Réalisations des espaces de Besov homogènes* // Ark. Matem. **26**:1, 41–54 (1988).
4. G. Bourdaud. *Ce qu'il faut savoir sur les espaces de Besov* // Prépub. Univ. Paris 7 - URA 212, 53 (1993); 2nd eddition, in preparation, Paris (2009). (in French).
5. G. Bourdaud. *Superposition in homogeneous and vector valued Sobolev spaces* // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362**:11, 6105–6130 (2010).
6. G. Bourdaud. *Realizations of homogeneous Sobolev spaces* // Compl. Var. Ellip. Equat. **56**:10-11, 857–874 (2011).
7. G. Bourdaud. *Realizations of homogeneous Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces* // Math. Nachr. **286**:5-6, 476–491 (2013).
8. G. Bourdaud, Y. Meyer. *Le calcul fonctionnel sous-linéaire dans les espaces de Besov homogènes* // Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana. **22**:2, 725–746 (2006).
9. L. Brandolese. *Application of the realization of homogeneous Sobolev spaces to Navier-Stokes* // SIAM J. Math. Anal. **37**:2, 673–683 (2005).
10. C. Fefferman, E.M. Stein. *H^p spaces of several variables* // Acta Math. **129**:1, 137–193 (1972).
11. M. Frazier, B. Jawerth. *Decomposition of Besov spaces* // Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34**:4, 777–799 (1985).
12. M. Frazier, B. Jawerth. *A discrete transform and decomposition of distribution spaces* // J. Funct. Anal. **93**:1, 34–170 (1990).
13. L. Hörmander. *Linear partial differential operators*. Grundle. Math. Wiss. Band **116**. Springer, Berlin (1963).
14. B. Jawerth. *Some observations on Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces* // Math. Scand. **40**:1, 94–104 (1977).
15. S. Meliani, M. Moussai. *Boundedness of pseudodifferential operators on realized homogeneous Besov spaces* // Taiwanese J. Math. **21**:2, 441–465 (2017).
16. M. Moussai. *Realizations of homogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and an application to pointwise multipliers* // Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **13**:2, 149–183 (2015).
17. M. Moussai. *Composition operators on Besov spaces in the limiting case $s = 1 + 1/p$* // Studia Math. **241**:1, 1–15 (2018).
18. M. Moussai. *Characterization of homogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces via differences* // Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. **33**:2, 188–208 (2018).
19. H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces*. Birkhäuser, Basel (1983).
20. B. Vedel. *Flat wavelet bases adapted to the homogeneous Sobolev spaces* // Math. Nachr. **282**:1, 104–124 (2009).
21. A. Youssfi. *Localisation des espaces de Lizorkin-Triebel homogènes* // Math. Nachr. **147**:1, 107–121 (1990).
22. W. Yuan, W. Sickel, D. Yang. *Morrey and Campanato meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel*. Springer, Heidelberg (2010).

Mohamed Benallia,
 Laboratory of Functional Analysis and Geometry of Spaces,
 Mohamed Boudiaf University of M'Sila,
 28000 M'Sila, Algeria
 E-mail: benalliam@yahoo.fr

Madani Moussai,
 Laboratory of Functional Analysis and Geometry of Spaces,
 Mohamed Boudiaf University of M'Sila,
 28000 M'Sila, Algeria
 E-mail: mmoussai@yahoo.fr