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#### Abstract

We study a new example of the equation obtained as a result of a recent generalized symmetry classification of differential-difference equations defined on five points of an one-dimensional lattice. We establish that in the continuous limit this new equation turns into the well-known Kaup-Kupershmidt equation. We also prove its integrability by constructing an $L-A$ pair and conservation laws. Moreover, we present a possibly new scheme for constructing conservation laws from $L-A$ pairs.

We show that this new differential-difference equation is similar by its properties to the discrete Sawada-Kotera equation studied earlier. Their continuous limits, namely the Kaup-Kupershmidt and Sawada-Kotera equations, play the main role in the classification of fifth order evolutionary equations made by V.G. Drinfel'd, S.I. Svinolupov and V.V. Sokolov.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the differential-difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n, t}=\left(u_{n}^{2}-1\right)\left(u_{n+2} \sqrt{u_{n+1}^{2}-1}-u_{n-2} \sqrt{u_{n-1}^{2}-1}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u_{n}(t)$ is the unknown function of one discrete variable $n$ and one continuous variable $t$, and the subscript $t$ denotes the time derivative. Equation (1) is obtained as a result of generalized symmetry classification of five-point differential-difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n, t}=F\left(u_{n+2}, u_{n+1}, u_{n}, u_{n-1}, u_{n-2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

made in [8]. Equation (1) coincides with the equation [8, (E17)] up to a scaling of $u_{n}$ and $t$.
Equations (22) play an important role in the study of four-point discrete equations on the square lattice, which are very relevant for today, see e.g. [1, 5, 6, 15]. No relation between (1) and any other known equation of the form (2) is known. More precisely, here we mean the relations in the form of the transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{n}=\varphi\left(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k-1}, \ldots, u_{n+m}\right), \quad k>m, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and their compositions, see a detailed discussion of such transformations in [7]. The only information we have at the moment on (1) is that it possesses a nine-point generalized symmetry of the form:

$$
u_{n, \theta}=G\left(u_{n+4}, u_{n+3}, \ldots, u_{n-4}\right)
$$

[^0]In this article we study equation (1) in details. In Section 2 we find its continuous limit, which is the well-known Kaup-Kupershmidt equation [4, 10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\tau}=U_{x x x x x}+5 U U_{x x x}+\frac{25}{2} U_{x} U_{x x}+5 U^{2} U_{x} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts $\tau$ and $x$ denote $\tau$ and $x$ partial derivatives. In order to justify the integrability of (1), we construct an $L-A$ pair in Section 3 and in Section 4, we show that it provides an infinity hierarchy of conservation laws. In Section 5 we discuss possible generalizations of a scheme for constructing the conservation laws, which is formulated in Section 4 for equation (1).

## 2. Continuous limit

In the continuous limit, most of the equations of form (2) presented in $[8]$ turns into the Korteweg-de Vries equation. The exceptions are (1) and the following two equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{n, t}=u_{n}^{2}\left(u_{n+2} u_{n+1}-u_{n-1} u_{n-2}\right)-u_{n}\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n-1}\right),  \tag{5}\\
u_{n, t}=\left(u_{n}+1\right)\left(\frac{u_{n+2} u_{n}\left(u_{n+1}+1\right)^{2}}{u_{n+1}}-\frac{u_{n-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n-1}+1\right)^{2}}{u_{n-1}}+\left(1+2 u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n-1}\right)\right), \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

which correspond to equations (E15) and (E16) in [8]. Equation (5) is known for a long time 17 . Equation (6) was found recently in [2] and it is related to (5) by a composition of transformations of the form (3). In the continuous limit, these three equations correspond to the fifth order equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\tau}=U_{x x x x x}+F\left(U_{x x x x}, U_{x x x}, U_{x x}, U_{x}, U\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a complete list of integrable equations (7), see [3, 11, 14]. Two equations play the main role there, namely, (4) and the Sawada-Kotera equation [16]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\tau}=U_{x x x x x}+5 U U_{x x x}+5 U_{x} U_{x x}+5 U^{2} U_{x} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the other are transformed into these two by transformations of the form:

$$
\hat{U}=\Phi\left(U, U_{x}, U_{x x}, \ldots, U_{x \ldots x}\right)
$$

It is known [1] that in the continuous limit equation (5) becomes the Sawada-Kotera equation (8). The other results below are new.

Using the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(t)=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{3}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16} \varepsilon^{2} U\left(\tau-\frac{9}{80} \varepsilon^{5} t, x+\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon t\right), \quad x=\varepsilon n, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in equation (1), as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get the Kaup-Kupershmidt equation (4).
It is interesting that equation (6) has two different continuous limits. The substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(t)=-\frac{4}{3}-\varepsilon^{2} U\left(\tau-\frac{18}{5} \varepsilon^{5} t, x+\frac{4}{3} \varepsilon t\right), \quad x=\varepsilon n \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (6) leads us to equation (4), while the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(t)=-\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon^{2} U\left(\tau-\frac{18}{5} \varepsilon^{5} t, x+\frac{4}{3} \varepsilon t\right), \quad x=\varepsilon n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives rise to equation (8). As well as (1), equation (6) deserves further study.

In conclusion, let us present a picture that shows the link between discrete and continuous equations:


## 3. $L-A$ PAIR

As the continuous limit shows, the integrability properties of equation (1) should be close to those of equation (5). Following the $L-A$ pair [1, $(15,17)$ ], we look for an $L-A$ pair of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} \psi_{n}=0, \quad \psi_{n, t}=A_{n} \psi_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the operator $L_{n}$ of the form:

$$
L_{n}=l_{n}^{(2)} T^{2}+l_{n}^{(1)} T+l_{n}^{(0)}+l_{n}^{(-1)} T^{-1}
$$

where $l_{n}^{(k)}, k=-1,0,1,2$, depend on finitely many functions $u_{n+j}$. Here $T$ is the shift operator: $T h_{n}=h_{n+1}$. In this case the operator $A_{n}$ can be chosen as

$$
A_{n}=a_{n}^{(1)} T+a_{n}^{(0)}+a_{n}^{(-1)} T^{-1} .
$$

The compatibility condition for the system (12) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left(L_{n} \psi_{n}\right)}{d t}=\left(L_{n, t}+L_{n} A_{n}\right) \psi_{n}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it must be satisfied on virtue of equations (1) and $L_{n} \psi_{n}=0$.
If we suppose that the coefficients $l_{n}^{(k)}$ depend on $u_{n}$ only, as in 1], we can see that $a_{n}^{(k)}$ depend on $u_{n-1}, u_{n}$ only. However, in this case the problem has no solution. This is why we proceed to the case when the functions $l_{n}^{(k)}$ depend on $u_{n}, u_{n+1}$. Then the coefficients $a_{n}^{(k)}$ must depend on $u_{n-1}, u_{n}, u_{n+1}$. In this case we succeeded to find the operators $L_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ with one irremovable arbitrary constant $\lambda$ playing the role of a spectral parameter:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{n}=u_{n} \sqrt{u_{n+1}^{2}-1} T^{2}+u_{n+1} T+\lambda\left(u_{n}-u_{n+1} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1} T^{-1}\right)  \tag{14}\\
A_{n}=\frac{\sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}}{u_{n}}\left(\sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}\left(u_{n+1} T+u_{n-1} T^{-1}\right)-\lambda^{-1} u_{n-1} T+\lambda u_{n+1} T^{-1}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $L-A$ pair 12 14 15) can be rewritten in the standard matrix form with $3 \times 3$ matrices $\tilde{L}_{n}, \tilde{A}_{n}:$

$$
\Psi_{n+1}=\tilde{L}_{n} \Psi_{n}, \quad \Psi_{n, t}=\tilde{A}_{n} \Psi_{n} .
$$

Here a new spectral function is given by

$$
\Psi_{n}=2^{-n}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}}{u_{n}} \psi_{n+1} \\
\psi_{n} \\
\psi_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the matrices $\tilde{L}_{n}, \tilde{A}_{n}$ read:

$$
\tilde{L}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}} & -\frac{\lambda}{u_{n+1}} & \frac{\lambda \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}}{u_{n}}  \tag{16}\\
\frac{u_{n}}{\sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

$$
\tilde{A}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda^{-1}-\frac{u_{n-2}}{u_{n}} \sqrt{u_{n-1}^{2}-1} & u_{n+1} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1} & \frac{\left(u_{n}^{2}-1\right)\left(\lambda u_{n+2} \sqrt{u_{n+1}^{2}-1}-u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{2}}  \tag{17}\\
u_{n+1} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}-\lambda^{-1} u_{n-1} & 0 & \frac{\lambda u_{n+1} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}+u_{n-1}\left(u_{n}^{2}-1\right)}{u_{n}} \\
u_{n}+\lambda^{-1} u_{n-2} \sqrt{u_{n-1}^{2}-1} & u_{n} u_{n-1} & \lambda+\frac{u_{n-2}}{u_{n}} \sqrt{u_{n-1}^{2}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this case, unlike (13), the compatibility condition can be represented in matrix form:

$$
\tilde{L}_{n, t}=\tilde{A}_{n+1} \tilde{L}_{n}-\tilde{L}_{n} \tilde{A}_{n}
$$

without using the spectral function $\Psi_{n}$.
There are two methods for constructing the conservation laws by using such matrix $L-A$ pairs [5, 9, 12]. However, we do not see how to apply those methods in case of matrices (16) and (17). In the next section, we shall use a different scheme for constructing conservation laws from the $L-A$ pair (12), and this scheme seems to be new.

## 4. Conservation laws

The structure of operators (14) allows us to rewrite $L-A$ pair (12) in form of the Lax pair. The operator $L_{n}$ depends linearly on $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=P_{n}-\lambda Q_{n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P_{n}=u_{n} \sqrt{u_{n+1}^{2}-1} T^{2}+u_{n+1} T, \quad Q_{n}=u_{n+1} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1} T^{-1}-u_{n}
$$

Introducing $\hat{L}_{n}=Q_{n}^{-1} P_{n}$, we get an equation of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}_{n} \psi_{n}=\lambda \psi_{n} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\lambda \psi_{n}$ and $\lambda^{-1} \psi_{n}$ in the second equation of (12) can be expressed in terms of $\hat{L}_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}$ by using (19) and its consequence $\lambda^{-1} \psi_{n}=\hat{L}_{n}^{-1} \psi_{n}$. As a result we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n, t}=\hat{A}_{n} \psi_{n} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{A}_{n}=\frac{\sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}}{u_{n}}\left(\sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}\left(u_{n+1} T+u_{n-1} T^{-1}\right)-u_{n-1} T P_{n}^{-1} Q_{n}+u_{n+1} T^{-1} Q_{n}^{-1} P_{n}\right) .
$$

It is important that new operators $\hat{L}_{n}$ and $\hat{A}_{n}$ in the $L-A$ pair 1920 are independent of the spectral parameter $\lambda$. For this reason, the compatibility condition can be written in the operator form without using $\psi$-function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}_{n, t}=\hat{A}_{n} \hat{L}_{n}-\hat{L}_{n} \hat{A}_{n}=\left[\hat{A}_{n}, \hat{L}_{n}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., now it is of the form of the Lax equation. The difference between this $L-A$ pair and well-known Lax pairs for the Toda and Volterra equations is that now the operators $\hat{L}_{n}$ and $\hat{A}_{n}$ are nonlocal. Nevertheless, using the definition of inverse operators being linear:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n} P_{n}^{-1}=P_{n}^{-1} P_{n}=1, \quad Q_{n} Q_{n}^{-1}=Q_{n}^{-1} Q_{n}=1, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

by straightforward calculations we can check that (21) holds true.
The conservation laws of equation (11), which are expressions of the form

$$
\rho_{n, t}^{(k)}=(T-1) \sigma_{n}^{(k)}, k \geqslant 0,
$$

can be derived from the Lax equation (21), notwithstanding nonlocal structure of the operators $\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{A}_{n}$, see 18 . For this we must, first of all, represent the operators $\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{A}_{n}$ as formal series in powers of $T^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}=\sum_{k \leqslant N} h_{n}^{(k)} T^{k} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formal series of this kind can be multiplied according the rule:

$$
\left(a_{n} T^{k}\right)\left(b_{n} T^{j}\right)=a_{n} b_{n+k} T^{k+j}
$$

The inverse series can be obtained by definition (22), for instance:

$$
Q_{n}^{-1}=-\left(1+q_{n} T^{-1}+\left(q_{n} T^{-1}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(q_{n} T^{-1}\right)^{k}+\ldots\right) \frac{1}{u_{n}}, \quad q_{n}=\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}
$$

The series $\hat{L}_{n}$ has the second order:

$$
\hat{L}_{n}=\sum_{k \leqslant 2} l_{n}^{(k)} T^{k}=-\left(\sqrt{u_{n+1}^{2}-1} T^{2}+u_{n+1} u_{n} T+u_{n+1} u_{n-1} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}+\ldots\right) .
$$

The conserved densities $\rho_{n}^{(k)}$ of equation (1) can be found as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}^{(0)}=\log l_{n}^{(2)}, \quad \rho_{n}^{(k)}=\operatorname{res} \hat{L}_{n}^{k}, \quad k \geqslant 1, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the residue of formal series (23) is defined by the rule: res $H_{n}=h_{n}^{(0)}$, see 18. The corresponding functions $\sigma_{n}^{(k)}$ can easily be found by direct calculations.

In this way below we find the conserved densities $\hat{\rho}_{n}^{(k)}$ and then we simplify in accordance with the rule:

$$
\hat{\rho}_{n}^{(k)}=c_{k} \rho_{n}^{(k)}+(T-1) g_{n}^{(k)},
$$

where $c_{k}$ are constant. First three densities of equation (1) read:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rho}_{n}^{(0)} & =\log \left(u_{n}^{2}-1\right), \\
\hat{\rho}_{n}^{(1)} & =u_{n+1} u_{n-1} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}, \\
\hat{\rho}_{n}^{(2)} & =\left(u_{n}^{2}-1\right)\left(2 u_{n+2} u_{n-2} \sqrt{u_{n+1}^{2}-1} \sqrt{u_{n-1}^{2}-1}+u_{n+1}^{2} u_{n-1}^{2}\right) \\
& +u_{n+1} u_{n-1} u_{n} \sqrt{u_{n}^{2}-1}\left(u_{n+2} \sqrt{u_{n+1}^{2}-1}+u_{n-2} \sqrt{u_{n-1}^{2}-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Discussion of the construction scheme

In the previous section we have outlined the scheme for constructing the conservation laws by example of equation (11). It can easily be generalized for the equations of an arbitrarily high order:

$$
u_{n, t}=F\left(u_{n+M}, u_{n+M-1}, \ldots, u_{n-M}\right) .
$$

Assume that such equation has an $L-A$ pair of the form (12) with a linear in $\lambda$ operator $L_{n}$, and let the operators $P_{n}, Q_{n}$ of (18) have the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=\sum_{k=k_{1}}^{k_{2}} r_{n}^{(k)} T^{k}, \quad k_{1} \leqslant k_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the coefficients $r_{n}^{(k)}$ depending on finitely many functions $u_{n+j}$. We suppose that

$$
\hat{L}_{n}=Q_{n}^{-1} P_{n}=\sum_{k \leqslant N} l_{n}^{(k)} T^{k}
$$

has a positive order $N \geqslant 1$. If $N \leqslant-1$, then we change $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}$ and introduce $\tilde{L}_{n}=P_{n}^{-1} Q_{n}$ of a positive order. In the case $N=0$, the scheme does not work.

As $\lambda^{k} \psi_{n}=\hat{L}_{n}^{k} \psi_{n}$ for any integer $k$, we can consider operators $A_{n}$ of the form:

$$
A_{n}=\sum_{k=m_{1}}^{m_{2}} a_{n}^{(k)}[T] \lambda^{k}, \quad m_{1} \leqslant m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $a_{n}^{(k)}[T]$ are operators of the form (25). Then we can rewrite $A_{n}$ as

$$
\hat{A}_{n}=\sum_{k=m_{1}}^{m_{2}} a_{n}^{(k)}[T] L_{n}^{k}=\sum_{k \leqslant \hat{N}} \hat{a}_{n}^{(k)} T^{k}
$$

We are led to Lax equation (21) with $\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{A}_{n}$ of form (23) and, therefore, we can construct the conserved densities as written above, namely, according (24) with the only difference $\rho_{n}^{(0)}=$ $\log l_{n}^{(N)}$.

It should be remarked that the scheme can easily be applied to equation (5) with the $L-A$ pair [1, $(15,17)$ ].

In a quite similar way this scheme can also be applied in the continuous case, namely, to PDEs of the form

$$
u_{t}=F\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}, \ldots, u_{x \ldots x}\right)
$$

We consider the operators (25) with $D_{x}$ instead of $T$, which become the differential operators, where $D_{x}$ is the operator of total $x$-derivative. Besides, $k_{2} \geqslant k_{1} \geqslant 0$ and the coefficients $r_{n}^{(k)}$ depend on finitely many functions $u, u_{x}, u_{x x}, \ldots$ Instead of (23) we consider the formal series in powers of $D_{x}^{-1}$. A theory of such formal series and, in particular, the definition of the residue were discussed in 13.
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