

DICRETE HÖLDER ESTIMATES FOR A CERTAIN KIND OF PARAMETRIX. II

A.I. PARFENOV

Abstract. In the first paper of this series we have introduced a certain parametrix and the associated potential. The parametrix corresponds to a uniformly elliptic second order differential operator with locally Hölder continuous coefficients in the half-space. Here we show that the potential is an approximate left inverse of the differential operator modulo hyperplane integrals, with the error estimated in terms of the local Hölder norms. As a corollary, we calculate approximately the potential whose density and differential operator originate from the straightening of a special Lipschitz domain. This corollary is aimed for the future derivation of approximate formulae for harmonic functions.

Keywords: cubic discretization, Lipschitz domain, local Hölder norms, parametrix, potential, straightening.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A17

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A}_λ^μ be the family of all second order uniformly elliptic operators in the upper half-space \mathbb{R}_+^n ($n \geq 2$) with an ellipticity constant $\lambda \geq 1$ and locally μ -Hölder coefficients, $0 < \mu < 1$. In work [1] a Z -parametrix $E(A; x, y)$ (shortly: parametrix) was proposed for an operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$ and for the corresponding potential

$$\Phi_f(x) = \int_{y_n > 0} E(A; x, y) f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

estimates for local Hölder norms $\|D^\alpha \Phi_f\|_I$ ($|\alpha| \leq 2$) and $\|Rf\|_I$ were established in terms of the same norms $\|f\|_J$, where $f \mapsto Rf = f - A\Phi_f$ is the error operator.

The parametrix $E(A; x, y)$ and the potential Φ_f were introduced in order to study a special harmonic function. Let Ω be the overgraph of a Lipschitz function $\omega : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Lemma 3.7 in [2] and the properties of the Kelvin transform imply the existence and the uniqueness up to a positive multiplicative constant of a function U with the following properties:

$$U \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \Delta U = 0 \text{ and } U > 0 \text{ in domain } \Omega, \quad U|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Up to the equivalence, the function U determines the behavior of arbitrary positive harmonic functions vanishing continuously on a part of the boundary of a Lipschitz domain. Indeed, roughly speaking, each two such functions are comparable by the boundary Harnack principle. As an example see [3, Thm. 5.1].

Let us outline the plan of studying the function U . Denoting

$$u = (U \circ g)\varphi$$

A.I. PARFENOV, DICRETE HÖLDER ESTIMATES FOR A CERTAIN KIND OF PARAMETRIX. II.

© PARFENOV A.I. 2017.

Submitted March 15, 2016.

for an appropriate straightening diffeomorphism $g : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \Omega$ and a cut-off function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, by the Laplace equation $\Delta U = 0$ we obtain the differential equation

$$Au = LD_nu + L'$$

for some operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$ and functions $L, L' \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Here A and L depend on Ω and g , but not on U and φ . If the function ω is compactly supported and its Lipschitz constant is sufficiently small, the Neumann series

$$Q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k$$

makes sense. The boundary condition $u|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0$ and the boundedness of the support of the function u are preconditions for the validity of the integral representation

$$u = \Phi_F, \quad F = QAu = Q(vL + L'), \quad v = D_nu = D_n\Phi_F.$$

For the function $\mathbf{x}_n^{-1}(x) = x_n^{-1}$ and a number v_0 we write

$$v = \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}\Phi_F \underbrace{\{D_n\Phi_L - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}\Phi_L + 1\}}_{\Theta} + \underbrace{D_n\Phi_{F-v_0L} - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}\Phi_{F-v_0L}}_{\Theta_1} + \underbrace{\{D_n\Phi_L - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}\Phi_L\}}_{\Theta_2} \underbrace{\{v_0 - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}\Phi_F\}}_{\Theta_3}.$$

It turns out that $\Theta \approx \mathbf{x}_n D_n(S \circ g)$, where

$$S(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \ln r - \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega: |x-y| < r} |x-y|^{-n} dy \right\}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

and the approximate error is quadratic in approximation numbers b_I expressing how close locally the surface $\partial\Omega$ is to a hyperplane. We can choose v_0 so that the term Θ_1 is estimated quadratically in b_I , while the expressions Θ_2 and Θ_3 are estimated linearly. This implies that $\frac{D_nu}{u} \approx D_n(S \circ g)$ with a quadratic error. Generalizing the arguments and the definition of the function S to the case of a not necessarily compactly supported function ω with an arbitrary Lipschitz constant, by means of rotations of the coordinate system we obtain the approximate formula

$$\frac{\nabla U}{U} \approx \nabla S. \tag{1}$$

The integration of this formula gives rise to the exponential asymptotic formula (EAF)

$$U \approx U_0 e^S.$$

For known EAFs for conformal mappings, EAFs for solutions to elliptic systems and asymptotics for positive harmonic functions see works [4]–[8].

The present paper is devoted to realizing a part of the outlined plan, namely, to justifying, for error term in the formula $\Theta \approx \mathbf{x}_n D_n(S \circ g)$, an estimate quadratic in approximating numbers of the function ω . The paper consists of the introduction and two sections. In Section 2 we find approximately the potential Φ_{Af} . The main definitions are given in Subsections 2.1 and 2.2. In Subsection 2.3, the discrete Hölder estimates from [1] for the functions $D^\alpha \Phi_f$ and Rf are completed by an estimate for the expression $D_n \Phi_f - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_f$, which is more precise than the independent estimates for the functions Φ_f and $D_n \Phi_f$. In Subsection 2.4, the derivatives $D^\alpha \Phi_{Af}$ and the expression $D_n \Phi_{Af} - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{Af}$ are found up to the errors majorized by local Hölder seminorms $|A|_J$ of the coefficients of the operator A and by the norms $\|D^2 f\|_J$.

In Section 3, to a pair (ω, θ) , where $\theta \geq \|\omega\|_{\text{Lip}}$, we associate the *standard set*

$$(\{\gamma_K\}, w, W, g, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{G}, A, \lambda, L)$$

relating to a straightening of the domain Ω , after that the formula $\Theta \approx \mathbf{x}_n D_n(S \circ g)$ and its analogue for the derivatives $D_{ij} \Phi_L$ are established by a reduction to Subsection 2.4. We observe

that the formula for the derivatives $D_{ij}\Phi_L$ can be used while obtaining an analogue of formula (1) for the derivatives $D_{ij}U$.

Convention. The letter c (with a possible subscript or superscript) stands for various positive constants and always equipped by the brackets with all numerical parameters, on which these constants depend. For $t > 0$ and a cube or a ball $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ centered at \mathbf{c}_X and of the edge or radius of an arbitrary length we let

$$tX = \{\mathbf{c}_X + t(\xi - \mathbf{c}_X) : \xi \in X\}.$$

If $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $|\xi|_\infty = \max_i |\xi_i|$ and (if ξ is not a multi-index) $|\xi|^2 = \sum_i |\xi_i|^2$. For multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, by $D^\alpha f$ we denote partial derivatives of a real function f , at that, $D_i f \equiv D^{e_i} f$ and $D_{ij} f \equiv D^{e_i + e_j} f$, where $\{e_i\}_1^d$ is the canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^d . For a semi-norm p and a number $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we let

$$p(D^q f) = \max_{|\alpha|=q} p(D^\alpha f).$$

For instance, $|Df| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |D_i f| = |\nabla f|_\infty$, where ∇f is the gradient of the function f . By \bar{X} and X° we denote the closure and the interior of a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

2. APPROXIMATE CALCULATIONS WITH POTENTIAL Φ_{Af}

2.1. Basic information on a dyadic family. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, we introduce a dyadic family \mathcal{D} in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} :

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_k, \quad \mathcal{D}_k = \{I : I = [0, 2^k)^{n-1} + 2^k a \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}\}.$$

For the sets $I_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with a bounded non-empty union we let

$$[I_1, I_2] = \sup_{\xi, \eta \in I_1 \cup I_2} |\xi - \eta|_\infty.$$

We denote $l_I = [I, \emptyset]$ as $I \in \mathcal{D}$ (the side-length). For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we let

$$\Gamma_{IJ}^{(\alpha, \beta)} = l_I^\alpha l_J^\beta [I, J]^{-\alpha - \beta}, \quad I, J \in \mathcal{D}.$$

The following statements is Theorem 2(a) proved in [9]. Hereinafter, unless otherwise said, the summation are taken over the set \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 1. *If $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > n - 1$, then*

$$\sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \leq c(n, \alpha, \beta), \quad I \in \mathcal{D}.$$

For $I, J \in \mathcal{D}$ we say $I \odot J$ if $l_I = l_J$ and $\bar{I} \cap \bar{J} \neq \emptyset$. By $\{I^J, J^I\}$ we denote a pair of cubes $\{H_1, H_2\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ with the smallest possible value of $l_{H_1} = l_{H_2}$ and the property

$$I \subset H_1 \odot H_2 \supset J.$$

The cubes I and J can be connected by the chain

$$\widehat{IJ} = \{H \in \mathcal{D} : I \subset H \subset I^J \text{ or } J \subset H \subset J^I\}.$$

We fix $\mu \in (0, 1)$. For a function f on a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ containing more than one point, we let

$$|f|_{C^\mu(X)} = \sup_{x, y \in X : x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\mu}, \quad \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} = \sup_{x, y \in X : x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}.$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}_+^n &= \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}, \\ I^\square &= \bar{I} \times [l_I, 2l_I], \quad I \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathfrak{c}_I^\square &= (\mathfrak{c}_I, 3l_I/2) \quad \text{for the center } \mathfrak{c}_I \text{ of the cube } I.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{C} = C_{\text{loc}}^\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, that is, \mathcal{C} consists of all real functions f on \mathbb{R}_+^n such that $|f|_{C^\mu(I^\square)} < \infty$ for each $I \in \mathcal{D}$. We let

$$|f|_I = l_I^\mu |f|_{C^\mu(I^\square)}, \quad \|f\|_I = \|f\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} + |f|_I.$$

The estimate

$$|f|_I \leq nl_I \|Df\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)}, \quad f \in C^1(I^\square) \quad (2)$$

is obvious.

2.2. We introduce main notations related to the Z -parametrix $E(A; x, y)$ of an arbitrary operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$.

Let δ_{ij} be the Kronecker delta, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ be the Euler Gamma function, \mathcal{A} be the set of all differential operators

$$A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} D_{ij} \quad (3)$$

with constant coefficients $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\lambda \geq 1$ we let

$$\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{A} : (\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n) \lambda^{-1} |\zeta|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \zeta_i \zeta_j \leq \lambda |\zeta|^2 \right\}.$$

We denote by \mathfrak{z}_I the unique vertex of a cube $I \in \mathcal{D}$ possessing the property $\mathfrak{z}_I/(2l_I) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}I^\times &= \{\xi \in \bar{3I} : |\xi - \mathfrak{z}_I|_\infty \leq 3l_I/2\} \quad (\Rightarrow I \subset \bar{2I} \subset I^\times \subset \bar{3I}), \\ I^\boxtimes &= I^\times \times [3l_I/4, 3l_I] \quad (\Rightarrow I^\square \subset I^\boxtimes).\end{aligned}$$

The symbol \mathcal{A}^μ stands for all operators (3) with real coefficients $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in \mathcal{C}$. Hereafter a_{ij} always stand for the coefficients of the operator $A \in \mathcal{A}$ or $A \in \mathcal{A}^\mu$. If $A \in \mathcal{A}^\mu$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|A|_I &= l_I^\mu \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|_{C^\mu(I^\boxtimes)}, \\ A[x] &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_{ij}, \quad x_n > 0, \\ Af|_x &= A[x]f|_x, \quad f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n).\end{aligned}$$

We let $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu = \{A \in \mathcal{A}^\mu : A[x] \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda \text{ for all } x\}$.

For $I \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by $I^{(k)}$ we denote the unique cube in \mathcal{D} with the properties $I \subset I^{(k)}$ and $l_{I^{(k)}} = 2^k l_I$. It is easy to construct the functions $\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ in the class C^∞ such that $\varphi_0 \equiv 0$ and, as $k \geq 1$,

$$\varphi_k \equiv 1 \text{ on the set } \mathfrak{P}_k \equiv \overline{3I^{(k-1)}} \times (0, 3l_{I^{(k-1)}}], \quad (4a)$$

$$\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \mathfrak{P}_k^* \equiv (5I^{(k-1)})^\circ \times (0, 4l_{I^{(k-1)}}), \quad (4b)$$

$$|D^\alpha \varphi_k| \leq c(\alpha) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \quad (4c)$$

We also let $\mathfrak{Q}_{-1} = \mathfrak{P}_0 = \mathfrak{P}_0^* = \emptyset$ and

$$\mathfrak{Q}_k = \overline{3I^{(k)}} \times (0, 2l_{I^{(k)}}], \quad k \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

It is obvious that

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{k-1} \subset \mathfrak{P}_k \subset \mathfrak{P}_k^* \subset \mathfrak{Q}_k^\circ. \quad (6)$$

It is easy to check the existence of C^∞ -functions $\psi_K: \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the properties

$$\text{supp } \psi_K \subset \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}K \times \left[\frac{3}{4}l_K, \frac{5}{2}l_K \right], \quad K \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\sum_K \psi_K(x) = 1, \quad x_n > 0, \quad (7b)$$

$$|D^\alpha \psi_K| \leq c(\alpha) l_K^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \quad (7c)$$

For $A \in \bigcup_{\lambda \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ and $x \neq 0$ we denote

$$\det_A = \det(a_{ij}), \quad (b_{ij}) = (a_{ij})^{-1}, \quad Q_A(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} x_i x_j,$$

$$E_A(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi \sqrt{\det_A}} \ln Q_A(x), & n = 2, \\ \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{(2-n)2\pi^{n/2} \sqrt{\det_A}} Q_A^{\frac{2-n}{2}}(x), & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $x \neq y$, we let

$$e_n^A = a_{nn}^{-1} \{a_{1n}e_1 + a_{2n}e_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}e_n\},$$

$$\tilde{y}^A = y - y_n e_n^A, \quad \tilde{y}_A = y - 2y_n e_n^A,$$

$$G_A(x, y) = E_A(x - y) - E_A(x - \tilde{y}_A).$$

For $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $x \neq y$, we let

$$E(A; x, y) = \sum_K G_{A[\frac{\square}{K}]}(x, y) \psi_K(Z(x, y)),$$

where

$$Z(x, y) = x + \kappa |x - \tilde{y}| e_n, \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{4n+9}}, \quad \tilde{y} = (y', -y_n).$$

In [1] the parametrix $E(A; x, y)$ was introduced with the constant $\kappa_0 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n+15}}$ instead of κ .

2.3. Let us write down the potential Φ_f and discrete Hölder estimates for it.

Theorem 1. *Let $\lambda \geq 1$, $0 < \mu < 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$. Then for each function $f \in \text{VL}(0)$, where*

$$\text{VL}(0) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}: (\exists I \in \mathcal{D}) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J \|f\|_J < \infty \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}: (\forall I \in \mathcal{D}) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J \|f\|_J < \infty \right\},$$

the integral

$$\Phi_f(x) = \int_{y_n > 0} E(A; x, y) f(y) dy$$

converges absolutely and is twice continuously differentiable in x . We have $D^\alpha \Phi_f \in \mathcal{C}$ ($|\alpha| \leq 2$) and for each $I \in \mathcal{D}$

$$l_I^{-1} \|\Phi_f\|_I + \|D\Phi_f\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J \|f\|_J, \quad (8)$$

$$l_I^{-1} \|D_n \Phi_f - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_f\|_I + \|D^2 \Phi_f\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} \|f\|_J, \quad (9)$$

$$\|f - A\Phi_f\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} \|f\|_J \min \left\{ 1 + |A|_I, \sum_{H: I \subset H \subset I^J} |A|_H \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Remark. Here \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} is the function $x \mapsto x_n^{-1}$.

Proof. All statements of the theorem, except the estimate for the norm $\|D_n \Phi_f - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_f\|_I$, were checked in [1, Thm. 5] for the parametrix $E(A; x, y)$ defined by the constant κ_0 instead of κ . Due to the property $\kappa \leq \kappa_0$, the arguments can be extended to our parametrix with minor changes. This is why it remains to check the inequality:

$$l_I^{-1} \|D_n \Phi_f - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_f\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} \|f\|_J. \quad (11)$$

By (2), (4b) and (4c), for the function φ_1 in (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_1\|_J + \|1 - \varphi_1\|_J &\leq 2 + 2nl_J \|D\varphi_1\|_{L^\infty(J^\square)} \leq c_1(n), \\ \|\varphi_1 f\|_J + \|(1 - \varphi_1)f\|_J &\leq c_1 \|f\|_J, \quad J \in \mathcal{D}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, $\varphi_1 f \in \text{VL}(0)$ and $(1 - \varphi_1)f \in \text{VL}(0)$. In the same way,

$$\|\mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{\varphi_1 f}\|_I \leq \|\mathbf{x}_n^{-1}\|_I \|\Phi_{\varphi_1 f}\|_I \leq c_2(n) l_I^{-1} \|\Phi_{\varphi_1 f}\|_I.$$

If $\|\varphi_1 f\|_J \neq 0$, then $J^\square \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1^\circ \neq \emptyset$ in view of (4b) and (6), which implies $J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_1$ and

$$l_I^{-1} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J = l_I^{-1} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} [I, J] \leq l_I^{-1} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} [I^{(1)}, J] \leq 4\Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)}. \quad (12)$$

By (8) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} l_I^{-1} \|D_n \Phi_{\varphi_1 f} - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{\varphi_1 f}\|_I &\leq l_I^{-1} \|D_n \Phi_{\varphi_1 f}\|_I + c_2 l_I^{-2} \|\Phi_{\varphi_1 f}\|_I \\ &\leq c_3(n, \lambda, \mu) l_I^{-1} \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J \|\varphi_1 f\|_J \leq 4c_1 c_3 \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} \|f\|_J. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that for each $x \in I^\square$ and $y \in J^\square \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$ ($J \in \mathcal{D}$), the functions

$$\zeta_K(x, y) = G_{A[\mathfrak{c}_K^\square]}(x, y) \psi_K(Z(x, y)), \quad \zeta_K^*(x, y) = D_{x_n} \zeta_K(x, y) - x_n^{-1} \zeta_K(x, y)$$

satisfy the inequalities

$$|\zeta_K(x, y)| \leq c(n, \lambda) l_I \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J^{1-n}, \quad (13)$$

$$|D_x^\alpha \zeta_K^*(x, y)| \leq c(\alpha, \lambda) l_I^{1-|\alpha|} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} l_J^{-n}, \quad |\alpha| \leq 1. \quad (14)$$

Then by (4a), (7a) and the belonging $(1 - \varphi_1)f \in \text{VL}(0)$, the formula

$$\Phi_{(1-\varphi_1)f}(x) = \int_{y_n > 0} \left(\sum_K \zeta_K(x, y) \right) (1 - \varphi_1(y)) f(y) dy$$

leads us to the formula with an absolutely convergent series

$$D^\alpha (D_n \Phi_{(1-\varphi_1)f} - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{(1-\varphi_1)f})(x) = \sum_{J,K} \int_{J^\square} D_x^\alpha \zeta_K^*(x, y) (1 - \varphi_1(y)) f(y) dy,$$

which together with (2), (7a) and the property $\int_{J^\square} |f| dy \leq l_J^n \|f\|_J$ yield

$$l_I^{-1} \|D_n \Phi_{(1-\varphi_1)f} - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{(1-\varphi_1)f}\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n+1)} \|f\|_J.$$

In view of the result in the previous paragraph we obtain (11).

Let us check (13) and (14). For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $x \neq y$, estimate (23) in [1] is of the form:

$$|D_x^\alpha G_B(x, y)| \leq c(\alpha, \lambda) y_n |x - y|^{1-n-|\alpha|}, \quad (\alpha, B) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \times \mathcal{A}_\lambda. \quad (15)$$

Let $x \in I^\square$ and $y \in J^\square \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$ ($J \in \mathcal{D}$). Then

$$[I, J] \leq 4 |(x', \tau x_n) - y|_\infty \quad \text{as } 0 < \tau \leq 1, \quad (16)$$

which is implied easily by the inequality $|(x', \tau x_n) - y|_\infty > l_I$. Hence,

$$|G_{A[\mathfrak{K}^\square]}(x, y)| \leq \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} G_{A[\mathfrak{K}^\square]}((x', \tau x_n), y) d\tau \right| \leq c(n, \lambda) l_I l_J [I, J]^{-n},$$

which yields (13). Let $\alpha \in \{0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$. By the Taylor formula,

$$\zeta_K^*(x, y) = x_n \int_0^1 \tau D^{2e_n} \zeta_K((x', \tau x_n), y) d\tau, \quad (17a)$$

$$D_x^\alpha \zeta_K^*(x, y) = x_n \int_0^1 \tau D^{\alpha+2e_n} \zeta_K((x', \tau x_n), y) d\tau, \quad (17b)$$

$$D_{x_n} \zeta_K^*(x, y) = \int_0^1 \{\tau D^{2e_n} + x_n \tau^2 D^{3e_n}\} \zeta_K((x', \tau x_n), y) d\tau, \quad (17c)$$

where the derivatives D^β are taken w.r.t. the first vector independent variable. By (15), (16), (7a), (7c) and the Leibnitz formula for $\bar{x} = (x', \tau x_n)$ we have

$$|D_{\bar{x}}^\beta G_{A[\mathfrak{K}^\square]}(\bar{x}, y)| \leq c(\beta, \lambda) l_J [I, J]^{1-n-|\beta|}, \quad |\beta| \leq 3, \quad (17d)$$

$$|D_{\bar{x}}^\beta \psi_K(Z(\bar{x}, y))| \leq c(\beta) |\bar{x} - \tilde{y}|^{-|\beta|} \leq c(\beta) [I, J]^{-|\beta|}, \quad (17e)$$

$$|D_{\bar{x}}^\beta \zeta_K(\bar{x}, y)| \leq c(\beta, \lambda) l_J [I, J]^{1-n-|\beta|}. \quad (17f)$$

Hence,

$$|D_x^\alpha \zeta_K^*(x, y)| \leq c_4(\alpha, \lambda) l_I l_J [I, J]^{-n-1-|\alpha|} \leq c_4 l_I^{1-|\alpha|} l_J [I, J]^{-n-1}, \quad (17g)$$

$$|D_{x_n} \zeta_K^*(x, y)| \leq c_5(n, \lambda) \{l_J [I, J]^{-n-1} + l_I l_J [I, J]^{-n-2}\} \leq 2c_5 l_J [I, J]^{-n-1}, \quad (17h)$$

which coincides with (14). This completes the proof of inequality (11) and Theorem 1. \square

2.4. Calculation of Φ_{A_f} . The proof of the following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 2. *If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^d < \infty$, then the limit*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi - \mathfrak{x}| < r} f(\xi) d\xi$$

either exists for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ or does not exist for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In the former case, its value is independent of \mathfrak{x} .

We say that $D^2 f \in \text{VL}(0)$ if $f \in C_{\text{loc}}^{2, \mu}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and

$$\sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J \|D^2 f\|_J < \infty \text{ for some } I \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Let \mathbb{P}_1^n be the space of all polynomials in \mathbb{R}^n of degree at most one. By $\langle x, y \rangle$ we denote the scalar product $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 3. *Let $D^2f \in \text{VL}(0)$. Then*

$$t\nabla f(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \text{ as } t \downarrow 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\text{in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \text{ there exists the limit } f(\cdot, 0+). \quad (19)$$

If $f(x) = \gamma(x)$ for large $|x|$ for some polynomial $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_1^n$, then for each operator $A \in \bigcup_{\lambda \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ and points $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - \int_{y_n > 0} G_A(x, y) A f(y) dy \\ = x_n \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2} \sqrt{\det A}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi - \mathbf{x}| < r} Q_A^{-n/2}(x - (\xi, 0)) f(\xi, 0+) d\xi + \langle \nabla \gamma, e_n^A \rangle x_n. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Remark. *With no pedantry we write $f(\cdot, t)$ instead of $f((\cdot, t))$. The first integral in (20) exists by Theorem 1 since $G_A(x, y) = E(A; x, y)$.*

Proof. The condition $D^2f \in \text{VL}(0)$ implies immediately that

$$\int_{\Xi \times (0, 1)} x_n |D^2f(x)| dx < \infty$$

for each compact set $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For $0 < t < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} t \|Df(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} &\leq t \|Df(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} + t \int_{\Xi \times (t, 1)} |D^2f(x)| dx \\ &\leq t \|Df(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} + \int_{\Xi \times (t, \sqrt{t})} x_n |D^2f(x)| dx + \sqrt{t} \int_{\Xi \times (\sqrt{t}, 1)} x_n |D^2f(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

and as $t \rightarrow 0$, this proves (18). As $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$, the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\cdot, t_1) - f(\cdot, t_2)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} &\leq \int_{\Xi \times (t_1, t_2)} |D_n f(x)| dx \\ &\leq t_2 \|D_n f(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} + \int_{\Xi \times (t_1, t_2)} x_n |D_{nn} f(x)| dx + t_2 \int_{\Xi \times (t_2, 1)} |D_{nn} f(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

hold. These relations and the Cauchy convergence criterion give (19).

Let us prove that if the support $\text{supp } f$ is bounded under the assumptions of formula (20), then

$$f(x) - \int_{y_n > 0} G_A(x, y) A f(y) dy = x_n \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2} \sqrt{\det A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_A^{-n/2}(x - (\xi, 0)) f(\xi, 0+) d\xi. \quad (21)$$

In view of [1, (19)] and the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_A(y - z) A \varphi(y) dy = \varphi(z), \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

we get

$$Q_A(x - y) - Q_A(x - \tilde{y}_A) = -\frac{4x_n y_n}{a_{nn}} = Q_A(y - x) - Q_A(y - \tilde{x}_A), \quad (22)$$

$$Q_A(x - \tilde{y}_A) = Q_A(y - \tilde{x}_A), \quad (23)$$

$$G_A(x, y) = G_A(y, x), \quad (24)$$

$$\int_{y_n > 0} G_A(x, y) A \varphi(y) dy = \int_{y_n > 0} G_A(y, x) A \varphi(y) dy = \varphi(x), \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

If the function f is concentrated near the point x , by the regularization we have

$$f(x) - \int_{y_n > 0} G_A(x, y) Af(y) dy = 0.$$

Hence, while checking (21), we can assume that $f \equiv 0$ in the vicinity of the point x . In this case, considering the integrals over the set $\{y: y_n > t\}$ and employing relations (15) $\big|_{\alpha=0}$, (18), (19) as well as the boundedness of $\text{supp } f$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{y_n > 0} G_A(x, y) Af(y) dy &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \int_{y_n > 0} D_{y_i} G_A(x, y) D_{y_j} f(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \int_{y_n > 0} D_{y_j} \{D_{y_i} G_A(x, y) f(y)\} dy \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{y_i} G_A(x, (\xi, 0)) f(\xi, 0+) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_{y_i} G_A(x, (\xi, 0)) &= C_A Q_A^{-n/2}(x - y) D_{y_i} \{Q_A(x - y) - Q_A(x - \tilde{y}_A)\} \bigg|_{y=(\xi,0)} \\ &= - \frac{4x_n \delta_{in}}{a_{nn}} C_A Q_A^{-n/2}(x - (\xi, 0)), \quad C_A = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{4\pi^{n/2} \sqrt{\det A}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (21), that is, formula (20) with $\gamma = 0$.

As $\gamma \neq 0$, we apply formula (21) to the function $f - \gamma$. Thanks to the identity

$$\gamma(x) - \gamma(\tilde{x}^A) = \langle \nabla \gamma, x_n e_n^A \rangle$$

formula (20) will be proved if we establish the relation

$$\gamma(\tilde{x}^A) = x_n \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2} \sqrt{\det A}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi - \tilde{x}| < r} Q_A^{-n/2}(x - (\xi, 0)) \gamma(\xi, 0) d\xi. \quad (25)$$

Expanding $\gamma(\xi, 0)$ into the powers of the variable $\eta \equiv \xi - (\tilde{x}^A)'$, we see that we need to check the identities

$$\begin{aligned} x_n \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2} \sqrt{\det A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_A^{-n/2}(x - (\xi, 0)) d\xi &= 1, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\eta + (\tilde{x}^A)' - \tilde{x}| < r} Q_A^{-n/2}(x_n e_n^A - (\eta, 0)) \eta_i d\eta &= 0, \quad i = \overline{1, n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The former identity is obtained by substituting the function $f = \varphi(\cdot/r)$ into (21), where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in the vicinity of the origin and by passing then to limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$ taking into consideration the inequality

$$|G_A(x, y)| \leq c(n, \lambda) x_n |x - y|^{1-n}$$

implied by (15) and (24). The second needed identity are yielded by the relations

$$Q_A(x_n e_n^A - (\eta, 0)) \stackrel{(23)}{=} Q_A((\eta, 0) - x_n \tilde{e}_{nA}^A) = Q_A(x_n e_n^A + (\eta, 0))$$

and Lemma 2. This completes the proof of (25), (20) and the lemma. \square

The next result allows us to find approximately the derivatives $D^\alpha \Phi_{Af}$ of the potential Φ_{Af} and the expression $D_n \Phi_{Af} - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{Af}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda \geq 1$, $0 < \mu < 1$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$, $D^2 f \in \text{VL}(0)$, $I \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$\Theta = \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J \left(\sum_{H \in \overleftarrow{IJ} \cup \overrightarrow{IJ}} |A|_H \right) \mathcal{F}_J < \infty,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{IJ} &= \{H \in \mathcal{D}: I \subset H \subset I^J \text{ \& } 12l_H > \kappa l_{IJ}\}, \\ \overrightarrow{IJ} &= \{H \in \mathcal{D}: J \subset H \subset J^I\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_J &= \|D^2 f\|_J + [I, J]^{-1} \sum_{H \in \{I^J\} \cup \overrightarrow{IJ}} l_H \|D^2 f\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

Then $Af \in \text{VL}(0)$ and the integral

$$\Phi_{Af}(x) = \int_{y_n > 0} E(A; x, y) Af(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$$

converges absolutely. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and the functions $\{\varphi_k\}$ in (4) we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_k &= \mathbf{c}_{I^{(k)}}, \quad A_k = A[\mathbf{c}_k], \quad \gamma_k(x) = f(\mathbf{c}_k) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{c}_k), x - \mathbf{c}_k \rangle, \\ f_k &= \varphi_k f + (1 - \varphi_k) \gamma_k, \quad f_{(k)} = f_{k+1} - f_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then $D^2 f_k \in \text{VL}(0)$, the limits

$$F_k(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2} \sqrt{\det A_k}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi - \mathbf{c}'_k| < r} Q_{A_k}^{-n/2}(x - (\xi, 0)) f_{(k)}(\xi, 0+) d\xi$$

exist as $x \in I^\square$, the functions F_k belong to $C^{2,\mu}(I^\square)$, the series

$$F = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k$$

converges absolutely in $C^{2,\mu}(I^\square)$, the scalar series

$$\gamma' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle \nabla(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k), e_n^{A_k} \rangle$$

converges absolutely and the inequalities

$$l_I^{-1} \|\mathcal{R}_f\|_I + \|D\mathcal{R}_f\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \Theta, \quad (26a)$$

$$l_I^{-1} \|D_n \mathcal{R}_f - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \mathcal{R}_f\|_I + \|D^2 \mathcal{R}_f\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \Theta^* \quad (26b)$$

hold true, where

$$\mathcal{R}_f = \Phi_{Af} - \Psi, \quad \Psi = f - \gamma_0 - \mathbf{x}_n F - \gamma' \mathbf{x}_n,$$

$$\Theta^* = \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} \left(\sum_{H \in \overleftarrow{IJ} \cup \overrightarrow{IJ}} |A|_H \right) \mathcal{F}_J.$$

Remark. The limits $f_{(k)}(\cdot, 0+)$ are treated in the sense of (19). The inequality

$$\Theta^* \leq \Theta/l_I$$

implies the finiteness of Θ^* .

Proof. It is obvious that $Af \in \mathcal{C}$. For each $J \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\|a_{ij} - a_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_J^\square)\|_J = \|a_{ij} - a_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_J^\square)\|_{L^\infty(J^\square)} + l_J^\mu |a_{ij}|_{C^\mu(J^\square)} \leq c(n)|A|_J, \quad (27)$$

$$\|Af\|_J \leq \|Af - A[\mathbf{c}_J^\square]f\|_J + \|A[\mathbf{c}_J^\square]f\|_J \leq c(n)|A|_J \|D^2f\|_J + c(n, \lambda) \|D^2f\|_J.$$

In view of the conditions $D^2f \in \text{VL}(0)$ and $\Theta < \infty$ we obtain the belonging $Af \in \text{VL}(0)$ and hence, the absolute convergence of the integral $\Phi_{Af}(x)$ by Theorem 1.

Let $k \geq 0$ and $J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_k$ (see (5)). Considering Taylor polynomials for the functions f at the touching points for the cubes in the set $\{H^\square : H \in \widehat{I^{(k)}J}\}$, by the inequalities

$$\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(J^\square)} \leq \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(3(H^\square))} \leq c(n)\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(H^\square)}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{P}_1^n,$$

and the Taylor formula we obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \gamma_k\|_{L^\infty(J^\square)} &\leq c(n) \sum_{H \in \widehat{I^{(k)}J}} l_H^2 \|D^2f\|_{L^\infty(H^\square)}, \\ \|D(f - \gamma_k)\|_{L^\infty(J^\square)} &\leq c(n) \sum_{H \in \widehat{I^{(k)}J}} l_H \|D^2f\|_{L^\infty(H^\square)}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of relations (2), $|D^2\gamma_k| \equiv 0$ and $(I^{(k)})^J = I^{(k)}$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \gamma_k\|_J &\leq c(n) \sum_{H \in \widehat{I^{(k)}J}} l_H^2 \|D^2f\|_H, \\ \|D(f - \gamma_k)\|_J &\leq c(n) \sum_{H \in \widehat{I^{(k)}J}} l_H \|D^2f\|_H, \\ l_H &\leq l_{I^{(k)}} \quad \& \quad [I, J] \leq [I^{(k)}, J] \leq 2l_{I^{(k)}}, \\ l_{I^{(k)}}^{-2} \|f - \gamma_k\|_J + l_{I^{(k)}}^{-1} \|D(f - \gamma_k)\|_J &\leq c(n)[I, J]^{-1} \sum_{H \in \widehat{I^{(k)}J}} l_H \|D^2f\|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Let us estimate $\|D^2(f - f_k)\|_J$ and $\|D^2f_k\|_J$. We write

$$f - f_k = (1 - \varphi_k)(f - \gamma_k), \quad f_{(k)} = f_{k+1} - f_k = \{f - f_k\} - \{f - f_{k+1}\}.$$

If $J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}^\circ$, then $l_J \leq l_{I^{(k)}}$ and $\widehat{I^{(k)}J} = \{I^J\} \cup \widehat{I^J}$. By (2), (4c), (28) and the Leibnitz formula, this implies

$$l_{I^{(k)}}^2 \|D^2(1 - \varphi_k)\|_J + l_{I^{(k)}} \|D(1 - \varphi_k)\|_J + \|1 - \varphi_k\|_J \leq c(n), \quad \|D^2(f - f_k)\|_J \leq c_1(n)\mathcal{F}_J.$$

By (4a), (4b) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} f - f_k &\equiv 0 \quad \text{on the set } \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}, \\ |D^2f_k| &\equiv 0 \quad \text{on the set } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_k^\circ, \\ |D^2f_{(k)}| &\equiv 0 \quad \text{on the set } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_{k+1}^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|D^2(f - f_k)\|_J \leq \begin{cases} 0, & J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}, \\ c_1\mathcal{F}_J, & J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}^\circ, \\ \|D^2f\|_J \leq \mathcal{F}_J, & J^\square \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_k^\circ, \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

$$\|D^2f_{(k)}\|_J \leq \begin{cases} (c_1 + 1)\mathcal{F}_J, & J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}^\circ, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

In view of [9, Eq. (25e)], we have $[I^J, J] \leq 3[I, J]$ and hence, for each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Gamma_{IJ}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \leq \max\{1, 3^{\alpha+\beta}\} \Gamma_{IH}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \Gamma_{HJ}^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad H \in \widehat{IJ}. \quad (31)$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > n - 1$ and $H \in \overleftarrow{IJ} \cup \overrightarrow{IJ}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [H, J] &\leq [I^J, J^I] \leq 2l_{IJ} < 24\kappa^{-1}l_H \quad \text{as } H \in \overleftarrow{IJ}, \quad [H, J] = l_H \quad \text{as } H \in \overrightarrow{IJ}, \\ \Gamma_{HJ}^{(\alpha, \beta)} &\leq c(n, \alpha) \Gamma_{HJ}^{(\alpha_1, \beta)}, \quad \alpha_1 = \max\{\alpha, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (31) and Lemma 1, for each $H \in \mathcal{D}$ we obtain

$$\sum_{J: H \in \overleftarrow{IJ} \cup \overrightarrow{IJ}} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \leq c(n, \alpha, \beta) \Gamma_{IH}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \sum_J \Gamma_{HJ}^{(\alpha_1, \beta)} \leq c(n, \alpha, \beta) \Gamma_{IH}^{(\alpha, \beta)}. \quad (32)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J [I, J]^{-1} \sum_{H \in \{I^J\} \cup \overleftarrow{IJ}} l_H \|D^2 f\|_H &\leq \sum_H l_H \|D^2 f\|_H \sum_{J: H \in \overleftarrow{IJ} \cup \overrightarrow{IJ}} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n+1)} \\ &\leq c(n) \sum_H \Gamma_{IH}^{(0, n+1)} l_H \|D^2 f\|_H, \\ \theta := \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J \mathcal{F}_J &\leq c(n) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J \|D^2 f\|_J < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (29) we conclude that $D^2(f - f_k), D^2 f_k, D^2 f_{(k)} \in \text{VL}(0)$.

In what follows we suppose that $x \in I^\square$. Due to (30) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Af_{(k)}\|_J &\leq c(n, \lambda)(|A|_J + 1) \|D^2 f_{(k)}\|_J, \quad \|A_k f_{(k)}\|_J \leq c(n, \lambda) \|D^2 f_{(k)}\|_J, \\ \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J \{\|Af_{(k)}\|_J + \|A_k f_{(k)}\|_J\} &\leq c_2(n, \lambda) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J (|A|_J + 1) \mathcal{F}_J \leq c_2 \{\Theta + \theta\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Af_{(k)} \in \text{VL}(0)$ and $A_k f_{(k)} \in \text{VL}(0)$, so, the potentials

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k(x) &= \int_{y_n > 0} E(A; x, y) Af_{(k)}(y) dy, \\ \Phi'_k(x) &= \int_{y_n > 0} E(A; x, y) A_k f_{(k)}(y) dy, \\ \Phi''_k(x) &= \int_{y_n > 0} G_{A_k}(x, y) A_k f_{(k)}(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

are well-defined. In view of (8), (9), (29) and (30), the series

$$\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k, \quad \Phi' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi'_k, \quad \Phi'' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi''_k$$

converge absolutely in $C^{2, \mu}(I^\square)$, and the potential $\Phi_{A(f-f_k)}$ tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $C^{2, \mu}(I^\square)$. Bearing in mind the relation $f_0 = \gamma_0 \in \mathbb{P}_1^n$, on I^\square we get

$$\Phi_{Af} = \Phi_{A(f-f_q)} + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi_k = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \left(\Phi_{A(f-f_q)} + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi_k \right) = \Phi.$$

By Lemma 3, the limits $F_k(x)$ exist and

$$f_{(k)} - \Phi''_k = \mathbf{x}_n F_k + \langle \nabla(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k), e_n^{A_k} \rangle \mathbf{x}_n.$$

In particular, $F_k \in C^{2,\mu}(I^\square)$. By the Taylor formula

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k)| &\leq c(n)l_{I^{(k)}} \{ \|D^2 f\|_{I^{(k)}} + \|D^2 f\|_{I^{(k+1)}} \}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\langle \nabla(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k), e_n^{A_k} \rangle| &\leq c(n, \lambda) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J \|D^2 f\|_J < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is, the series γ' converges absolutely. The absolute convergence of the series F follows the relation $f_{(k)}|_{I^\square} \equiv 0$ ($k \geq 1$) and the absolute convergence of the series Φ'' and γ' . On the cube I^\square , the identities

$$\Psi = f - \gamma_0 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{f_{(k)} - \Phi''_k\} = f - \gamma_0 - f_{(0)} + \Phi'' = \Phi'', \quad \mathcal{R}_f = \Phi - \Phi''$$

hold true.

It remains to check inequalities (26). If $J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}^\circ$, where $k \geq 0$, then $I^J = I^{(k)}$ or $I^J = I^{(k+1)}$, so that $\mathbf{c}_k \in (I^J)^\boxtimes$. By analogy with (27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_{ij} - a_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_k)\|_J &\leq c(n) \sum_{H \in \{I^J\} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} |A|_H, \\ \|(A - A_k)f_{(k)}\|_J &\leq c(n) \left(\sum_{H \in \{I^J\} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} |A|_H \right) \|D^2 f_{(k)}\|_J. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (30) and by Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l_I^{-1} \|\Phi_k - \Phi'_k\|_I + \|D(\Phi_k - \Phi'_k)\|_I &\leq c(n, \lambda, \mu)\Theta_k, \\ l_I^{-1} \|D_n(\Phi_k - \Phi'_k) - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}(\Phi_k - \Phi'_k)\|_I + \|D^2(\Phi_k - \Phi'_k)\|_I &\leq c(n, \lambda, \mu)\Theta_k^*, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_k &= \sum_{J: J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}^\circ} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} l_J \left(\sum_{H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} |A|_H \right) \mathcal{F}_J, \\ \Theta_k^* &= \sum_{J: J^\square \subset \mathfrak{Q}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_{k-1}^\circ} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n+1)} \left(\sum_{H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} |A|_H \right) \mathcal{F}_J. \end{aligned}$$

By this and the convergence of the series Φ and Φ' we conclude that

$$l_I^{-1} \|\Phi - \Phi'\|_I + \|D(\Phi - \Phi')\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu)\Theta, \quad (33a)$$

$$l_I^{-1} \|D_n(\Phi - \Phi') - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}(\Phi - \Phi')\|_I + \|D^2(\Phi - \Phi')\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu)\Theta^*. \quad (33b)$$

We let

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \{K \in \mathcal{D}: \mathbf{c}_K^\square \in (I^{(j)})^\boxtimes \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}_0, k - \log_2(6/\kappa) < j \leq k\}.$$

For each $(k, K) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathcal{D}$ we shall show that

$$\text{if } \psi_K(Z(x, y))A_k f_{(k)}(y) \neq 0 \text{ for some } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \text{ then } K \in \mathcal{H}_k. \quad (34)$$

Suppose the assumption in (34). Then $y \in \Omega_{k+1} \setminus \Omega_{k-1}$ by (30) and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |x - \tilde{y}| &> x_n \geq l_I, & k &= 0, \\ |x - \tilde{y}| &\geq \max\{|x' - y'|_\infty, y_n\} > l_{I^{(k-1)}}, & k &\neq 0, \\ |x - \tilde{y}|^2 &\leq (n-1)|x' - y'|_\infty^2 + (x_n + y_n)^2 \\ &\leq 16(n-1)l_{I^{(k)}}^2 + (2l_I + 4l_{I^{(k)}})^2 < \kappa^{-2}l_{I^{(k)}}^2, \\ l_I &< l_I + \frac{\kappa}{2}l_{I^{(k)}} < Z(x, y)_n = x_n + \kappa|x - \tilde{y}| < 2l_I + l_{I^{(k)}} \leq 3l_{I^{(k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is why there exists j such that $0 \leq j \leq k$ and

$$Z(x, y) \in \left[\overline{I^{(j)}} \times (l_{I^{(j)}}, 3l_{I^{(j)}}) \right] \cap \text{supp } \psi_K.$$

We have

$$\frac{\kappa}{2}l_{I^{(k)}} < Z(x, y)_n < 3l_{I^{(j)}},$$

and hence, $k - \log_2(6/\kappa) < j$. By (7a)

$$\overline{I^{(j)}} \cap \frac{3}{2}K \neq \emptyset \quad \& \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{l_{I^{(j)}}}{l_K} \leq 2.$$

It is easy to confirm that this implies the belonging $\mathbf{c}_K^\square \in (I^{(j)})^\boxtimes$. The proof of (34) is complete.

It follows from relations (7b), (30) and (34) that

$$\Phi'_k(x) - \Phi''_k(x) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{H}_k} \int_{\Omega_{k+1} \setminus \Omega_{k-1}^\circ} (G_{A[\mathbf{c}_K^\square]}(x, y) - G_{A_k}(x, y)) \psi_K(Z(x, y)) A_k f_{(k)}(y) dy. \quad (35)$$

If $J^\square \subset \Omega_{k+1} \setminus \Omega_{k-1}^\circ$, then $I^J = I^{(k)}$ or $I^J = I^{(k+1)}$ and therefore,

$$I \subset I^{(j)} \subset I^{(k)} \subset I^J \quad \& \quad l_{I^{(j)}} > \frac{\kappa}{6}l_{I^{(k)}} \geq \frac{\kappa}{12}l_{I^J} \quad \& \quad I^{(j)} \in \overleftarrow{I^J}$$

for each index j in the definition of the set \mathcal{H}_k . This is why

$$\max_{i,j=\overline{1,n}} \max_{K \in \mathcal{H}_k} |a_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_K^\square) - a_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_k)| \leq c(n) \sum_{H \in \overleftarrow{I^J}} |A|_H, \quad J^\square \subset \Omega_{k+1} \setminus \Omega_{k-1}^\circ, \quad (36a)$$

$$\|A_k f_{(k)}\|_J \leq c(n, \lambda) \mathcal{F}_J, \quad (36b)$$

where the second inequality is implied trivially by (30). By a simple modification of the constructions in work [1], from (35) and (36) we obtain the estimates

$$l_I^{-1} \|\Phi'_k - \Phi''_k\|_I + \|D(\Phi'_k - \Phi''_k)\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \Theta_k, \quad (37a)$$

$$\|D^2(\Phi'_k - \Phi''_k)\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \Theta_k^*. \quad (37b)$$

In [1, Subsect. 2.1], an estimate for the derivatives of the Green functions was proved (see (15)), which was applied for estimating the norms of $\|D^\alpha \Phi\|_I$ in [1, Subsect. 2.2], where the function Φ is similar to the potential Φ_f . At the same time, in [1, Subsect. 2.1], there was obtained an estimate for the derivatives of the difference $G_{B_1} - G_{B_2}$ applied then in [1, Subsect. 2.2] for estimating the norm $\|f - A\Phi\|_I$ of the error $f - A\Phi$. These two lines can be easily combined to obtain inequalities (37). By (12) and (37a)

$$l_I^{-1} \|D_n(\Phi'_0 - \Phi''_0) - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}(\Phi'_0 - \Phi''_0)\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda, \mu) \Theta_0^*.$$

This is why, if we establish the inequality

$$l_I^{-1} \|D_n(\Phi'_k - \Phi''_k) - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}(\Phi'_k - \Phi''_k)\|_I \leq c(n, \lambda) \Theta_k^* \quad (k \geq 1), \quad (38)$$

then the convergence of the series Φ' and Φ'' in $C^{2,\mu}(I^\square)$ and the relation $\mathcal{R}_f = \Phi - \Phi''$ (on I^\square) and (33) will imply required estimates (26).

Let $k \geq 1$, $J^\square \subset \Omega_{k+1} \setminus \Omega_{k-1}^\circ$, $\bar{x} = (x', \tau x_n)$ for $0 < \tau \leq 1$, $y \in J^\square \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$ and $K \in \mathcal{H}_k$. By equation (23') in [1], (16) and (36a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D_{\bar{x}}^\beta (G_{A[\mathfrak{c}_K^\square]}(\bar{x}, y) - G_{A_k}(\bar{x}, y)) \right| &\leq c(\beta, \lambda) y_n |\bar{x} - y|^{1-n-|\beta|} \sum_{H \in \overline{I\bar{J}}} |A|_H \\ &\leq c(\beta, \lambda) l_J [I, J]^{1-n-|\beta|} \sum_{H \in \overline{I\bar{J}}} |A|_H, \quad |\beta| \leq 3, \end{aligned}$$

which can be considered as an analogue of inequality (17d). Reproducing (17) for the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_K(\bar{x}, y) &= (G_{A[\mathfrak{c}_K^\square]}(\bar{x}, y) - G_{A_k}(\bar{x}, y)) \psi_K(Z(\bar{x}, y)), \\ \delta_K^*(x, y) &= D_{x_n} \delta_K(x, y) - x_n^{-1} \delta_K(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at the estimates

$$\left| D_{\bar{x}}^\beta \delta_K(\bar{x}, y) \right| \leq c(\beta, \lambda) l_J [I, J]^{1-n-|\beta|} \sum_{H \in \overline{I\bar{J}}} |A|_H, \quad (39)$$

$$\left| D_x^\alpha \delta_K^*(x, y) \right| \leq c(\alpha, \lambda) l_I^{1-|\alpha|} l_J [I, J]^{-n-1} \sum_{H \in \overline{I\bar{J}}} |A|_H, \quad \alpha \in \{0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}, \quad (40)$$

$$\left| D_{x_n} \delta_K^*(x, y) \right| \leq c(n, \lambda) l_J [I, J]^{-n-1} \sum_{H \in \overline{I\bar{J}}} |A|_H. \quad (41)$$

In view of (4a), (35), (39) and the belonging $A_k f_{(k)} \in \text{VL}(0)$, the formula

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha (D_n(\Phi'_k - \Phi''_k) - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1}(\Phi'_k - \Phi''_k))(x) \\ = \sum_{J, K: J^\square \subset \Omega_{k+1} \setminus \Omega_{k-1}^\circ \text{ and } K \in \mathcal{H}_k} \int_{J^\square \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1} D_x^\alpha \delta_K^*(x, y) A_k f_{(k)}(y) dy, \quad |\alpha| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

holds true, where the series converges absolutely. Hence, in view of (2), (36b), (40) and (41) we obtain estimate (38). This completes the proof of (26) and of the theorem. \square

3. STANDARD SET AND CALCULATIONS WITH THE POTENTIAL Φ_L

3.1. Standard set and potential Φ_{Aw} . To a Lipschitz function $\omega : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we associate its overgraph Ω and the approximation numbers b_I :

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \omega(x')\}, \\ b_I &= l_I^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \left(\min_{\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_1^{n-1}} \int_{5I} |\omega - \gamma|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2}, \quad I \in \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce a series of auxiliary notions needed for studying harmonic functions in the domain Ω by straightening this domain.

Theorem 3. *Given $K \in \mathcal{D}$, let $\gamma_K \in \mathbb{P}_1^{n-1}$ be a polynomial with the property*

$$\int_K |\omega - \gamma_K|^2 d\xi = \min_{\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_1^{n-1}} \int_K |\omega - \gamma|^2 d\xi.$$

For the partition of unity $\{\psi_K\}$ in (7) we let

$$w(x) = \sum_K \psi_K(x) \gamma_K(x'), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Then the function w belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, is Lipschitz and

$$w(\xi, 0+) = \omega(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}. \quad (42)$$

We choose a constant $\theta \geq \|\omega\|_{\text{Lip}}$. Then for each $I \in \mathcal{D}$

$$|\nabla \gamma_I| \leq c(n)\theta, \quad (43)$$

$$b_I \leq c(n)\theta, \quad (44)$$

$$\|D^\alpha w\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} \leq c(\alpha)l_I^{1-|\alpha|}b_I, \quad \alpha \notin \{0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}, \quad (45)$$

$$\|D^\alpha w\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} \leq c(\alpha)l_I^{1-|\alpha|}\theta, \quad \alpha \neq 0. \quad (46)$$

There exists $W = c(n, \theta)$ such that for the mapping $\mathbf{x}' : x \mapsto x'$

$$\|\omega - \gamma_I\|_{L^\infty(5I)} \leq Wl_I/3, \quad I \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (47)$$

$$\|w - \gamma_I \circ \mathbf{x}'\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} \leq Wl_I/3, \quad I \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (48)$$

the mapping $g : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $g(x) = (x', g_n(x))$ with the function

$$g_n = w + W\mathbf{x}_n$$

is a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}_+^n onto Ω , while the inverse diffeomorphism $\mathbf{g} = g^{-1}$ is represented by the formula

$$\mathbf{g}(y) = (y', \mathfrak{G}(y))$$

with a Lipschitz function $\mathfrak{G} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying the inequalities

$$\|(D^\alpha \mathfrak{G}) \circ g\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} \leq c(\alpha, \theta)l_I^{1-|\alpha|}b_I, \quad |\alpha| > 1, \quad (49)$$

$$\|(D^\alpha \mathfrak{G}) \circ g\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} \leq c(\alpha, \theta)l_I^{1-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \neq 0. \quad (50)$$

The operator

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ D_{ii} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{G}}{\partial y_i}(g)D_{in} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{G}}{\partial y_i}(g)D_{ni} \right\} + |\nabla \mathfrak{G}(g)|^2 D_{nn}$$

belongs to \mathcal{A}_λ^μ for some $\lambda(n, \theta) \geq 1$ and each $0 < \mu < 1$. The inequalities

$$|A|_I \leq c(n, \theta)b_I, \quad (51)$$

$$\|L\|_I \leq c(n, \theta)l_I^{-1}b_I \quad \text{for } L = -(\Delta \mathfrak{G}) \circ g = -\sum_{i=1}^n (D_{ii} \mathfrak{G}) \circ g \quad (52)$$

hold true.

We call $(\{\gamma_K\}, w, W, g, \mathbf{g}, \mathfrak{G}, A, \lambda, L)$ the standard set of the pair (ω, θ) .

Proof. It is obvious that $w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. It is elementary to check (it is sufficient to consider one-dimensional dyadic intervals) that

$$\text{if } (I^\times)^\circ \cap \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}K \neq \emptyset \text{ and } l_K \in \{l_I/2, l_I, 2l_I\}, \text{ then } K \subset 5I.$$

By analogy with [10, Subsect. 2.7], now one can obtain properties (42)–(46) and the estimate

$$\|\omega - \gamma_I\|_{L^\infty(5I)} \leq c_1(n, \theta)l_I, \quad I \in \mathcal{D}.$$

The Lipschitz property for w is implied by inequalities (46) with $|\alpha| = 1$.

By (7a) and (7b), the function w coincides with the polynomial $\gamma_I \circ \mathbf{x}'$ in some neighbourhood of the point $(\mathbf{c}_I, 11l_I/8) \in I^\boxtimes$. By (45), the Taylor formula, the convexity of the parallelepiped I^\boxtimes and (44) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w - \gamma_I \circ \mathbf{x}'\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} &\leq c(n)l_I b_I, \\ \|w - \gamma_I \circ \mathbf{x}'\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} &\leq c_2(n, \theta)l_I. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

It follows immediately from (46) that $\|D_n w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c_3(n, \theta)$. We let

$$W(n, \theta) = 3 \max\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}.$$

Inequalities (47) and (48) are trivial. The required properties of the mappings g and \mathbf{g} including estimates (49) and (50) on \mathfrak{G} are obtained due to Theorem 2.5 in [10].

The bi-Lipschitz constant of the mapping g is less than some number $c(n, \theta)$ due to (46) and (50). Hence, for some $\lambda(n, \theta) \geq 1$, one can easily obtain the uniform ellipticity condition $A[x] \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$, see [9]. Hence, $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$.

By inequalities (46), (49) and (50) we have

$$\|Da_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} + \|L\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} + l_I \|DL\|_{L^\infty(I^\boxtimes)} \leq c(n, \theta) l_I^{-1} b_I.$$

Now (51) and (52) are obtained by the analogue of estimate (2) for the set I^\boxtimes . \square

Remark. *The operator A and function L are such that each function U harmonic in the domain Ω solves the equation $A(U \circ g) = LD_n(U \circ g)$.*

In the rest of the section we restrict ourselves by the functions $\omega \in \text{LIP}$.

Definition 1. *The set LIP consists of Lipschitz functions*

$$\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

each of which coincides with some polynomial in \mathbb{P}_1^{n-1} on the complement of some compact set.

Let us find out, what Theorem 2 gives once it is applied to the potential Φ_{Aw} .

Lemma 4. *Let $\omega \in \text{LIP}$ and $I \in \mathcal{D}$. Then*

$$\Theta_1 := \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} b_J < \infty, \quad \Theta_2 := \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0,n)} b_J^2 < \infty, \quad \Theta_2^* := \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(1,n)} b_J^2 < \infty.$$

Given a constant $\theta \geq \|\omega\|_{\text{LIP}}$, let $(\{\gamma_K\}, w, W, g, \mathbf{g}, \mathfrak{G}, A, \lambda, L)$ be a standard set of the pair (ω, θ) . As $k \geq 0$, we denote

$$\gamma'_k = \gamma_{I^{(k)}}, \quad \tau_{1,k} = D_1 \gamma'_k, \quad \dots, \quad \tau_{n-1,k} = D_{n-1} \gamma'_k.$$

Then the inequalities

$$\|\omega - \gamma'_{k+1}\|_{L^2(5I^{(k)})} + \|\omega - \gamma'_k\|_{L^2(5I^{(k)})} \leq c(n) l_{I^{(k)}}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad (54)$$

$$l_{I^{(k)}}^{-1} \|\gamma'_{k+1} - \gamma'_k\|_{L^\infty(5I^{(k)})} + |\nabla(\gamma'_{k+1} - \gamma'_k)| \leq c(n) b_{I^{(k)}} \quad (55)$$

hold true.

For each $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and the function $f = w$ all assumptions of Theorem 2 hold and in terms of the notations of this theorem the relations

$$\Theta \leq c(n, \theta) \Theta_2, \quad (56)$$

$$\Theta^* \leq c(n, \theta) l_I^{-1} \Theta_2^*, \quad (57)$$

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ D_{ii} - \frac{\tau_{i,k}}{W} D_{in} - \frac{\tau_{i,k}}{W} D_{ni} \right\} + \frac{1 + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \tau_{s,k}^2}{W^2} D_{nn}, \quad (58)$$

$$\gamma_k(x) = \gamma'_k(x'), \quad (59)$$

$$F_k(x) = W \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi - c'_k| < r} \frac{\omega_{(k)}(\xi)}{\left| (x', \gamma'_k(x') + Wx_n) - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^n} d\xi \quad (60)$$

hold, where

$$\omega_{(k)} = \omega_{k+1} - \omega_k, \quad \omega_k = \varphi'_k \omega + (1 - \varphi'_k) \gamma'_k, \quad \varphi'_k(\xi) = \varphi_k(\xi, 0+).$$

Remark. *The limit $\varphi'_k(\xi)$ exists thanks to inequality (4c).*

Proof. Due to (44) we have $b_J \leq c_1(n, \theta)$. The definition of the set LIP and the definition of the numbers b_J show that

$$b_J \leq C_1(\omega) l_J^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \leq C_2(\omega, l_I) l_I^{\frac{n+1}{2}} l_J^{-\frac{n+1}{2}},$$

that by Lemma 1 yields

$$b_J \leq \min \left\{ c_1, C_2 l_I^{\frac{n+1}{2}} l_J^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \right\} \leq c_1^{\frac{n}{n+1}} C_2^{\frac{1}{n+1}} l_I^{1/2} l_J^{-1/2}, \quad \Theta_1 \leq c_1^{\frac{n}{n+1}} C_2^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(1/2, n-1/2)} < \infty.$$

The relations $\Theta_2 < \infty$ and $\Theta_2^* < \infty$ are implied by the inequalities $b_J \leq c_1$ and $\Theta_1 < \infty$.

Estimates (54) and (55) are obtained by the embeddings $I^{(k)} \subset I^{(k+1)} \subset 5I^{(k)}$ and simple properties of the polynomials similarly to [10, Subsect. 2.7].

The conditions $\lambda \geq 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\mu$ of Theorem 2 are implied by Theorem 3. In view of (2) and (45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^2 w\|_J &\leq c_2(n) l_J^{-1} b_J, \\ \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J \|D^2 w\|_J &\leq c_2 \Theta_1 < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

and hence, $D^2 w \in \text{VL}(0)$. By (51), (61) and the Cauchy inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_J &\leq c_2 l_J^{-1} b_J + c_2 [I, J]^{-1} \sum_{H \in \{I^J\} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} b_H \leq 2c_2 l_J^{-1} \sum_{H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} b_H, \\ \left(\sum_{H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} |A|_H \right) \mathcal{F}_J &\leq c(n, \theta) l_J^{-1} \left(\sum_{H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} b_H \right)^2 \leq c_3(n, \theta) l_J^{-3/2} \sum_{H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} l_H^{1/2} b_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (32) we obtain

$$\sum_{J: H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J l_J^{-3/2} \leq c_4(n) \Gamma_{IH}^{(0, n)} l_H^{-1/2}, \quad \sum_{J: H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n+1)} l_J^{-3/2} \leq c_5(n) l_I^{-1} \Gamma_{IH}^{(1, n)} l_H^{-1/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &\leq c_3 \sum_H \left(\sum_{J: H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n)} l_J l_J^{-3/2} \right) l_H^{1/2} b_H^2 \leq c_3 c_4 \Theta_2, \\ \Theta^* &\leq c_3 \sum_H \left(\sum_{J: H \in \vec{I}\vec{J} \cup \vec{I}\vec{J}} \Gamma_{IJ}^{(0, n+1)} l_J^{-3/2} \right) l_H^{1/2} b_H^2 \leq c_3 c_5 l_I^{-1} \Theta_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

We have obtained estimates (56) and (57), which imply $\Theta < \infty$. Hence, the function $f = w$ satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 2.

By (7a) the function $w(x)$ coincides with $\gamma'_k(x')$ in a “half-neighbourhood” of the point \mathbf{c}_k , while the function $\mathfrak{G}(y)$ coincides with the function $\frac{y_n - \gamma'_k(y')}{W}$ in a “half-neighbourhood” of the point $g(\mathbf{c}_k)$. This leads us to (58) and (59).

Let us prove identity (60). In view of (58) it is easy to confirm that

$$\det_{A_k} = W^{-2}.$$

We omit the subscript k in notation of the numbers $\tau_{i,k}$ and the coefficients $a_{ij,k}$ of the operator A_k . Introducing the shorthand notation $\tau_n = W$, we can write (58) as

$$a_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \delta_{in} \frac{\tau_j}{W} - \delta_{jn} \frac{\tau_i}{W} + \delta_{in} \delta_{jn} \frac{1 + \sum_{s=1}^n \tau_s^2}{W^2}.$$

The numbers

$$b_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \delta_{in}\delta_{jn} + \tau_i\tau_j$$

satisfy the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{jq} &= \sum_j a_{ij}(\delta_{jq} - \delta_{jn}\delta_{qn} + \tau_j\tau_q) = a_{iq} - a_{in}\delta_{qn} + \left(\sum_j a_{ij}\tau_j\right)\tau_q, \\ a_{iq} - a_{in}\delta_{qn} &= \delta_{iq} - \delta_{in}\frac{\tau_q}{W} - \delta_{qn}\frac{\tau_i}{W} + \delta_{in}\delta_{qn}\frac{1 + \sum_{s=1}^n \tau_s^2}{W^2} \\ &\quad - \left(\delta_{in} - \delta_{in} - \frac{\tau_i}{W} + \delta_{in}\frac{1 + \sum_{s=1}^n \tau_s^2}{W^2}\right)\delta_{qn} = \delta_{iq} - \delta_{in}\frac{\tau_q}{W}, \\ \sum_j a_{ij}\tau_j &= \tau_i - \frac{\delta_{in}}{W}\sum_j \tau_j^2 + \left(-\frac{\tau_i}{W} + \delta_{in}\frac{1 + \sum_{s=1}^n \tau_s^2}{W^2}\right)\tau_n = \frac{\delta_{in}}{W}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{jq} &= \delta_{iq} - \delta_{in}\frac{\tau_q}{W} + \frac{\delta_{in}}{W}\tau_q = \delta_{iq}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(b_{ij}) = (a_{ij})^{-1}$. Letting $\xi_n = 0$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{A_k}(x - (\xi, 0)) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij}(x_i - \xi_i)(x_j - \xi_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - \xi_i)^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tau_i\tau_j(x_i - \xi_i)(x_j - \xi_j) \\ &= |x' - \xi|^2 + (\gamma'_k(x') - \gamma'_k(\xi) + \tau_n x_n - \tau_n \xi_n)^2 \\ &= \left| (x', \gamma'_k(x') + Wx_n) - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which by (42) and (59) leads us to (60). The proof is complete. \square

Under the assumptions of Lemma 4 we denote

$$H_0 = \{x \in \bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} : x_n \geq \gamma'_0(x') + 2Wl_I/3\}, \quad H_k = \bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} \quad \text{as } k \geq 1. \quad (62)$$

We let $\mathfrak{x} = \mathbf{c}_{I^{(k)}}$. It is obvious that for $x \in H_k$ there exists the limit

$$F_{(k)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi - \mathfrak{x}| < r} \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \left| x - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n} d\xi. \quad (63)$$

We have $g(I^\square) \subset H_k$ (in view of (48)) and $(x', \gamma'_k(x') + Wx_n) \in H_k$ for $x \in I^\square$, see (60).

Lemma 5. *Under assumptions of Lemma 4 for $(x, \xi) \in H_k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ we let*

$$\xi^* = 2\mathfrak{x} - \xi, \quad M_k(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \left| x - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n} + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*) \left| x - (\xi^*, \gamma'_k(\xi^*)) \right|^{-n} \right\}.$$

Then $F_{(k)} \in C^\infty(H_k)$ and for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \xi)| d\xi \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad (64a)$$

$$D^\alpha F_{(k)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \xi) d\xi. \quad (64b)$$

Proof. We denote

$$\mathfrak{X} = (\mathfrak{x}, \gamma'_k(\mathfrak{x})), \quad \Xi = |x - \mathfrak{X}| + |\mathfrak{x} - \xi|.$$

Let $T_k(\xi)$ be the convex hull of the set $\{\gamma'_k(\xi), \omega(\xi), \omega_{k+1}(\xi)\} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Let us prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } (x, \xi) \in H_k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ with } t \in T_k(\xi), \text{ and } \xi \notin 3I^{(k-1)} \text{ for } k \geq 1, \\ & \text{then } |x - (\xi, t)| \geq c(n, \theta)\Xi \geq c(n, \theta)l_{I^{(k)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

If the assumption in (65) holds, then $t = \zeta(\xi)$ for a convex linear combination

$$\zeta = \beta_1 \gamma'_k + \beta_2 \omega + \beta_3 \gamma'_{k+1},$$

since $\omega_{k+1} = \varphi'_{k+1} \omega + (1 - \varphi'_{k+1}) \gamma'_{k+1}$. We denote

$$Z = (x', \zeta(x')), \quad R = |x - Z| + |x' - \xi|.$$

It follows from the inequalities $\theta \geq \|\omega\|_{\text{Lip}}$ and (43) that $\|\zeta\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq c(n)\theta$ and this is why by the triangle with the vertices x , Z and $(\xi, t) = (\xi, \zeta(\xi))$ we get that

$$|x - (\xi, t)| \geq c_1(n, \theta) \{ |x - Z| + |Z - (\xi, t)| \} \geq c_1 R.$$

Let us treat the cases $\xi \in 3I$ and $\xi \notin 3I$. If $\xi \in 3I$, then $k = 0$ and $\omega_{k+1}(\xi) = \omega(\xi)$ due to (4a) and hence, $\beta_3 = 0$ without loss of generality. By $x \in H_0$ and (47) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_n - \gamma'_0(x') & \geq 2Wl_I/3, \\ x_n - \omega(x') & \geq x_n - \gamma'_0(x') - Wl_I/3 \geq Wl_I/3, \\ R & \geq |x - Z| = \beta_1 [x_n - \gamma'_0(x')] + \beta_2 [x_n - \omega(x')] \geq Wl_I/3. \end{aligned}$$

If $\xi \notin 3I$, then $|x' - \xi| \geq l_I$ as $k = 0$ and $|x' - \xi| \geq l_{I^{(k-1)}}$ as $k \geq 1$ and hence,

$$R \geq \min\{W/3, 1/2\}l_{I^{(k)}} \quad \text{for each } \xi.$$

By (43), (44), (47) and (55) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(x') - \gamma'_k(x')| & \leq \|\omega - \gamma_{I^{(k)}}\|_{L^\infty(I^{(k)})} \leq Wl_{I^{(k)}}/3, \quad |\gamma'_{k+1}(x') - \gamma'_k(x')| \leq c(n, \theta)l_{I^{(k)}}, \\ |\mathfrak{X} - Z| & \leq \left| (\mathfrak{x}, \gamma'_k(\mathfrak{x})) - (x', \gamma'_k(x')) \right| + |\gamma'_k(x') - \zeta(x')| \leq c(n, \theta)l_{I^{(k)}}, \\ \Xi & \leq R + |\mathfrak{X} - Z| + |\mathfrak{x} - x'| \leq R + c(n, \theta)l_{I^{(k)}} \leq c_2(n, \theta)R, \quad |x - (\xi, t)| \geq c_1 c_2^{-1} \Xi. \end{aligned}$$

If $\xi \in 3I$, then $k = 0$ and $\gamma'_0(\mathfrak{x}) \in T_0(\mathfrak{x})$ and therefore,

$$\Xi \geq |x - \mathfrak{X}| \geq c_1 R \Big|_{\xi=\mathfrak{x}} \geq c_1 \min\{W/3, 1\}l_I.$$

If $\xi \notin 3I$, then $|\mathfrak{x} - \xi| \geq 3l_I/2$ as $k = 0$, $|\mathfrak{x} - \xi| \geq l_{I^{(k-1)}}$ as $k \geq 1$ and hence, $\Xi \geq l_{I^{(k)}}/2$ for each k . Thus, $\Xi \geq c(n, \theta)l_{I^{(k)}}$ for each ξ and we complete the proof of implication (65).

If $\omega_{(k)}(\xi) \neq 0$, then $\xi \notin 3I^{(k-1)}$ as $k \geq 1$ due to (4a) and hence, by (65),

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } (x, \xi) \in H_k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ and either } \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \neq 0, \text{ or } \xi \notin 5I^{(k)}, \\ & \text{then } \left| D_x^\alpha |x - (\xi, t)|^{-n} \right| \leq c(\alpha, \theta) \Xi^{-n-|\alpha|} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-n-|\alpha|} \quad \text{as } t \in T_k(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

By (54) and the Hölder inequality this follows that

$$\omega_{(k)} = (\varphi'_{k+1} - 1)(\omega - \gamma'_{k+1}) + (1 - \varphi'_k)(\omega - \gamma'_k), \quad (67)$$

$$\|\omega_{(k)}\|_{L^1(5I^{(k)})} \leq c(n) l_{I^{(k)}}^n b_{I^{(k)}},$$

$$\left\| D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \cdot) \right\|_{L^1(5I^{(k)})} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) \|\omega_{(k)}\|_{L^1(5I^{(k)})} l_{I^{(k)}}^{-n-|\alpha|} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}. \quad (68)$$

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \overline{5I^{(k)}} (\Rightarrow \xi^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus 5I^{(k)})$. Then $\omega_{(k)}(\xi) = \gamma'_{k+1}(\xi) - \gamma'_k(\xi)$ and $\omega_{(k)}(\xi^*) = \gamma'_{k+1}(\xi^*) - \gamma'_k(\xi^*)$ due to (4b). By (55) and (66) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\omega_{(k)}(\xi) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*)}{2} \right| = |\gamma'_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}) - \gamma'_k(\mathbf{x})| \leq c(n)l_{I^{(k)}}b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad (69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\omega_{(k)}(\xi) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*)}{2} D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n} \right| &\leq c(\alpha, \theta)l_{I^{(k)}}b_{I^{(k)}}\Xi^{-n-|\alpha|}, \\ |\omega_{(k)}(\xi^*)| &\leq c(n)(l_{I^{(k)}} + |\mathbf{x} - \xi^*|)b_{I^{(k)}} \leq c(n)|\mathbf{x} - \xi|b_{I^{(k)}} \leq c(n)\Xi b_{I^{(k)}}, \\ |D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \xi)| &\leq c(\alpha, \theta)l_{I^{(k)}}b_{I^{(k)}}\Xi^{-n-|\alpha|} + c(n)\Xi b_{I^{(k)}}|Y_\alpha|, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where

$$Y_\alpha = D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n} - D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi^*, \gamma'_k(\xi^*)) \right|^{-n}.$$

Majorizing each term by (66), we get

$$|Y_\alpha| \leq c(\alpha, \theta)\Xi^{-n-|\alpha|}, \quad (71)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \xi)| &\leq c_3(\alpha, \theta)(l_{I^{(k)}} + \Xi)b_{I^{(k)}}\Xi^{-n-|\alpha|} \\ &\leq \frac{7c_3}{5}b_{I^{(k)}}\Xi^{1-n-|\alpha|} \leq \frac{7c_3}{5}b_{I^{(k)}}|\mathbf{x} - \xi|^{1-n-|\alpha|}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Relations $x' \in \bar{I} \subset \overline{I^{(k)}}$, $\mathfrak{X}' = \mathbf{x} \in I^{(k)}$ and $\xi \notin 5I^{(k)}$ show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau x' + (1 - \tau)\mathbf{x} - \xi|_\infty &\geq \frac{4}{5}|\mathbf{x} - \xi|_\infty, \\ |\tau x + (1 - \tau)\mathfrak{X} - (\xi, t)| &\geq \frac{4}{5\sqrt{n-1}}|\mathbf{x} - \xi| \quad \text{for each } \tau \in [0, 1] \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Hence, by the identity $|\mathfrak{X} - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi))| = |\mathfrak{X} - (\xi^*, \gamma'_k(\xi^*))|$ and by (71) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_0| &\leq c(n)|x - \mathfrak{X}||\mathbf{x} - \xi|^{-n-1}, \\ |Y_0| &\leq \min\{c(n)|x - \mathfrak{X}||\mathbf{x} - \xi|^{-n-1}, c(n, \theta)\Xi^{-n}\} \leq c(n, \theta)|x - \mathfrak{X}|\Xi^{-n-1}, \\ |M_k(x, \xi)| &\leq c(n, \theta)(l_{I^{(k)}} + |x - \mathfrak{X}|)b_{I^{(k)}}\Xi^{-n}, \\ \int_{|\mathbf{x} - \xi| \geq 5l_{I^{(k)}/2}} (|x - \mathfrak{X}| + |\mathbf{x} - \xi|)^{-n} d\xi &\leq c(n)(l_{I^{(k)}} + |x - \mathfrak{X}|)^{-1}, \\ \|M_k(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus 5I^{(k)})} &\leq c(n, \theta)b_{I^{(k)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Estimate (64a) as $\alpha = 0$ is implied by (68) and (74), while as $\alpha \neq 0$, it is due to (68) and (72). Identity (64b) as $\alpha = 0$ is yielded by (63) and the change of variable $\xi \rightarrow \xi^*$, while as $\alpha \neq 0$ (together with the statement $F_{(k)} \in C^\infty(H_k)$) it is implied by differentiating the integral formula (64b) that is possible thanks to (72). \square

3.2. Function S and potential Φ_L . Let us give a “qualitative” analogue of Lemma 5 for the functions determined by the volume integrals.

Lemma 6. *Let $\omega_+, \omega_- \in \text{LIP}$, $\Omega_\pm = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \omega_\pm(x')\}$ and $\chi = \chi_+ - \chi_-$, where χ_\pm are the characteristic functions of the sets Ω_+ and Ω_- . We let*

$$\xi^* = 2\mathbf{x} - \xi, \quad N_{\mathbf{x}}(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\chi(\xi, t)|x - (\xi, t)|^{-n} + \chi(\xi^*, t)|x - (\xi^*, t)|^{-n}}{2} dt$$

for $(\mathbf{x}, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{supp } \chi) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Then the following statements hold true.

(i) The function $N_{x'}(x, \cdot)$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, there exists the limit

$$s(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x-y| < r} \chi(y) |x-y|^{-n} dy,$$

and the identity $s(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} N_{x'}(x, \xi) d\xi$ holds true.

(ii) For each $(\mathbf{x}, x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{supp } \chi) \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$ the belonging

$$D_x^\alpha N_{\mathbf{x}}(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \quad (75a)$$

holds true, the function s is infinitely differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{supp } \chi$ and

$$D^\alpha s(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_x^\alpha N_{\mathbf{x}}(x, \xi) d\xi. \quad (75b)$$

Proof. (i) For $\omega \in \text{LIP}$ by $\chi[\omega]$ we denote the characteristic function of the overgraph of the function ω , while by $\gamma[\omega]$ we denote the polynomial in \mathbb{P}_1^{n-1} , with which ω coincides in the vicinity of infinity. As $x \notin \text{supp } \chi$, we let

$$\gamma_\pm = \gamma[\omega_\pm] \quad \& \quad \gamma^\pm = \gamma_\pm - \gamma_\pm(x') + x_n.$$

The functions χ_+ and χ_- coincide in the vicinity of x and this is why there exist $\omega^\pm \in \text{LIP}$ such that

$$\chi_+ = \chi[\omega^+] = \chi[\omega^-] = \chi_- \text{ in the vicinity of } x \quad \& \quad \gamma^\pm = \gamma[\omega^\pm].$$

In view of the representation

$$\chi = \{\chi_+ - \chi[\omega^+]\} + \{\chi[\omega^+] - \chi[\omega^-]\} + \{\chi[\omega^-] - \chi_-\}$$

we see that in order to check Statement (i), it is sufficient to check (i) for the pairs (ω_+, ω^+) , (ω^+, ω^-) and (ω^-, ω_-) instead of (ω_+, ω_-) . Therefore, it is sufficient to check (i) in particular cases

- (a) $\gamma_+ - \gamma_- = \text{const}$;
- (b) $\gamma_+(x') = x_n = \gamma_-(x')$.

In Case (a), the function $y \mapsto \chi(y) |x-y|^{-n}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which gives (i) by the Fubini theorem and the change of variables $\xi \rightarrow \xi^* = 2x' - \xi$. In Case (b), the change of variables $y = (\xi, t) \rightarrow 2x - y$ and the Fubini theorem shows that

$$N_{x'}(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{for large } |x' - \xi|,$$

$$(\exists r_0 > 0) (\forall r > r_0) \quad \int_{|x-y| < r} \chi(y) |x-y|^{-n} dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} N_{x'}(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Thus, the proof of Statement (i) is complete.

(ii) For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ we let

$$\nu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\chi(\xi^*, t) |x - (\xi^*, t)|^{-n} - \chi(\xi^*, t) |x - (\xi^*, t)|^{-n}}{2} dt.$$

By the identity $\xi^* - \xi^* = 2x' - 2\xi$ it is easy to get that

$$\sup_{\xi} |\nu(\xi)| |\xi|^n < \infty, \quad \nu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \nu(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

This is why properties (75) with $\alpha = 0$ are implied by Statement (i). The case $\alpha \neq 0$ can be treated similarly to Lemma 5, via checking an analogue of estimate (72) for the function $N_{\mathbf{x}}(x, \xi)$. \square

Let $\omega \in \text{LIP}$. To compare Lemmata 4, 5 and 6, we introduce the function

$$S(x) := S_\Omega(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \ln r - \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega: |x-y| < r} |x-y|^{-n} dy \right\}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Here the limit exists since the area of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is equal to $\frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}$. The function S is invariant w.r.t. the shifts and rotations of the domain Ω in the obvious sense.

Lemma 7. *Under assumptions of Lemma 4 let $\Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x_n > \omega_k(x')\}$ and $S_k = S_{\Omega_k}$. Then the inequalities*

$$\|D^\alpha S - D^\alpha S_0\|_{L^\infty(g(I^\square))} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad (76)$$

$$\|D^\alpha(S \circ g - S_0 \circ g)\|_I \leq c(\alpha, \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad |\alpha| \leq 1, \quad (77)$$

$$\|D^\alpha(S \circ g - S_0 \circ g)\|_I \leq c(\alpha, \theta) \sum_{j=0}^1 l_I^{(1-|\alpha|)j} b_I^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} l_{I^{(k)}}^{(j-1)|\alpha|-j} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad |\alpha| \geq 2 \quad (78)$$

hold true. If $\varepsilon = 0$ as $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 2$ as $|\alpha| = 2$, then the sum F of the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k$ satisfies

$$\|D^\alpha(F + WS \circ g - WS_0 \circ g)\|_I \leq c(\alpha, \theta, \varepsilon) l_I^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} l_{I^{(k)}}^{\varepsilon-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2, \quad |\alpha| \leq 2. \quad (79)$$

Proof. By the embedding $g(I^\square) \subset H_k$ (see (62)) and by Lemma 5 we have

$$\|D^\alpha F_{(k)}\|_{L^\infty(g(I^\square))} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \quad (80)$$

Let us establish the second main inequality

$$\|D^\alpha F_{(k)} + D^\alpha S_{k+1} - D^\alpha S_k\|_{L^\infty(g(I^\square))} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \quad (81)$$

For $x \in g(I^\square) \subset H_k$ we let \mathfrak{x} , $F_{(k)}$, ξ^* , M_k , \mathfrak{X} , Ξ and T_k to have the same meaning as in Lemma 5 and in its proof, while the functions χ , $N_{\mathfrak{x}}$ and s are defined by Lemma 6 for the pair of the functions $(\omega_+, \omega_-) = (\omega_{k+1}, \omega_k)$. We let

$$U(\xi) = D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n} - D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, \omega_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n},$$

$$V(\xi, \tau) = D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, \omega_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n} - D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, \omega_k(\xi) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi)\tau) \right|^{-n}.$$

In view of the belongings $\gamma'_k(\xi), \omega_k(\xi) \in T_k(\xi)$, the relations (66) (for $\alpha + e_n$), (67), (54) and the Hölder inequality

$$|U(\xi)| \leq c_1(\alpha, \theta) |\gamma'_k(\xi) - \omega_k(\xi)| l_{I^{(k)}}^{-n-|\alpha|-1} \quad \text{as } \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \neq 0,$$

$$|\omega_{(k)} U| \leq c_1 [|\omega - \gamma'_{k+1}| + |\omega - \gamma'_k|] |\omega - \gamma'_k| l_{I^{(k)}}^{-n-|\alpha|-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

$$\|\omega_{(k)} U\|_{L^1(5I^{(k)})} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2.$$

In the same way,

$$|V(\xi, \tau)| \leq c(\alpha, \theta) |\omega_{(k)}(\xi)| l_{I^{(k)}}^{-n-|\alpha|-1} \quad \text{as } \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \neq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \tau \leq 1,$$

$$\left\| \omega_{(k)} \int_0^1 V(\cdot, \tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^1(5I^{(k)})} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2.$$

Hence, in view of the identity $U|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus 5I^{(k)}} \equiv 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \left\{ U(\xi) + \int_0^1 V(\xi, \tau) d\tau \right\} &= \omega_{(k)}(\xi) D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, \gamma'_k(\xi)) \right|^{-n} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(\xi, t) D_x^\alpha \left| x - (\xi, t) \right|^{-n} dt, \\ D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \xi) + D_x^\alpha N_{\mathbf{r}}(x, \xi) &= \frac{\omega_{(k)}(\xi)}{2} \left\{ U(\xi) + \int_0^1 V(\xi, \tau) d\tau \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega_{(k)}(\xi^*)}{2} \left\{ U(\xi^*) + \int_0^1 V(\xi^*, \tau) d\tau \right\}, \\ \|D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \cdot) + D_x^\alpha N_{\mathbf{r}}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} &\leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Theta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus 5I^{(k)})}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Theta(\xi) = \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \int_0^1 V(\xi, \tau) d\tau + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*) \int_0^1 V(\xi^*, \tau) d\tau.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \overline{5I^{(k)}}$. By (66), (69) and (70) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 V(\xi, \tau) d\tau \right| &\leq \frac{c(\alpha, \theta) |\omega_{(k)}(\xi)|}{|\mathbf{r} - \xi|^{n+|\alpha|+1}} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) b_{I^{(k)}} |\mathbf{r} - \xi|^{-n-|\alpha|}, \\ |\Theta(\xi)| &\leq \frac{c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}} b_{I^{(k)}}^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \xi|^{n+|\alpha|}} + c(n) |\mathbf{r} - \xi| b_{I^{(k)}} \left| \int_0^1 [V(\xi^*, \tau) - V(\xi, \tau)] d\tau \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$\|\Theta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus 5I^{(k)})} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2. \quad (82)$$

Let $\alpha = 0$ and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\xi, \tau) &= \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \tau \int_0^1 D_{x_n} \left| x - (\xi, \omega_k(\xi) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \tau \sigma) \right|^{-n} d\sigma, \\ V(\xi^*, \tau) &= \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*) \tau \int_0^1 D_{x_n} \left| x - (\xi^*, \omega_k(\xi^*) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*) \tau \sigma) \right|^{-n} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Now in view of (69), (70) and (73)

$$\begin{aligned} |V(\xi, \tau) - V(\xi^*, \tau)| &\leq \frac{c(n) l_{I^{(k)}} b_{I^{(k)}}}{|\mathbf{r} - \xi|^{n+1}} + c(n) |\mathbf{r} - \xi| b_{I^{(k)}} \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} |\Theta(\xi, \rho)|, \\ \Theta(\xi, \rho) &\equiv D_{x_n} \left| x - (\xi, \omega_k(\xi) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \rho) \right|^{-n} + D_{x_n} \left| x - (\xi^*, \omega_k(\xi^*) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*) \rho) \right|^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the center X of the corresponding segment:

$$2X = (\xi, \omega_k(\xi) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi) \rho) + (\xi^*, \omega_k(\xi^*) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*) \rho).$$

By relations (46), $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c}_{I^{(k)}}$, $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathbf{r}, \gamma'_k(\mathbf{r}))$, $\gamma'_k = \gamma_{I^{(k)}}$, (48), $\omega_k(\xi) = \gamma'_k(\xi)$, $\omega_k(\xi^*) = \gamma'_k(\xi^*)$, $\omega_{k+1}(\xi) = \gamma'_{k+1}(\xi)$, $\omega_{k+1}(\xi^*) = \gamma'_{k+1}(\xi^*)$, (44) and (55) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x - g(\mathbf{c}_{I^{(k)}}^\square)| &\leq c(n, \theta) |\mathfrak{g}(x) - \mathbf{c}_{I^{(k)}}^\square| \leq c(n, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}, \\ |g(\mathbf{c}_{I^{(k)}}^\square) - \mathfrak{X}| &= |w(\mathbf{c}_{I^{(k)}}^\square) + 3W l_{I^{(k)}}/2 - \gamma'_k(\mathbf{r})| < 2W l_{I^{(k)}}, \\ X &= \mathfrak{X} + (0, (\gamma'_{k+1}(\mathbf{r}) - \gamma'_k(\mathbf{r})) \rho), \quad |x - X| \leq c(n, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (73) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{X_n} \left| X - (\xi, \omega_k(\xi) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi)\rho) \right|^{-n} + D_{X_n} \left| X - (\xi^*, \omega_k(\xi^*) + \omega_{(k)}(\xi^*)\rho) \right|^{-n} = 0, \\ & |\tau x + (1 - \tau)X - (\xi, t)| \geq \frac{4}{5\sqrt{n-1}} |\mathbf{x} - \xi| \quad \text{for all } \tau \in [0, 1] \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ & |\Theta(\xi, \rho)| \leq c(n) |x - X| |\mathbf{x} - \xi|^{-n-2} \leq c(n, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}} |\mathbf{x} - \xi|^{-n-2}, \\ & |V(\xi, \tau) - V(\xi^*, \tau)| \leq c(n, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}} b_{I^{(k)}} |\mathbf{x} - \xi|^{-n-1}, \\ & |\Theta(\xi)| \leq c(n, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}} b_{I^{(k)}}^2 |\mathbf{x} - \xi|^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (82) as $\alpha = 0$.

By inequality (82) we get that

$$\|D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \cdot) + D_x^\alpha N_{\mathbf{f}}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2.$$

We have $g(I^\square) \subset H_0 \subset \Omega_0$ and $g(I^\square) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty \Omega_j$ (see (4a)) and hence, the condition $x \notin \text{supp } \chi$ of Lemma 6 holds true. This is why

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k+1} - S_k &= \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}} s \quad \text{in the vicinity of each point } x \in g(I^\square), \\ D^\alpha(F_{(k)} + S_{k+1} - S_k)(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} [D_x^\alpha M_k(x, \xi) + D_x^\alpha N_{\mathbf{f}}(x, \xi)] d\xi \end{aligned}$$

due to the definition of the function $s(x)$ and identities (64b) and (75b). This leads us to (81).

By (44), (80) and (81) we conclude that

$$\|D^\alpha S_{k+1} - D^\alpha S_k\|_{L^\infty(g(I^\square))} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

The series of the right hand sides converges thanks to $\Theta_1 < \infty$, this is why the limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (S_k - S_0)$ exists in $C^\infty(g(I^\square))$ and is obviously equal to $S - S_0$. This yields (76). Differentiating the composition and applying (45), (46) and (76), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha(S \circ g - S_0 \circ g)\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} &\leq c(\alpha, \theta) \sum_{k=0}^\infty l_{I^{(k)}}^{-1} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad |\alpha| = 1, \\ \|D^\alpha(S \circ g - S_0 \circ g)\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} &\leq c(\alpha, \theta) \sum_{j=0}^1 l_I^{(1-|\alpha|)j} b_I^j \sum_{k=0}^\infty l_{I^{(k)}}^{(j-1)|\alpha|-j} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad |\alpha| \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2), (44) and (76), we obtain estimates (77) and (78).

By (60) and (63) we have

$$F_k = W F_{(k)} \circ h^k$$

on the cube I^\square , where

$$h^k(x) = (x', \gamma'_k(x') + W x_n), \quad x_n > 0.$$

By (2), (43) and Lemma 5 we get the estimate

$$\|(D^\alpha F_{(k)}) \circ h^k\|_I \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \quad (83)$$

For $x \in I^\square$, by (53) and (55),

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - h^k(x)| &= |g_n(x) - h_n^k(x)| = |w(x) - \gamma'_k(x')| \\ &\leq |w(x) - \gamma'_0(x')| + |\gamma'_0(x') - \gamma'_k(x')| \leq c(n) \sum_{j=0}^k l_{I^{(j)}} b_{I^{(j)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

The points $g(x)$ and $h^k(x)$ belong to the convex set H_k and hence, by Lemma 5 and inequality (81),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D^\alpha F_{(k)} \Big|_{g(x)} - D^\alpha F_{(k)} \Big|_{h^k(x)} \right| &\leq |g(x) - h^k(x)| \sup |D^{\alpha+e_n} F_{(k)}| \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{j-k} b_{I^{(j)}}, \\ \|f_{k,\alpha}\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} &\leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{j-k} b_{I^{(j)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

where

$$f_{k,\alpha} = (D^\alpha F_{(k)}) \circ h^k + (D^\alpha S_{k+1} - D^\alpha S_k) \circ g.$$

It is obvious that on the cube I^\square ,

$$D_i f_{k,\alpha} = \sum_{p=1}^n \left\{ [(D^{\alpha+e_p} F_{(k)}) \circ h^k] [D_i h_p^k - D_i g_p] + f_{k,\alpha+e_p} D_i g_p \right\}. \quad (86)$$

Hence, in view of (2), (46), (83) and (85), for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ we conclude that

$$\|D_i g_p - D_i h_p^k\|_I \leq c(n) \sum_{j=0}^k b_{I^{(j)}} \quad (\text{by analogy with (84)}), \quad (87a)$$

$$\|D_i g_p\|_I \leq \|D_i g_p\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} + n l_I \|D(D_i g_p)\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} \leq c(n, \theta), \quad (87b)$$

$$\|D_i f_{k,\alpha}\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|-1} b_{I^{(k)}} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{I^{(j)}},$$

$$\|f_{k,\alpha}\|_I \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{j-k} b_{I^{(j)}}, \quad (87c)$$

$$\|D_i f_{k,\alpha}\|_I \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|-1} b_{I^{(k)}} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{I^{(j)}}. \quad (87d)$$

Let us check the inequality

$$\|f'_{k,\alpha}\|_I \leq c(\alpha, \theta) l_{I^{(k)}}^{-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{(j-k)(1-|\alpha|)} b_{I^{(j)}}, \quad |\alpha| \leq 2, \quad (88)$$

for the function $f'_{k,\alpha} = D^\alpha f_{k,0}$. As $\alpha = 0$, it is identical to (87c), while as $|\alpha| = 1$, it coincides with estimate (87d) for $\alpha = 0$. Differentiating formula (86), we get the identity

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij} f_{k,0} &= \sum_{p=1}^n \left\{ -[(D_p F_{(k)}) \circ h^k] D_{ij} g_p + f_{k,e_p} D_{ij} g_p + (D_j f_{k,e_p}) D_i g_p \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^n [(D_{pq} F_{(k)}) \circ h^k] [D_i h_p^k - D_i g_p] D_j h_q^k. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (83), (87) and the inequalities

$$\|D_{ij} g_p\|_I \leq c(n) l_I^{-1} b_I, \quad \|D_j h_q^k\|_I \leq c(n, \theta), \quad \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{j-k} b_{I^{(j)}} \leq c(n, \theta)$$

implied by (2) and (43)–(45), we arrive at estimate (88) with $|\alpha| = 2$.

For each $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, by (88) and the Cauchy inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f'_{k,\alpha}\|_I &\leq c_2(\alpha, \theta) \Lambda^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} l_{I^{(k)}}^{-\varepsilon-|\alpha|} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k 2^{(j-k)(1-|\alpha|)} b_{I^{(j)}} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \\ \left(\sum_{j=0}^k 2^{(j-k)(1-|\alpha|)} b_{I^{(j)}} \right)^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^k 2^{-2j\varepsilon+2(j-k)\delta} \right) \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{2j\varepsilon+2(j-k)(1-|\alpha|-\delta)} b_{I^{(j)}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} l_{I^{(k)}}^{\varepsilon-|\alpha|} b_{I^{(k)}}^2$. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ as $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $\delta = 0$ as $|\alpha| = 2$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{-2j\varepsilon+2(j-k)\delta} &\leq c_3(\alpha, \varepsilon), \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f'_{k,\alpha}\|_I &\leq c_2 c_3^{1/2} l_I^{-\frac{\varepsilon+|\alpha|}{2}} \Lambda^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{2j(\varepsilon+1-|\alpha|-\delta)} b_{I^{(j)}}^2 \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} 2^{k(-\varepsilon+|\alpha|-2+2\delta)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_4(\alpha, \theta, \varepsilon) l_I^{-\frac{\varepsilon+|\alpha|}{2}} \Lambda^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(\varepsilon-|\alpha|)} b_{I^{(j)}}^2 \right)^{1/2} = c_4 l_I^{-\varepsilon} \Lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

But $\Lambda < \infty$ due to $\Theta_2 < \infty$, and this is why the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k,0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{F_{(k)} \circ h^k + S_{k+1} \circ g - S_k \circ g\}$$

converges absolutely in $C^{2,\mu}(I^{\square})$. By the same convergence of the series $F = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W F_{(k)} \circ h^k$ (Theorem 2) and the aforementioned relation $C^\infty(g(I^{\square}))\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (S_k - S_0) = S - S_0$ we have the identity

$$W \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k,0} = F + WS \circ g - WS_0 \circ g.$$

Together with (89) it proves (79). \square

Let us calculate S_Ω , when Ω is a half-space. We introduce the distance function

$$\varrho_\omega(x) = \min_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |x - (\xi, \omega(\xi))|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{90}$$

Theorem 4. *If $\omega \in \mathbb{P}_1^{n-1}$, then*

$$S \equiv \ln \varrho_\omega|_\Omega + \sigma_n, \tag{91}$$

where

$$\sigma_n = \begin{cases} \ln 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{1}{2k}, & n \text{ is even,} \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \frac{1}{2k+1}, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{92}$$

Proof. While checking (91), we can assume that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $x = (0, x_n)$. We introduce the spherical coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \rho \cos \phi_2 \cos \phi_3 \dots \cos \phi_n, \\ y_2 &= \rho \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_3 \dots \cos \phi_n, \\ &\dots \\ y_{n-1} &= \rho \sin \phi_{n-1} \cos \phi_n, \\ x_n - y_n &= \rho \sin \phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

The set $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_1 \dots y_{n-1}(x_n - y_n) \neq 0\}$ is described as

$$\rho > 0, \quad |\phi_2| \in (0, \pi/2) \cup (\pi/2, \pi), \quad 0 < |\phi_3|, \dots, |\phi_n| < \pi/2.$$

By the formula for the change of variables

$$\Theta(r) := \int_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ |x-y| < r}} |x-y|^{-n} dy = \int \frac{\cos \phi_3 \cos^2 \phi_4 \dots \cos^{n-2} \phi_n}{\rho} d\rho d\phi_2 \dots d\phi_n,$$

where the right integral is taken under the restrictions $x_n \leq \rho \sin \phi_n$ and $\rho < r$. We have

$$\int_{(-\pi/2, \pi/2)^{n-2}} \cos \phi_3 \dots \cos^{n-3} \phi_{n-1} d\phi_2 \dots d\phi_{n-1} = \frac{\text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-2}}{2} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)},$$

$$\Theta(r) = \text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \int_{\rho > 0 \text{ \& } -\pi/2 < \phi < \pi/2 : x_n \leq \rho \sin \phi < r \sin \phi} \frac{\cos^{n-2} \phi}{\rho} d\rho d\phi.$$

The cases $n = 2$ and $n > 2$ should be studied independently. If $r > x_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(r) &= \text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \int_{\arcsin \frac{x_n}{r}}^{\pi/2} \cos^{n-2} \phi d\phi \int_{\frac{x_n}{\sin \phi}}^r \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\ &= \text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \int_{\arcsin \frac{x_n}{r}}^{\pi/2} \left(\ln \frac{r}{x_n} + \ln \sin \phi \right) \cos^{n-2} \phi d\phi \\ &= \frac{\text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}{2} \ln \frac{r}{x_n} + O\left(\frac{x_n}{r} \ln \frac{r}{x_n}\right) \\ &\quad + \text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\ln \sin \phi) \cos^{n-2} \phi d\phi + O\left(\frac{x_n}{r} \ln \frac{r}{x_n}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^{n-2} \phi d\phi = \text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-1} / \text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-2}.$$

This gives (91) with the constant

$$\sigma_n = -\frac{2 \text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-2}}{\text{vol } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\ln \sin \phi) \cos^{n-2} \phi d\phi.$$

It is easy to see that $\sigma_2 = \ln 2$ and $\sigma_3 = 1$. We integrate by parts:

$$n \int_0^{\pi/2} (\ln \sin \phi) \cos^n \phi d\phi = (n-1) \int_0^{\pi/2} (\ln \sin \phi) \cos^{n-2} \phi d\phi - \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^n \phi d\phi.$$

Hence, $\sigma_{n+2} = \sigma_n + \frac{1}{n}$, which proves (92). \square

The next theorem is the main result of the paper. Together with Theorems 1, 3, 4 and Lemma 7 it is aimed for proving (1) and related formulae.

Theorem 5. *Given $\omega \in \text{LIP}$ and $\theta \geq \|\omega\|_{\text{Lip}}$, let $(\{\gamma_K\}, w, W, g, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{G}, A, \lambda, L)$ be a standard set of the pair (ω, θ) . Then $L \in \text{VL}(0)$ and the potential*

$$\Phi_L(x) = \int_{y_n > 0} E(A; x, y) L(y) dy$$

and the function $S \equiv S_\Omega$ satisfy the inequality

$$\|D_n \Phi_L - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_L + 1 - \mathbf{x}_n D_n(S \circ g)\|_I \leq c(n, \theta, \mu) \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(1,n)} b_J^2 \quad (93a)$$

for each $I \in \mathcal{D}$. The function $\varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g$ is positive on I^\square (see (90)) and the estimate

$$\left\| D_{ij} \{ \Phi_L - W^{-1}w + \mathbf{x}_n [\ln \varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g - S \circ g] \} \right\|_I \leq \frac{c(n, \theta, \mu)}{l_I} \sum_J \Gamma_{IJ}^{(1,n)} b_J^2 \quad (93b)$$

holds true.

Proof. Lemma 4 allows us to apply Theorem 2 to the function $f = w$ and to apply Theorem 7. In this way, the notations $f, \Theta, \overleftarrow{IJ}, \overrightarrow{IJ}, \mathcal{F}_J, \mathbf{c}_k, A_k, \gamma_k, w_k, w_{(k)}, F_k, F, \gamma', \mathcal{R}_w, \Psi, \Theta^*, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_2^*, \gamma'_k, \tau_{i,k}, \omega_{(k)}, \omega_k, \varphi'_k, \tau_{s,\infty}, \Omega_k$ and S_k make sense. The belonging $L \in \text{VL}(0)$ is implied by (52) and the relation $\Theta_1 < \infty$. The integral $\Phi_L(x)$ is well-defined by Theorem 1. The function $\varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g$ is positive on I^\square due to the identity $\gamma_I = \gamma'_0$ and the belonging $g(I^\square) \subset H_0$ (see the statement after (63)).

The function $U(x) = x_n$ is harmonic in the domain Ω . Therefore, by the remark after Theorem 3 and by inequalities (2), (45) and (52) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Aw &= Ag_n = LD_n g_n = LD_n w + WL, \\ \|Aw - WL\|_J &\leq \|D_n w\|_J \|L\|_J \leq c(n, \theta) l_J^{-1} b_J^2, \quad J \in \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}$$

By inequality (9) in Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|WD_n \Phi_L - W \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_L - D_n \Phi_{Aw} + \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{Aw}\|_I &\leq c(n, \theta, \mu) \Theta_2^*, \\ \|WD_{ij} \Phi_L - D_{ij} \Phi_{Aw}\|_I &\leq c(n, \theta, \mu) l_I^{-1} \Theta_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_n \Phi_{Aw} - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_{Aw} - D_n \Psi + \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Psi\|_I &\leq c(n, \theta, \mu) \Theta_2^*, \\ \|D_{ij} \Phi_{Aw} - D_{ij} \Psi\|_I &\leq c(n, \theta, \mu) l_I^{-1} \Theta_2^* \end{aligned}$$

due to estimates (26b) and (57) in Theorem 2 and to Lemma 4. By (59),

$$D_n \Psi - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Psi = D_n w - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} w + \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \gamma_0 - \mathbf{x}_n D_n F, \quad D_{ij} \Psi = D_{ij} w - D_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_n F).$$

By inequality (79) in Lemma 7 with $\varepsilon = |\alpha| - 1 \in \{0, 1\}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}_n D_n F + \mathbf{x}_n D_n [WS \circ g - WS_0 \circ g]\|_I &\leq c(n, \theta) \Theta_2^*, \\ \|D_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_n F) + D_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_n [WS \circ g - WS_0 \circ g])\|_I &\leq c(n, \theta) l_I^{-1} \Theta_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

At that, $S_0 \circ g = \ln \varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g + \sigma_n$ on I^\square by Theorem 4. The identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ WD_n \Phi_L - W \frac{\Phi_L}{\mathbf{x}_n} - D_n \Phi_{Aw} + \frac{\Phi_{Aw}}{\mathbf{x}_n} \right\} + \left\{ D_n \Phi_{Aw} - \frac{\Phi_{Aw}}{\mathbf{x}_n} - D_n \Psi + \frac{\Psi}{\mathbf{x}_n} \right\} \\ &+ D_n w - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} w + \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \gamma_0 - \{ \mathbf{x}_n D_n F + \mathbf{x}_n D_n [WS \circ g - WS_0 \circ g] \} \\ &= W [D_n \Phi_L - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \Phi_L + \mathbf{x}_n D_n [\ln \varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g - S \circ g]] \end{aligned}$$

and the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\{ WD_{ij} \Phi_L - D_{ij} \Phi_{Aw} \} + \{ D_{ij} \Phi_{Aw} - D_{ij} \Psi \} + D_{ij} w \\ &- \{ D_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_n F) + D_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_n [WS \circ g - WS_0 \circ g]) \} = WD_{ij} [\Phi_L + \mathbf{x}_n [\ln \varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g - S \circ g]] \end{aligned}$$

show that checking inequalities (93) is reduced to checking the estimate

$$\|u\|_I \leq c(n, \theta, \mu) \Theta_2^*,$$

where

$$u = D_n w - \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} w + \mathbf{x}_n^{-1} \gamma_0 + W - W \mathbf{x}_n D_n [\ln \varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g].$$

In view of the formula $\gamma_I \circ \mathbf{x}' = \gamma_0$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g &= C[g_n - \gamma_I \circ \mathbf{x}'] = C[w + W\mathbf{x}_n - \gamma_0], \quad C = C(\nabla\gamma_I) > 0, \\ W\mathbf{x}_n D_n[\ln \varrho_{\gamma_I} \circ g] &= W\mathbf{x}_n \frac{D_n w + W}{w + W\mathbf{x}_n - \gamma_0} = \frac{D_n w + W}{1 + \frac{w-\gamma_0}{W\mathbf{x}_n}}, \\ u &= \frac{\gamma_0 - w}{\mathbf{x}_n} + D_n w + W - \frac{D_n w + W}{1 + \frac{w-\gamma_0}{W\mathbf{x}_n}} = \frac{\gamma_0 - w}{\mathbf{x}_n} \frac{\frac{w-\gamma_0}{\mathbf{x}_n} - D_n w}{1 + \frac{w-\gamma_0}{W\mathbf{x}_n}} W^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2), the Taylor formula, (45), (48) and (44) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\gamma_0 - w}{\mathbf{x}_n} \right\|_I &= \left\| \frac{w - \gamma_0}{\mathbf{x}_n} \right\|_I \leq \|w - \gamma_0\|_I \|\mathbf{x}_n^{-1}\|_I \leq c_1(n)b_I, \\ \|D_n w\|_I &\leq c_2(n)b_I, \quad \left\| \frac{w - \gamma_0}{W\mathbf{x}_n} \right\|_{L^\infty(I^\square)} \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \left\| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{w-\gamma_0}{W\mathbf{x}_n}} \right\|_I &\leq \frac{3}{2} + l_I^\mu \frac{9}{4} \left| \frac{w - \gamma_0}{W\mathbf{x}_n} \right|_{C^\mu(I^\square)} \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{9c_1 b_I}{4W} \leq c_3(n, \theta), \\ \|u\|_I &\leq [c_1 b_I][c_1 b_I + c_2 b_I] c_3 W^{-1} \leq c(n, \theta) \Theta_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A.I. Parfenov. *Discrete Hölder estimates for a parametrix variation* // Matem. Trudy. **17**:1, 175–201 (2014). [Siber. Adv. Math. **25**:3, 209–229 (2015).]
2. R.A. Hunt, R.L. Wheeden. *Positive harmonic functions on Lipschitz domains* // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **147**:2, 507–527 (1970).
3. D.S. Jerison, C.E. Kenig. *Boundary behavior of harmonic functions in non-tangentially accessible domains* // Adv. Math. **46**:1, 80–147 (1982).
4. S.E. Warschawski. *On conformal mapping of infinite strips* // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **51**:2, 280–335 (1942).
5. V. Kozlov, V. Maz'ya. *Asymptotic formula for solutions to elliptic equations near the Lipschitz boundary* // Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4). **184**:2, 185–213 (2005).
6. V. Kozlov. *Asymptotic representation of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients near the boundary* // Electron. J. Diff. Equ. **2006**:10, 1–46 (2006).
7. V. Kozlov. *Behavior of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for elliptic systems in convex domains* // Comm. Partial Diff. Equ. **34**:1, 24–51 (2009).
8. K. Ramachandran. *Asymptotic behavior of positive harmonic functions in certain unbounded domains* // Potential Anal. **41**:2, 383–405 (2014).
9. A.I. Parfenov. *Weighted a priori estimate in straightenable domains of local Lyapunov-Dini type* // Sibir. Electr. Matem. Izv. **9**, 65–150 (2012). (in Russian).
10. A.I. Parfenov. *A criterion for straightening a Lipschitz surface in the Lizorkin-Triebel sense. III* // Matem. Trudy. **13**:2, 139–178 (2010). [Siber. Adv. Math. **21**:2, 100–129 (2011).]

Anton Igorevich Parfenov,
 Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, SB RAS,
 Akademik Koptyug av. 4,
 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia
 E-mail: parfenov@math.nsc.ru