

doi:10.13108/2015-7-2-102

## EXISTENCE OF HYPERCYCLIC SUBSPACES FOR TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

A.A. LISHANSKII

In this work we construct a class of coanalytic Toeplitz operators, which have an infinite-dimensional closed subspace, where any non-zero vector is hypercyclic. Namely, if for a function  $\varphi$  which is analytic in the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  and continuous in its closure the conditions  $\varphi(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$  are satisfied, then the operator  $\varphi(S^*)$  (where  $S^*$  is the backward shift operator in the Hardy space) has the required property. The proof is based on an application of a theorem by Gonzalez, Leon-Saavedra and Montes-Rodriguez.

**Keywords:** Toeplitz operators, hypercyclic operators, essential spectrum, Hardy space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space (or a Frechet space), and let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator in  $X$ . If there exists  $x \in X$  such that the set  $\{T^n x, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is dense in  $X$ , then  $T$  is said to be a *hypercyclic operator* and  $x$  is called its *hypercyclic vector*. Here  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

The dynamics of linear operators and, as a special case, the theory of hypercyclic operators were actively developed for the last 20 years. A detailed review of the results up to the end of 1990-s is given in paper [1]. For a recent exposition of the theory, see monographs [2, 3].

However, first examples of hypercyclic operators appeared much earlier. In 1929, Birkhoff has shown that the translation operator  $T_a : f(z) \mapsto f(z + a)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , is hypercyclic in the Frechet space of all entire functions  $Hol(\mathbb{C})$  with topology of uniform convergence on the compact sets. Later, McLane proved hypercyclicity of the differentiation operator  $D : f \mapsto f'$  on  $Hol(\mathbb{C})$ . The first example of a hypercyclic operator in the Banach setting was given in 1969 by Rolewicz [4] who showed that for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$ , the operator  $\lambda S^*$  is hypercyclic on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}_0)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , where  $S^*$  is the backward shift on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}_0)$  transforming a vector  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}_0)$  to the vector  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}, \dots)$ .

Given a hypercyclic operator  $T$ , what can be said about the set of its hypercyclic vectors? Clearly, if  $x$  is a hypercyclic vector for operator  $T$ , then  $Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots$  are hypercyclic vectors for  $T$  as well. Hence, the set of hypercyclic vectors is dense when it is non-empty.

The following result was proved by Bourdon [5] (a special class of operators commuting with generalized backward shifts was previously considered by Godefroy and Shapiro in [6]).

**Theorem (Bourdon, [5]).** *Let  $T$  be a hypercyclic operator acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there exists a dense linear subspace, where any non-zero vector is hypercyclic for  $T$ .*

**Definition.** *Given a hypercyclic operator  $T$ , an infinite-dimensional closed subspace, in which every non-zero vector is hypercyclic for  $T$ , is called a hypercyclic subspace.*

Montes-Rodriguez [7, Theorem 3.4] proved that the operator  $\lambda S^*$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$  has no hypercyclic subspaces. However, for some class of functions of the backward shift  $S^*$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  there exists a hypercyclic subspace, and it is the main result of the present paper. To state

---

A.A. LISHANSKII, EXISTENCE OF HYPERCYCLIC SUBSPACES FOR TOEPLITZ OPERATORS.

© LISHANSKII A.A. 2015.

THE WORK WAS SUPPORTED BY THE GRANT MD-5758.2015.1 AND BY JSC "GAZPROM NEFT".

Submitted April 20, 2015.

it, we need to introduce some notations. Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the unit disc and let  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  be the unit circle. Recall that the *disc algebra*  $A(\mathbb{D})$  is the space of all functions continuous in the closed disc  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  (with the norm  $\max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varphi(z)|$ ).

**Main Theorem.** *For each function  $\varphi \in A(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\varphi(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$  the operator  $\varphi(S^*)$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$  has a hypercyclic subspace.*

Note that the  $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$ , does not satisfy this condition.

The examples of applying the Main Theorem may be interpreted as certain Toeplitz operator on the Hardy space. The Hardy space  $H^2 = H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is the space of all functions of the form  $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n z^n$  with  $\{c_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ , and thus is naturally identified with  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ . Recall that for a function  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  with the symbol  $\varphi$  is defined as  $T_\varphi f = P_+(\varphi f)$ , where  $P_+$  stands for the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  onto  $H^2$ . Then the backward shift on  $S^*$  may be identified with the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\bar{z}}$ . It was shown in [6] that each coanalytic Toeplitz operator  $T_{\bar{\varphi}}$  (i.e.,  $\varphi$  is a bounded analytic function in  $\mathbb{D}$ ) is hypercyclic whenever  $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$  intersects  $\mathbb{T}$ . Our Main Theorem provides a class of coanalytic Toeplitz operators having a hypercyclic subspace.

A general sufficient condition for the existence of a hypercyclic subspace was given by Gonzalez, Leon-Saavedra and Montes-Rodriguez in [8]. To state it, we need the following stronger version of hypercyclicity:

**Definition.** *An operator  $T$  acting on a separable Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be hereditarily hypercyclic if there exists a sequence of non-negative integers  $\{n_k\}$  such that for each subsequence  $\{n_{k_i}\}$  there exists a vector  $x$  such that the sequence  $\{T^{n_{k_i}}x\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

We also need to recall the notion of the essential spectrum.

**Definition.** *An operator  $U$  is called Fredholm if  $\text{Ran } U$  is closed and has a finite codimension and  $\text{Ker } U$  is finite-dimensional. The essential spectrum of an operator  $T$  is defined as*

$$\sigma_e(T) = \{\lambda : T - \lambda I \text{ is non-Fredholm}\}.$$

**Theorem (Gonzalez, Leon-Saavedra, Montes-Rodriguez, [8, Theorem 3.2]).** *Let  $T$  be a hereditary hypercyclic bounded linear operator on a separable Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let the essential spectrum of  $T$  intersect the closed unit disc. Then there exists a hypercyclic subspace for operator  $T$ .*

We intend to use this result in the proof of the Main Theorem.

Let us mention some other results on this topic. In [9], Shkarin proved that the differentiation operator on the standard Frechet space  $Hol(\mathbb{C})$  has a hypercyclic subspace. In [10, Corollary 5.5], Quentin Menet generalized this result: he proved that for each non-constant polynomial  $P$  the operator  $P(D)$  has a hypercyclic subspace. He also obtained some results concerning weighted shifts on  $\ell^p$ .

## 2. ON ESSENTIAL SPECTRA OF LINEAR OPERATORS

The following lemma is well known. We give its proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma.** *Essential spectrum of the operator  $S^*$  is the unit circle.*

*Proof.* Let us consider three cases:

Case 1:  $|\lambda| > 1$ . The operator  $S^* - \lambda I = -\lambda(I - \frac{1}{\lambda}S^*)$  is invertible and, thus, it is Fredholm.

Case 2:  $|\lambda| < 1$ . We have  $S^* - \lambda I = S^*(I - \lambda S)$ . Since the operator  $S^*$  is Fredholm (its kernel is one-dimensional, its image is the whole space  $\ell^2$ ), and  $I - \lambda S$  is invertible, their composition is also a Fredholm operator.

Case 3:  $|\lambda| = 1$ . The operator  $S^* - \lambda I$  is not Fredholm because its image has an infinite codimension.

Indeed, the pre-image of the sequence  $(\lambda y_1, \lambda^2 y_2, \lambda^3 y_3, \lambda^4 y_4, \dots) \in \ell^2$  is given by  $(a, \lambda(y_1 + a), \lambda^2(y_1 + y_2 + a), \dots)$  and the identity  $a = -\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} y_i$  is necessary for the inclusion of this sequence into  $\ell^2$ .

Then the pre-image of the sequence

$$\left(1, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0}_{\geq 2^2 - 1 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}, 0, \dots, 0}_{\geq 2^4 - 1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2^n}, 0, \dots, 0}_{\geq 2^{2^n} - 1 \text{ times}}, \dots\right), \tag{1}$$

multiplied componentwise by  $(\lambda, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \dots)$ , is given by

$$\left(-2, -1, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}}_{\geq 2^2 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{4}, \dots, -\frac{1}{4}}_{\geq 2^4 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2^n}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2^n}}_{\geq 2^{2^n} \text{ times}}, \dots\right),$$

multiplied componentwise by  $(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots)$ , but such sequences do not belong to  $\ell^2$ . All sequences of the form (1), as is easily seen, form an infinite-dimensional subspace in  $\ell^2$ .  $\square$

The following important theorem about the mapping of the essential spectra can be found, e.g., in [11, p. 107].

**Essential Spectrum Mapping Theorem.** *For each bounded linear operator  $T$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  and for each polynomial  $P$ , one has  $\sigma_e(P(T)) = P(\sigma_e(T))$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In the proof of hereditary hypercyclicity of operator  $\varphi(S^*)$  we will use the following well-known criterion due to Godefroy and Shapiro [6] (for the explicit statement see, e.g., [3, Theorem 3.1]):

**Theorem (Godefroy–Shapiro criterion).** *Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator in a separable Banach space. Suppose that the subspaces*

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= \text{span}\{x \in X : Tx = \lambda x \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| < 1\}, \\ Y_0 &= \text{span}\{x \in X : Tx = \lambda x \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| > 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

*are dense in  $X$ . Then  $T$  is hereditarily hypercyclic.*

*Proof of the Main Theorem.* We should verify two conditions of the theorem of Gonzalez, Leon-Saavedra and Montes-Rodriguez.

Each function  $\varphi$  in the disc-algebra can be approximated uniformly in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  by a sequence of polynomials  $P_n$ . Thus,  $P_n(S^*)$  tends to  $\varphi(S^*)$  in the operator norm.

We need to show that  $\sigma_e(\varphi(S^*))$  intersects the closed unit disc. Since  $\varphi(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$ , there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\varphi(\lambda) = \mu$ . Then  $\mu_n = P_n(\lambda)$  tends to  $\mu$ . By the Essential Spectrum Mapping Theorem, for each polynomial  $P$  one has  $\sigma_e(P(S^*)) = P(\sigma_e(S^*)) = P(\mathbb{T})$ . In particular,  $\mu_n = P_n(\lambda) \in \sigma_e(P_n(S^*))$  for each  $n$ , and therefore,  $P_n(S^*) - \mu_n I$  is not Fredholm.

Since the set of Fredholm operators is open in the operator norm (see, e.g., [12, Theorem 4.3.11]), the set of non-Fredholm operators is closed. Hence, the limit of  $P_n(S^*) - \mu_n I$ , which is equal to  $\varphi(S^*) - \mu I$ , is not Fredholm, and  $\mu$  belongs to the essential spectrum of  $\varphi(S^*)$ . The first condition of the theorem by Gonzalez, Leon-Saavedra and Montes-Rodriguez is verified.

It is well known that the condition  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $\varphi(S^*)$  satisfies the Godefroy–Shapiro criterion. Let us briefly recall this argument.

Recall that the point spectrum of  $S^*$  equals  $\sigma_p(S^*) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}$  and an eigenvector is given by  $(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ , or, if we pass to the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  using the natural

identification of  $H^2$  with  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ , by

$$k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\lambda}z} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n z^n.$$

These are the Cauchy kernels being reproducing kernels of  $H^2$ . Clearly,  $k_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ , are also eigenvectors of  $\varphi(S^*)$  associated with the eigenvalues  $\varphi(\lambda)$ .

By the condition  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$ , we know that  $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$  is an open set intersecting both  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ . Clearly, both of the sets  $X_0 = \{k_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(\lambda)| > 1\}$  and  $Y_0 = \{k_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(\lambda)| < 1\}$  are dense in  $H^2$ . Indeed,  $f \in H^2$  is orthogonal to  $k_\lambda$  if and only if  $f(\lambda) = 0$  and both  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(\lambda)| > 1\}$  and  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(\lambda)| < 1\}$  are open sets. Thus the conditions of the Godefroy–Shapiro criterion are satisfied and the hereditarily hypercyclicity of operator  $\varphi(S^*)$  follows.

Thus, by the theorem of Gonzalez, Leon-Saavedra and Montes-Rodriguez, the operator  $\varphi(S^*)$  has a hypercyclic subspace.  $\square$

In conclusion, we formulate one open question. It would be interesting to generalize the statement of Montes-Rodriguez that the operator  $\lambda S^*$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ , has no hypercyclic subspaces. A natural conjecture is:

**Conjecture.** Let  $B = p(S^*)$ , where  $p$  is a polynomial such that  $|p(\lambda)| > 1$  for  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Then operator  $B$  has no hypercyclic subspaces.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Quentin Menet for helpful comments.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann. *Universal families and hypercyclic operators* // Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **36**:3, 345-381 (1999).
2. F. Bayart, E. Matheron. *Dynamics of linear operators*. Cambridge Univ., Cambridge (2009).
3. K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, A. Peris Mangouillot. *Linear chaos*. Springer, Berlin (2011).
4. S. Rolewicz. *On orbits of elements* // Studia Math. **32**:1, 17-22 (1969).
5. P.S. Bourdon. *Invariant manifolds of hypercyclic vectors* // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118**:3, 845-847 (1993).
6. G. Godefroy, J.H. Shapiro. *Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds* // J. Funct. Anal. **98**:2, 229-269 (1991).
7. A. Montes-Rodriguez. *Banach spaces of hypercyclic vectors* // Michigan Math. J. **43**:3, 419-436 (1996).
8. M. Gonzalez, F. Leon-Saavedra, A. Montes-Rodriguez. *Semi-Fredholm Theory: Hypercyclic and supercyclic subspaces* // Proc. London Math. Soc. **81**:3, 169-189 (2000).
9. S. Shkarin. *On the set of hypercyclic vectors for the differentiation operator* // Israel J. Math. **180**:1, 271-283 (2010).
10. Q. Menet. *Hypercyclic subspaces and weighted shifts* // Adv. Math. **255**, 305-337 (2014).
11. S. Goldberg. *Unbounded linear operators*. McGraw-Hill, New York (1966).
12. E.B. Davies. *Linear operators and their spectra*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2007).

Andrei Alexandrovich Lishanskii,  
 SPbSU, Chebyshev laboratory,  
 14th Line 29B, Vasilyevsky Island,  
 St.Petersburg 199178, RUSSIA  
 E-mail: Lishanskiyaa@gmail.com