doi:10.13108/2015-7-2-17

UDC 517.5

## ON ABSENCE CONDITIONS OF UNCONDITIONAL BASES OF EXPONENTS

## R.A. BASHMAKOV, A.A. MAKHOTA, K.V. TROUNOV

**Abstract.** In the classical space  $L^2(-\pi,\pi)$  there exists the unconditional basis  $\{e^{ikt}\}$  (k is integer). In the work we study the existence of unconditional bases in weighted Hilbert spaces  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  of the functions square integrable on an interval I in the real axis with the weight  $\exp(-h)$ , where h is a convex function. We obtain conditions showing that unconditional bases of exponents can exist only in very rare cases.

**Keywords:** Riesz bases, unconditional bases, series of exponents, Hilbert space, Fourier-Laplace transform

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D20

Let I be an interval in the real axis, h(t) be a convex function on this interval  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  be the space of locally integrable functions on I satisfying the condition

$$||f|| := \sqrt{\int_{I} |f(t)|^2 e^{-2h(t)} dt} < \infty.$$

It is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(f,g) = \int_{I} f(t)\overline{g}(t)e^{-2h(t)} dt.$$

**Definition 1.** The family  $\{e^{\lambda_k t}, k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$  is called unconditional basis in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  if

- 1) family  $\{e^{\lambda_k t}, k=1,2,\ldots\}$  is dense in space  $L^2(I,\exp h)$ ;
- 2) there exist positive constants m, M such that for each finite sequence  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$  the two-sided estimate

$$m\sum_{k} |a_{k}|^{2} ||e^{\lambda_{k}t}||^{2} \leq ||\sum_{k} a_{k}e^{\lambda_{k}t}||^{2} \leq M\sum_{k} |a_{k}|^{2} ||e^{\lambda_{k}t}||^{2}.$$
(1)

holds true.

We follow the definition in work [2]. As it was mentioned in this work, if system  $\{e^{\lambda_k t}\}$  forms an unconditional basis in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ , each function  $f \in L^2(I, \exp h)$  is uniquely expanded into absolutely (reodered) convergent series over this system:

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k e^{\lambda_k t}, \quad t \in I.$$
 (2)

In this section we consider the existence of unconditional exponential bases in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ . The main tool of the study is the Laplace transform.

R.A. Bashmakov, A.A. Makhota, K.V. Trounov, On absence conditions of unconditional bases of exponents.

<sup>©</sup> Bashmakov R.A., Makhota A.A., Trounov K.V. 2015. Submitted April 1, 2015.

As it was shown in work [7], Laplace transform  $L: S \longmapsto \widehat{S}$  makes an isomorphism of the space adjoint to  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  with Hilbert space  $\widehat{L}^2(I, \exp h)$  of functions F analytic in space  $J + i\mathbb{R}$ , where

$$J = \{x : \widetilde{h}(x) = \sup_{t \in I} (xt - h(t)) < \infty\}$$

with the norm

$$||F|| = \sqrt{\int_0^\infty \int_J \frac{|F(x+iy)|^2}{K(x)} d\widetilde{h}'(x)dy},$$

and

$$K(x) = \int_{I} e^{2xt - 2h(t)} dt = ||e^{\lambda t}||^{2}, \ \lambda = x + iy.$$

Suppose that system  $\{e^{\lambda_k t}\}$  forms an unconditional basis in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ . By  $S_k$  we denote a linear functional in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  which maps each function  $f \in L^2(I, \exp h)$  into the coefficient  $f_k$  in expansion (2):

$$S_k(f) = f_k$$
.

If by P we denote  $\max(M, \frac{1}{m})$ , where M, m are the constants in relation (1), then for each n the two-sided estimate

$$\frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f_k|^2 \|e^{\lambda_k t}\|^2 \leqslant \|\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k e^{\lambda_k t}\|^2 \leqslant P \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f_k|^2 \|e^{\lambda_k t}\|^2$$

holds true. Passing to the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k|^2 ||e^{\lambda_k t}||^2 \le ||f||^2 \le P \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k|^2 ||e^{\lambda_k t}||^2.$$

By the definition of function  $K(\lambda) = K(\text{Re }\lambda)$  this relation can be written as

$$\frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k|^2 K(\lambda_k) \leqslant ||f||^2 \leqslant P \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k|^2 K(\lambda_k). \tag{3}$$

The left inequality implies the boundedness of functional  $S_k$ :

$$|S_k(f)| \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{P}{K(\lambda_k)}} ||f||.$$

Thus, functions  $\widehat{S}_k(\lambda)$  lie in space  $\widehat{L}^2(I, \exp h)$  and moreover,

$$\widehat{S}_k(\lambda_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq k, \\ 1, & n = k. \end{cases}$$
(4)

We observe that  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n \neq k$ , are simple zeroes of function  $\widehat{S}_k(\lambda)$ . Indeed, if for some  $m \neq k$  the quantity  $\widehat{S}'_k(\lambda_m)$  vanished, function  $(\lambda_k - \lambda_m)\widehat{S}_k(\lambda)/(\lambda - \lambda_m)$  lying in  $\widehat{L}^2(I, \exp h)$  would vanish at points  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n \neq k$ , and would equal to 1 at point  $\lambda_k$ , i.e., at all the points  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , it would coincide with function  $\widehat{S}_k(\lambda)$ . Then by the completeness of the system  $e^{\lambda_n t}$  in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  the system of points  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , is the uniqueness set for space  $\widehat{L}^2(I, \exp h)$ . Hence, functions  $(\lambda_k - \lambda_m)\widehat{S}_k(\lambda)/(\lambda - \lambda_m)$  and  $\widehat{S}_k(\lambda)$  should coincide identically.

Let

$$L(\lambda) = \widehat{S}_1(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_1).$$

This function is analytic in the strip  $J + i\mathbb{R}$  with simple zeroes at points  $\lambda_n$ , n = 1, 2, ... The functions

$$\frac{L(\lambda)}{L'(\lambda_k)(\lambda - \lambda_k)} = \frac{\widehat{S}_1(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(\lambda - \lambda_k)(\lambda_k - \lambda_1)\widehat{S}'_1(\lambda_k)}, \quad k \neq 1,$$

$$\frac{L(\lambda)}{L'(\lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_1)} = \widehat{S}_1(\lambda)$$

are also elements of space  $\widehat{L}^2(I, \exp h)$  and they coincide with function  $\widehat{S}_k(\lambda)$  at all the points  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Again by the completeness of system  $\{e^{\lambda_n t}\}$  in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  we have

$$\widehat{S}_k(\lambda) = \frac{L(\lambda)}{L'(\lambda_k)(\lambda - \lambda_k)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (5)

For a fixed  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , function  $e^{\lambda t}$  lies in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$  and thus, it can be expanded into the series over system  $e^{\lambda_k t}$ :

$$e^{\lambda t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\lambda) e^{\lambda_k t}.$$
 (6)

We apply functional  $S_n$  to this identity. In view of relations (4) we obtain

$$\widehat{S}_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\lambda) \widehat{S}_n(\lambda_k) = c_n(\lambda).$$

Together with (5) it yields

$$c_n(\lambda) = \frac{L(\lambda)}{L'(\lambda_n)(\lambda - \lambda_n)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Representation (6) and condition (3) imply

$$\frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k(\lambda)|^2 K(\lambda_k) \leqslant K(\lambda) \leqslant P \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k(\lambda)|^2 K(\lambda_k)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{P}K(\lambda) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|L(\lambda)|^2 K(\lambda_k)}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2 |\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} \leqslant PK(\lambda). \tag{7}$$

Thus, we have proven the theorem:

**Theorem 1.** If system  $\{e^{\lambda_k t}\}$  is an unconditional basis in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ , there exists a function L analytic in the strip  $J + i\mathbb{R}$  with simple zeroes at poins  $\lambda_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., satisfying relation (7).

Relation (7) allows us to find out some properties of the distribution of zeroes of function  $L(\lambda)$ .

We introduce a characteristics  $\tau(u, z, p)$  for a convex function u(x).

Let z be a fixed point in the plane. For each positive r > 0, by B(z, r) we denote the circle  $\{w : |w - z| < r\}$ , and for a function f continuous in  $\overline{B}(z, r)$  we let

$$||f||_r = \max_{w \in \overline{B}(z,r)} |f(w)|.$$

Let d(f, z, r) be the distance from function f to the subspace of harmonic in B(z, r) functions:

$$d(f, z, r) = \inf\{\|f - H\|_r, \text{ His harmonic in } B(z, r)\}.$$

If u(x) is a convex function on interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , function  $u(w) = u(\operatorname{Re} w)$  is continuous in the vertical strip  $I + i\mathbb{R}$  in the plane. For a positive number p we let

$$\tau(u, z, p) = \sup\{r: d(u, z, r) \leqslant p\}.$$

It is clear that  $\tau(u, z, p)$  depends only on Re z. If needed, we redefine function u letting it being equal to  $+\infty$  outside interval I. Then  $\tau(u, z, p)$  can not exceed the distance from y to the boundary of the domain for function u. Thus,  $\tau(u, z, p)$  is the radius of the maximal circle centered at point z, in which function u deviate from the space of harmonic functions in this circle at most by p.

The introduced characteristics  $\tau(u, z, p)$  of a convex function u(x) happens to be closely related with the geometric characteristic of convexity  $\rho_2(u, y, p)$  introduced in works [4], [7]:

$$\rho_2(u, y, p) = \sup\{t > 0: \int_{y-t}^{y+t} |u'(\tau) - u'(y)| d\tau \leqslant p\}.$$

This quantity  $\rho_2 = \rho_2(u, y, p)$  can be determined by the identity

$$\frac{u(y - \rho_2) + u(y + \rho_2)}{2} - u(y) = \frac{p}{2}.$$

We observe that

$$\rho(u, y, p) = \rho_2(u, y, 2p).$$

For an arbitrary continuous function u(y) on the real axis and a positive number r, by  $d_1(u, y, r)$  we denote the deviation in the uniform norm for function u on the segment [y-r; y+r] from linear functions:

$$d_1(u, y, r) = \inf\{\max_{t \in [y-r; y+r]} |u(t) - l(t)|, \text{ lis linear}\}.$$

By  $\rho(u, y, p)$  we denote the maximal number r such that on the interval [y - r; y + r] function u deviates from linear functions at most by p:

$$\rho(u, y, p) = \sup\{r: d_1(u, y, r) \leq p\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** 1. For each positive p, function  $\tau(y,p) = \tau(u,y,p)$  satisfy the estimates

$$\tau(y,p) \geqslant \rho(y,p) \geqslant \frac{1}{16}\tau(y,p).$$

2. For  $q \ge p > 0$ , the two-sided estimates

$$\tau(y,q) \geqslant \tau(y,p) \geqslant \frac{p}{16q}\tau(y,q)$$

hold true. 3. Function  $\tau(y) = \tau(u, y, p)$  satisfies Lifschitz condition: for each x, y in the domain of u

$$|\tau(y) - \tau(x)| \leqslant |y - x|.$$

*Proof.* 1. We fix a point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  so that y = Re z lies in the domain of function u. We let  $r = \rho(u, y, p)$ . Then there exists a linear function l satisfying the condition

$$|u(x) - l(x)| \leqslant p, \quad x \in [y - r; y + r].$$

The function  $v(w) = l(\operatorname{Re} w)$  is harmonic and

$$|u(\operatorname{Re} w) - l(\operatorname{Re} w)| \le p, \quad w \in B(z, r).$$

Hence,

$$\tau(y,p) \geqslant r = \rho(y,p).$$

We let  $r = \tau(u, y, p)$ . In circle B(z, r), there exists a harmonic function H such that  $||u - H||_r \le p$ . We choose a linear function l such that  $l(x) \le u(x)$  for each x, l(y) = u(y). The existence of

such function is ensured by the convexity of function u. We let  $v(w) = l(\operatorname{Re} w)$ . Then in circle B(z,r) the inequalities

$$v(w) \leqslant u(w) \leqslant H(w) + p$$

hold true. Therefore,

$$(H(w) + p) - v(w) \geqslant 0.$$

Moreover, since  $v(z) = u(\operatorname{Re} z)$ , then

$$(H(z) + p) - v(z) = (H(z) + p) - u(\operatorname{Re} z) = (H(z) - u(\operatorname{Re} z)) + p \le 2p.$$

We apply Harnack inequality for non-negative harmonic functions to the function H(w) + p - v(w): in circle  $B(z, \frac{r}{2})$  we have the estimate

$$(H(w) + p) - v(w) \le 3((H(z) + p) - v(z)) \le 6p.$$

Then in the same circle  $B(z, \frac{r}{2})$  the estimate

$$|u(\operatorname{Re} w) - v(w)| \le |u(\operatorname{Re} w) - H(w)| + |h(w) + p - v(w)| + p \le 8p$$

is valid. The functions in the left hand side of this inequality depend on  $x = \operatorname{Re} w$  only. Thus, we obtain

$$|u(x) - l(x)| \leqslant 8p$$
,  $x \in \left[y - \frac{r}{2}; y + \frac{r}{2}\right]$ .

It follows from this estimate that

$$\rho(y, 8p) \geqslant \frac{r}{2} = \tau(y, p)$$

or

$$\tau(y,p) \leqslant 2\rho(y,8p).$$

It implies

$$\tau(y,p) \leqslant 16\rho(y,p)$$

- 2. The second part of Lemma 1 can be obtained on the basis of the properties of function  $\rho(y, z, p)$ .
- 3. We choose points  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  in the domain of function u(x), and let  $r = \tau(u, y_1, p)$ . It means that in circle  $B(y_1, r)$  there exists a harmonic function H(z) obeying the condition

$$|u(\operatorname{Re} z) - H(z)| \le p.$$

If  $|y_1 - y_2| < r$ , this inequality is satisfied also in the circle  $B(y_2, r - |y_1 - y_2|)$ , hence,

$$\tau(u, y_2, p) \geqslant r - |y_1 - y_2| = \tau(u, y_1, p) - |y_1 - y_2|,$$

or

$$\tau(u, y_1, p) - \tau(u, y_2, p) \leqslant |y_1 - y_2|.$$

If  $|y_1 - y_2| \ge r = \tau(u, y_1, p)$ , then

$$\tau(u, y_1, p) - \tau(u, y_2, p) \leq |y_1 - y_2|.$$

We swap  $y_1, y_2$ :

$$\tau(u, y_2, p) - \tau(u, y_1, p) \leqslant |y_1 - y_2|.$$

Thus,

$$|\tau(u, y_1, p) - \tau(u, y_2, p)| \leq |y_1 - y_2|.$$

It was shown in work [11] that the quantity  $\tau = \tau(u, \lambda, p)$  is well determined by the condition: if H(z) is a harmonic majorant for function u(z) in circle  $B(\lambda, \tau)$ , then

$$\max_{z \in \overline{B}(\lambda,\tau)} (H(z) - u(z)) = 2p.$$
(8)

We determine this quantity for the function  $\ln K(\lambda)$  and the number  $\ln(5P)$ , where P is the constant in relation (7). In what follows we shall denote it simply by  $\tau(\lambda)$ . So,

$$\inf_{v \in A(B(\lambda,\tau))} \max_{z \in \overline{B}(\lambda,\tau)} |\ln K(z) - v(z)| = \ln(5P),$$

where by  $A(B(\lambda, \tau))$  we denote the set of the functions harmonic in circle  $B(\lambda, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $L(\lambda)$  be a function analytic in the strip  $J + i\mathbb{R}$ , with simple zeroes  $\lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  for some P satisfying the two-sided estimate

$$\frac{1}{P}K(\lambda) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|L(\lambda)|^2 K(\lambda_k)}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2 |\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} \leqslant PK(\lambda).$$

Then

- 1) Each circle  $B(\lambda, 2\tau(\lambda))$  contains at least one zero  $\lambda_k$  of function L.
- 2) For each  $n, k, n \neq k$ , the inequality

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_n| \geqslant \frac{\max(\tau(\lambda_k), \tau(\lambda_n))}{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

holds true.

3) For each k, in the circle  $B(\lambda_k, \frac{\tau(\lambda_k)}{20P^{\frac{3}{2}}})$ , the relation

$$\frac{1}{5^6 P^8} K(\lambda) \leqslant \frac{K(\lambda_k) |L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2 |\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} \leqslant PK(\lambda)$$

is valid.

*Proof.* 1. We argue by contradiction to prove the first statement. Suppose that for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the circle  $B = B(\lambda, 2\tau(\lambda))$  contains no zeros of function L. We take a point  $z \in B(\lambda, \tau(\lambda))$ . Then for each k we have  $\tau(\lambda) \leq |\lambda_k - \lambda|/2$  and  $|\lambda - z| < \tau(\lambda) \leq |\lambda_k - \lambda|/2$ . Thus,

$$|z - \lambda_k| \geqslant |\lambda_k - \lambda| - |\lambda - z| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} |\lambda - \lambda_k|,$$
  
$$|z - \lambda_k| \leqslant |\lambda_k - \lambda| + |\lambda - z| \leqslant \frac{3}{2} |\lambda - \lambda_k|.$$

It yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \frac{|z - \lambda_k|}{|\lambda - \lambda_k|} \leqslant \frac{3}{2} < 2.$$

This relation implies the two-sided estimate valid for  $z \in B(\lambda, \tau(\lambda))$ :

$$\frac{1}{4}C(\lambda)|L(z)|^2 \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|L(z)|^2 K(\lambda_k)}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2 |z-\lambda_k|^2} \leqslant 4C(\lambda)|L(z)|^2,$$

where  $C(\lambda)$  stands for the number

$$C(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{K(\lambda_k)}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2 |\lambda - \lambda_k|^2}.$$

Thanks to relation (7) satisfied by the assumption of the theorem for function  $L(\lambda)$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{4P}C(\lambda)|L(z)|^2 \leqslant K(z) \leqslant 4PC(\lambda)|L(z)|^2, \ z \in B(\lambda, \tau).$$

We find the logarithm of this relation:

$$|\ln K(z) - \ln(C(\lambda)|L(z)|^2)| \le \ln(4P) < \ln(5P), \ z \in B(\lambda, \tau).$$

Since by the assumption the circle  $B(\lambda, 2\rho(\lambda))$  contains no zeroes of function L, function  $u(z) = \ln(C(\lambda)|L(z)|^2)$  is harmonic in the circle  $B(\lambda\tau(\lambda))$  and is continuous in its closure. Then the latter estimate contradicts the definition of quantity  $\tau(\lambda)$ .

2. We fix two different numbers k, n. By relation (7), the function

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{K(\lambda_n)}}{L'(\lambda_n)(\lambda_n - \lambda)}L(\lambda)$$

satisfies the upper estimate

$$|F(\lambda)| \leqslant \sqrt{PK(\lambda)}.$$

And due to the definition of quantity  $\tau(\lambda_k)$ , in circle  $B(\lambda_k, \tau(\lambda_k))$  there exists a harmonic function  $u_k(\lambda)$  satisfying the estimate

$$|\ln K(\lambda) - u_k(\lambda)| \le \ln(5P). \tag{9}$$

In particular,

$$\sqrt{K(\lambda)} \leqslant \sqrt{5P}e^{\frac{u_k(\lambda)}{2}}$$

Let  $g_k(\lambda)$  be a function analytic in the circle  $B(\lambda_k, \tau(\lambda_k))$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} g_k(\lambda) = u_k(\lambda)/2$ . Then the function

$$f(z) = F(\tau(\lambda_k)z + \lambda_k)e^{-g_k(\tau(\lambda_k)z + \lambda_k)}$$

is analytic in the unit circle B(0,1) and satisfies the upper estimate

$$|f(z)| \leqslant \sqrt{5}P,$$

and f(0) = 0. By Schwarz lemma, we have the upper estimate

$$|f(z)| \leqslant \sqrt{5}P|z|,$$

thus,

$$|f'(0)| \leqslant \sqrt{5}P.$$

Calculating f'(0), we obtain

$$|F'(\lambda_k)| \leqslant \sqrt{5}P^{\frac{e^{\frac{u_k(\lambda_k)}{2}}}{\tau(\lambda_k)}}.$$

Together with relation (9) it implies

$$|F'(\lambda_k)| \leqslant 5P^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{K(\lambda_k)}}{\tau(\lambda_k)}.$$

We calculate the value of  $F'(\lambda_k)$  by the definition to obtain

$$\frac{|L'(\lambda_k)|\sqrt{K(\lambda_n)}}{|L'(\lambda_n)||\lambda_k - \lambda_n|} \leqslant 5P^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{K(\lambda_k)}}{\tau(\lambda_k)}.$$

Indices k, n are arbitrary and we can swap them:

$$\frac{|L'(\lambda_n)|\sqrt{K(\lambda_k)}}{|L'(\lambda_k)||\lambda_n - \lambda_k|} \le 5P^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{K(\lambda_n)}}{\tau(\lambda_n)}.$$

Multiplying two latter estimates, we get:

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_k - \lambda_n|^2} \leqslant \frac{25P^3}{\tau(\lambda_k)\tau(\lambda_n)},$$

or

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_n|^2 \geqslant \frac{\tau(\lambda_k)\tau(\lambda_n)}{25P^3}.$$
 (10)

Let  $\tau(\lambda_k) \ge \tau(\lambda_n)$  and assume that the inequality in Statement 2 is not valid, i.e.,

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_n| < \frac{\tau(\lambda_k)}{10P_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}. (11)$$

The circle

$$B' = \{ |\lambda - \lambda_n| \leqslant \frac{10P^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1}{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau(\lambda_k) \}$$

lies in the circle  $B(\lambda_k, \tau(\lambda_k))$  in which there exists a harmonic function  $u_k(z)$  with the estimate

$$|\ln K(z) - u_k(z)| \le \ln(5P)$$

Then

$$\tau(\lambda_n) \geqslant \frac{10P^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1}{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau(\lambda_k).$$

This estimate and (10) lead us to the inequality

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_n|^2 \geqslant \frac{1}{25P^3} \tau(\lambda_k) \tau(\lambda_n) \geqslant \frac{1}{25P^3} \frac{10P^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1}{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau(\lambda_k)^2.$$

Since P > 1, then

$$\frac{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}-1}{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}} > \frac{9}{10} > \frac{1}{4}$$

and

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_n|^2 > \frac{1}{100P^3} \tau^2(\lambda_k)$$

or

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_n| > \frac{1}{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau(\lambda_k)$$

that contradicts assumption (11).

3. We fix an index k. The right inequality in Statement 3 follows directly from the assumptions of the theorem. By the definition of  $\tau(\lambda_k)$ , in circle  $B(\lambda_k, \tau(\lambda_k))$  there exists a harmonic function  $u_k(\lambda)$  such that

$$-\ln(5P) \leqslant \ln K(\lambda) - u_k(\lambda) \leqslant \ln(5P). \tag{12}$$

By the assumptions of the theorem,

$$K(\lambda) \geqslant \frac{1}{P} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K(\lambda_n)|L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_n)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_n|^2} \geqslant \frac{K(\lambda_k)|L(\lambda)|^2}{P|L'(\lambda_k)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2}$$

or

$$\ln K(\lambda) \geqslant \ln \frac{K(\lambda_k)|L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} - \ln P.$$

Therefore, for  $\lambda \in B(\lambda_k, \tau(\lambda_k))$  we have

$$\ln \frac{K(\lambda_k)|L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} - \ln P - u_k(\lambda) - \ln(5P) \leqslant 0,$$

i.e.,

$$u_k(\lambda) + 2 \ln P + \ln 5 - \ln \frac{K(\lambda_k)|L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} \ge 0.$$

By Statement 2, circle  $B\left(\lambda_k, \frac{1}{10P^{\frac{3}{2}}}\tau(\lambda_k)\right)$  contains no zeroes of function  $L(\lambda)$  except  $\lambda_k$ . Therefore, the function

$$v_k(\lambda) = -\ln \frac{K(\lambda_k)|L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2}$$

is harmonic in this circle. And the function  $u_k(\lambda)+v_k(\lambda)+\ln(5P^2)$  is harmonic and non-negative in it. By Harnack inequality, in the circle  $B(\lambda_k, \frac{\tau(\lambda_k)}{20P^{\frac{3}{2}}})$ , the estimate

$$u_k(\lambda) + v_k(\lambda) + \ln(5P^2) \le 3(u_k(\lambda_k) + v_k(\lambda_k) + \ln(5P^2)) = 3(u_k(\lambda_k) - \ln K(\lambda_k) + \ln(5P^2))$$

holds true. By the left inequality in (12) we have  $u_k(\lambda_k) \leq \ln K(\lambda_k) + \ln(5P)$  and therefore

$$u_k(\lambda) + v_k(\lambda) \le 3\ln(5P) + 2\ln(5P^2) = \ln 5^5 P^7.$$

The right inequality in (12) implies  $u_k(\lambda) \ge \ln K(\lambda) - \ln(5P)$  and hence,

$$-v_k(\lambda) \ge \ln K(\lambda) - \ln(5P) - \ln 5^5 P^7 = \ln K(\lambda) - \ln(5^6 P^8).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{K(\lambda_k)|L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} \geqslant \frac{1}{5^6 P^8} K(\lambda).$$

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , be the zeroes of function  $L(\lambda)$  satisfying the assumption of the previous theorem. Then in each bounded set B containing at least two of points  $\lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , there exists a point  $\lambda_n$  such that

$$\sum_{\lambda_1 \in B, k \neq n} \frac{1}{|\lambda_k - \lambda_n|^2} \leqslant \frac{(5P)^{12}}{\tau^2(\lambda_n)}.$$
(13)

*Proof.* By relation (7), for each  $\lambda$  the estimate

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \in B} \frac{K(\lambda_k)|L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} \leqslant PK(\lambda) \tag{14}$$

holds true. There exists an index n such that

$$\frac{K(\lambda_n)}{|L'(\lambda_n)|^2} = \min_{\lambda_k \in B} \left( \frac{K(\lambda_k)}{|L'(\lambda_k)|^2} \right).$$

By Statement 3 of Theorem 2, for points  $\lambda$  lying on the boundary of circle  $B\left(\lambda_n, \frac{1}{20P^{\frac{3}{2}}}\tau(\lambda_n)\right)$  the estimate

$$\frac{1}{5^6 P^8} K(\lambda) \leqslant 20^2 P^3 \frac{K(\lambda_n) |L(\lambda)|^2}{|L'(\lambda_n)|^2 \tau^2(\lambda_n)}$$

holds true or

$$\frac{K(\lambda)}{|L(\lambda)|^2} \leqslant 4^2 5^8 P^{11} \frac{K(\lambda_n)}{|L'(\lambda_n)|^2 \tau^2(\lambda_n)}.$$

Together with estimate (14) it implies

$$4^{2}5^{8}P^{11}\frac{K(\lambda_{n})}{|L'(\lambda_{n})|^{2}\tau^{2}(\lambda_{n})} \geqslant \frac{1}{P} \sum_{\lambda_{k} \in B} \frac{K(\lambda_{k})}{|L'(\lambda_{k})|^{2}|\lambda - \lambda_{k}|^{2}}.$$

In view of the choice of index n, for points  $\lambda$  on the boundary of  $B\left(\lambda_n, \frac{1}{20P^{\frac{3}{2}}}\tau(\lambda_n)\right)$  we have

$$4^2 5^8 P^{11} \frac{K(\lambda_n)}{|L'(\lambda_n)|^2 \tau^2(\lambda_n)} \geqslant \frac{1}{P} \frac{K(\lambda_n)}{|L'(\lambda_n)|^2} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in R} \frac{1}{|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2}$$

or

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \in B} \frac{1}{|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} \leqslant \frac{4^2 5^8 P^{12}}{\tau^2(\lambda_n)}.$$
(15)

By Statement 2 of Theorem 2, for the mentioned points  $\lambda$  with  $k \neq n$  the estimate

$$|\lambda - \lambda_k| \le |\lambda - \lambda_n| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_k| \le \frac{3}{2} |\lambda_n - \lambda_k|$$

holds true. Therefore, it follows from (15) that

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \in B, k \neq n} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n - \lambda_k|^2} < \frac{(5P)^{12}}{\tau^2(\lambda_n)}.$$

The proof is complete.

On the basis of Theorem 3 one can show that the existence of Riesz basis of exponentials is rather an exception than a rule.

**Theorem 4.** Let I be an arbitrary interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , h(t) be a convex function on this interval,

$$K(\lambda) = \int_{I} e^{2\operatorname{Re}\lambda t - 2h(t)} dt, \quad J = \{x : K(x) < \infty\}.$$

Suppose that for some p > 0 there exists a sequence of segments  $[a_m; b_m]$  and positive numbers  $\tau_m$ , m = 1, 2, ..., such that

1) for some positive number  $\delta$  and for each  $x \in [a_m; b_m]$ 

$$\delta \tau_m \leqslant \tau(\ln K(z), x, p) \leqslant \tau_m, \ m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

2) the relation

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{b_m - a_m}{\tau_m} = \infty$$

holds true.

Then there is no Riesz basis of exponentials in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ .

*Proof.* First of all we observe that if the assumptions of the theorem hold true for some p, by Statement 2 of Lemma 1, these assumptions hold true for each p > 0.

Suppose that the system  $e^{\lambda_k t}$  forms a Riesz basis in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ . By Theorem 1, there exists an entire function with simple zeroes at points  $\lambda_k$  obeying relation (7). In what follows we assume that in the assumption of Theore 4, as p we choose the number  $\ln(5P)$ , where P is the constant in relation (7). For the sake of brief notation, we denote  $\tau(\ln K(z), \lambda, \ln(5P))$  by  $\tau(\lambda)$ . By Theorem 3, the set of points  $\lambda_k$  possesses property (13). We choose an arbitrary index m. Let

$$\tau_m = \sup_{\lambda \in [a_m, b_m]} (\tau(\lambda)),$$

 $s_m$  be the maximal natural number such that

$$a_m + 4s_m \tau_m \leqslant b_m.$$

Then

$$a_m + 4(s_m + 1)\tau_m \geqslant b_m - a_m,$$

therefore,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{(a_m + s_m \tau_m) - a_m}{\tau_m} = \infty.$$

To simplify the notations, in what follows we suppose that  $a_m + s_m \tau_m = b_m$ . For a fixed index m, we consider the system P formed by square with side  $4\tau_m$ :

$$P_{ql} = \{ z : a_m + 2l\tau_m \le \text{Re } z \le a_m + 2(l+1)\tau_m, \quad 2q\tau_m \le \text{Im } z \le 2(q+1)\tau_m \},$$
  
 $l = 0, 1, \dots, s_m - 1, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

Two squares in these system will be called adjacent if they have a common vertex. Let  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  be two non-adjacent squares in this system and  $z_1, w_1 \in Q_1, z_2, w_2 \in Q_2$ . Then

$$|z_1 - z_2| \leqslant 4|w_1 - w_2|. \tag{16}$$

Indeed, since the squares are not adjacent, it follows that  $|w_1 - w_2| \ge 4\Delta \tau_m$  or

$$\tau_m \leqslant \frac{1}{4}|w_1 - w_2|.$$

Therefore,

$$|z_1 - z_2| \le |z_1 - w_1| + |w_1 - w_2| + |w_2 - z_2| \le 8\sqrt{2}\tau_m + |w_2 - z_2| \le 4|w_2 - z_2|.$$

We denote the center of the square  $P_{ql}$  by  $\zeta_{ql}$ . Each square  $P_{ql}$  contains the circle  $B(\zeta_{ql}, 2\tau_m)$ , which, in its turn, under the assumption of the theorem, contains the circle  $B(\zeta_{ql}, 2\tau(\zeta_{ql}))$ . By Statement 1 of Theorem 2, this circle contains at least one of the exponents  $\lambda_k$ . We take a sufficiently great N and by  $B_N$  we denote the union of squares  $P_{ql}$  over all q and l,  $|l| \leq N$ . We apply Theorem 5 to system  $\lambda_k$  and to set  $B_N$ . There exists an index n such that the relation

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \in B_N, k \neq n} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n - \lambda_k|^2} < \frac{(5P)^{12}}{\tau^2(\lambda_n)}$$

holds true. By Condition 1) of the theorem it implies the estimate

$$\sum_{\lambda_1 \in B_N, k \neq n} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n - \lambda_k|^2} < \frac{(5P)^{12}}{\delta^2 \tau_m^2}.$$
(17)

Let  $Q_0$  be a square in system P containing point  $\lambda_n$ , and point  $\lambda_k$  lies in square Q (in our system) not adjacent with  $Q_0$ . We take arbitrary point  $\lambda \in Q$  and employ relation (16):

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_n| \leq 4|\lambda - \lambda_n|$$

or

$$\frac{1}{16|\lambda - \lambda_n|^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{|\lambda_k - \lambda_n|^2}.$$

We integrate this inequality w.r.t.  $\lambda$  over square Q:

$$\frac{1}{16\tau_m^2} \int_Q \frac{1}{16|\lambda - \lambda_n|^2} \, dv(\lambda) \leqslant \frac{1}{|\lambda_k - \lambda_n|^2}.$$

By  $B'_N$  we denote set  $B_N$  without the squares adjacent with  $Q_0$ . Since each of the squares contains at least one point in the system of exponents, the latter inequality and relation (23) yield

$$\int_{B_N'} \frac{1}{|\lambda - \lambda_n|^2} dv(\lambda) \leqslant \frac{256(5P)^{12}}{\delta^2}.$$

Let  $Q_0 = P_{sj}$  and for the definiteness we assume that  $j < 0, s \leq \frac{s_m}{2}$  and we let

$$\widetilde{B}_N = \{\lambda : a_m + (s+2)\tau_m \leqslant \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leqslant b_m, (j+2)\tau_m \leqslant \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leqslant (N+1)\tau_m\}.$$

Then  $\widetilde{B}_N \subset B_N'$  and hence, the inequality

$$\int_{\widetilde{B}_N} \frac{1}{|\lambda - \lambda_n|^2} dv(\lambda) \leqslant \frac{256(5P)^{12}}{\delta^2}$$

holds true. We employ the change of variables  $\lambda - (a_m + (s+2)\tau_m + i(j+2)\tau_m = \tau_m w$  and by  $w_0$  we denote the image of point  $\lambda_n$  under this change:

$$\int_0^{s_m-s-1} \int_0^{N+1} \frac{1}{|w-w_0|^2} dv(\lambda) \leqslant \frac{256(5P)^{12}}{\delta^2}.$$

At that, for point  $w_0$  we have  $-2 \leq \text{Re } w_0$ ,  $\text{Im } w_0 \leq -1$ . Therefore, we can assume that  $w_0 = -2 - 2i$ , it lessens the left hand side in the latter inequality and the inequality is kept. Indices m, N have influence only on the integration limits, this is why in the latter inequality we can pass to the limit as  $m, N \to \infty$ . Since

$$s_m = \frac{b_m - a_m}{\tau_m} \to \infty,$$

and we assume that  $s \leq \frac{s_m}{2}$ , then  $s_m - s - 2 \geq \frac{s_m}{2} - 2 \to \infty$  and we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(x+2)^2 + (y+2)^2} dx dy \leqslant \frac{256(5P)^{12}}{\delta^2}.$$

The integral in the left hand side diverges and we arrive at the contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

This theorem requires to calculate function K(x) that is not always simple. It happens that it is sufficient to calculate function  $\widetilde{h}$ .

**Theorem 4** (a). Let I be an arbitrary interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , h(t) be a convex function on this interval,

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = \sup_{t \in I} (xt - h(t)).$$

Suppose that for some p > 0 there exist a sequence of segments  $[a_m; b_m]$  and positive numbers  $t_m, m = 1, 2, ...,$  such that

1) for some positive number  $\delta$  and for each  $x \in [a_m; b_m]$ 

$$\delta t_m \leqslant \tau(2\widetilde{h}, x, p) \leqslant t_m, \ m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

2) the relation

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{b_m - a_m}{t_m} = \infty$$

holds true.

Then there is Riesz basis of exponentials in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ .

*Proof.* In accordance with the results of works [3], [9], [10], for some constants c, C > 0 depending only on number p the relation

$$c\frac{e^{2\widetilde{h}(x)}}{\rho_1(2\widetilde{h},x,p)} \leqslant K(x) \leqslant C\frac{e^{2\widetilde{h}(x)}}{\rho_1(2\widetilde{h},x,p)}$$

holds true. It follows that for some other constants c, C > 0 the estimate

$$c\frac{e^{2\widetilde{h}(x)}}{\tau(2\widetilde{h},x,p)} \leqslant K(x) \leqslant C\frac{e^{2\widetilde{h}(x)}}{\tau(2\widetilde{h},x,p)}$$

holds true. Under the assumptions of the theorem we get

$$c \leqslant K(x)e^{-2\tilde{h}(x)}t_m) \leqslant \frac{C}{\delta}.$$

We let  $C' = \max(|\ln c|, |\ln C - \ln \delta|)$ . Then

$$|\ln K(x) - (2\widetilde{h}(x) - \ln t_m)| \leqslant C', \quad x \in [a_m; b_m].$$

It is obvious that  $\tau(2\tilde{h}, x, p) = \tau(2\tilde{h} - t_m, x, p)$ . Let  $a'_m = a_m + t_m$ ,  $b'_m = b_m - t_m$ . In the interval  $[a'_m; b'_m]$ , we apply Statement 4 of Lemma 1 to the functions  $u_1(x) = \ln K(x)$ ,  $u_2(x) = 2\tilde{h}(x) - \ln t_m$ . Then under the assumptions of the theorem

$$\frac{\delta p}{p+C'}t_m \leqslant \frac{p}{p+C'}\tau_2(x) \leqslant \tau_1(x) \leqslant \frac{p+C'}{p}\tau_2(x) \leqslant \frac{p+C'}{p}\tau_m.$$

We let  $t'_m = \frac{p+C'}{p}t_m$ ,  $\delta' = \frac{\delta p^2}{(p+C')^2}$ . The latter inequalities imply

$$\delta't_m'\leqslant \tau(\ln K,x,p)\leqslant t_m',\quad x\in [a_m';b_m'],$$

and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{b'_m - a'_m}{t'_m} = \infty.$$

Thus, the assumptions of Theorems 4 are satisfied and the proof of Theorem 4(a) is complete.

In the formulation of the latter theorem we have employed the quantity  $\tau(\lambda)$ , which is not always easy to calculate. Let us prove a lemma simplifying the calculation of quantity  $\tau(\lambda)$  for particular examples.

**Lemma 2.** Let u(x) be a twice differentiable convex function on some interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that for some point  $y \in I$  and some constants A, B, C > 0 the relation

$$A \leqslant \frac{u''(x)}{(u''(y))} \leqslant B, \quad as \ |x - y| \leqslant C\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}$$

holds true. Then

$$\min\left(C, \frac{p}{BC}\right)\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}} \leqslant \tau(u, y, p) \leqslant 32 \max\left(C, \frac{p}{AC}\right)\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}.$$

Proof. Since

$$u'(x) - u'(y) = u''(x^*)(x - y),$$

where  $x^*$  is a point between x, y, under the assumptoins of the theorem we have

$$Au''(y)|x-y| \le |u'(x)-u'(y)| \le Bu''(y)|x-y|, \text{ if } |x-y| \le C\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}.$$

Therefore, for each  $r \in [0; C\sqrt{1/u''(y)}]$  we have

$$\int_{y-r}^{y+r} |u'(x) - u'(y)| \leq Bu''(y) \int_{y-r}^{y+r} |x - y| = Bu''(y)r^{2} \leq BC^{2},$$
$$\int_{y-r}^{y+r} |u'(x) - u'(y)| \geq Au''(y) \int_{y-r}^{y+r} |x - y| = Au''(y)r^{2}.$$

The first inequality imply the estimate

$$\rho_2(u, y, BC^2) \geqslant C\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}.$$

We observe that

$$\rho_2(u, y, p) \geqslant \begin{cases} C\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}, & \text{if } p \geqslant BC^2, \\ \frac{p}{BC}\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}, & \text{if } p \leqslant BC^2. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\rho_2(u, y, p) \geqslant \min\left(C, \frac{p}{BC}\right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}.$$
(18)

On the other hand, as  $r = C\sqrt{1/u''(y)}$ , we have

$$\int_{y-r}^{y+r} |u'(x) - u'(y)| \ dx \geqslant Au''(y)r^2 = AC^2,$$

and this is why

$$\rho_2(u, y, AC^2) \leqslant C\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}.$$

We observe that

$$\rho_2(u, y, p) \leqslant \begin{cases} C\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}, & \text{if } p \leqslant AC^2, \\ \frac{p}{AC}\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}, & \text{if } p \geqslant AC^2. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{19}$$

Thus,

$$\rho_2(u, y, p) \leqslant \max\left(C, \frac{p}{AC}\right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}.$$

Together with estimate (18) it yields

$$\min\left(C\frac{p}{BC}\right)\sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}} \leqslant \rho_2(u, y, p) \leqslant \rho_2(u, y, 2p) = \rho(u, y, p),$$

$$\rho(u, y, p) = \rho_2(u, y, 2p) \leqslant 2\rho_2(u, y, p) \leqslant 2 \max\left(C, \frac{p}{AC}\right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{u''(y)}}.$$

Then we employ Statement 1 of Lemma 1 and arrive at the statement of Lemma 2. The proof is complete.  $\hfill\Box$ 

Now we are in position to formulate a useful particular case of Theorem 4(a).

**Theorem 4** (b). Let I be an arbitrary interval on  $\mathbb{R}$ , h(t) be a convex function on this interval.

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = \sup_{t \in I} (xt - h(t)).$$

Suppose that for some p > 0 there exists a sequence of segments  $[a_m; b_m]$  and positive numbers  $t_m, m = 1, 2, ...,$  such that

1) for some positive number  $\delta$  and each  $x \in [a_m; b_m]$ 

$$\delta t_m \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{1}{\widetilde{h}''(x)}} \leqslant t_m, \ m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

2) the relation

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{b_m - a_m}{t_m} = \infty$$

holds true.

Then there is not Riesz basis of exponentials in space  $L^2(I, \exp h)$ .

## Examples.

1. Let  $I = \mathbb{R}$  and  $h(t) = A|t|^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha \ge 1$ .

1a. If  $\alpha > 1$ , then

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \left(\frac{1}{A\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

i.e., the Young conjugate reads as  $B|x|^{\beta}$ , where  $\beta > 1$  is determined by the restriction  $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$ . Then for  $x \neq 0$ 

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{\widetilde{h}''(x)}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B\beta(\beta-1)}}|x|^{-\frac{\beta}{2}+1},$$

and Condition 1 of Theorem 4(b) is satisfied, for instance, for the sequence of segments [n; 2n]. Thus, there are no Riesz bases of exponentials in spaces  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, e^{A|t|^{\alpha}})$ .

1b. If  $\alpha = 1$ , i.e., h(t) = A|t|, then

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \leqslant A, \\ +\infty, & |x| > A, \end{cases}$$

and  $\rho(h, x, 1) = 1 - |x|$ . Therefore, the assumption of Theorem 4() can not be satisfied and we can not state the existence of Riesz bases in space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, e^{A|t|})$  on the basis of Theorem 4.

2. Let I=[-1;1] and  $h(t)=\frac{A}{(1-|t|)^{\alpha}}$ , where A>0,  $\alpha>0$ . Then

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = |x| - B|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}, \quad B = \frac{A(\alpha+1)}{(A\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}},$$

and

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{\widetilde{h}''(x)}} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{B}(\alpha+1)} |x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha+1)}}$$

and again Condition 1 of Theorem 4(b) holds true, for instance, for the sequence of segments [n; 2n]. Thus, there are no Riesz bases of exponentials in spaces  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \exp \frac{A}{(1-|t|)^{\alpha}})$ .

2a. We take A=0 in Example 2. Then

$$h(t) = 0, |t| \le 1,$$

i.e.,  $L^2(I, e^{h(t)}) = L^2[-1; 1]$  and

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = |x|, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(\widetilde{h}, x, 1) \geqslant |x| + 1.$$

Suppose that there exists a sequence of segments  $[a_m; b_m]$  satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4. Suppose that  $b_m > 0$ , then for sufficiently large m

$$b_m - a_m \geqslant 2\tau_m \geqslant 2\rho(\widetilde{h}, b_m, 1) \geqslant 2b_m + 2,$$

and thus,

$$a_m \leqslant -b_m - 2 < 0$$

and  $0 \in [a_m; b_m]$ . Then the estimate  $\rho(\widetilde{h}, 0, 1) \geqslant \delta \tau_m$  should be satisfied. Since  $\rho(\widetilde{h}, 0, 1) = 1$ , then  $\delta \leqslant \frac{1}{\tau_m}$ . However,  $\tau_m \to \infty$  as  $m \to \infty$ , thus,  $\delta = 0$ . We obtain the contradiction and Theorem 4 is not applicable in the present case.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. N.K. Bari. On bases in Hilbert space // Doklady Akad. Nauk. 54, 383-386 (1946). (in Russian).
- 2. N.K. Nikolskii, B.S. Pavlov, S.V. Khuschev. *Unconditional bases of exponentials and reproducing kernels*. I // Preprint LOMI, 8-80 (1980). (in Russian).
- 3. R.A. Bashmakov, K.P. Isaev. Asymptotic behavior of Laplace integrals // Vestnik Bashkirskogo Univer. 4, 3-6 (2006). (in Russian).
- 4. V.I. Lutsenko. *Unconditional bases of exponentials in Smirnov spaces* // PhD thesis in physics and mathematics, Institute of Mathematics CC USC RAS (1992). (in Russian).
- 5. K.P. Isaev, R.S. Yulmukhametov. Unconditional bases of reproducting kernels in Hilbert spaces of entire functions // Ufimskij Matem. Zhurn. 5:3, 67-77 (2013). [Ufa Math. J. 5:3, 67-76 (2013).]
- 6. R.A. Bashmakov. Exponentials systems in weighted Hilbert spaces on  $\mathbb{R}$  // PhD thesis in physics and mathematics, Institute of Mathematics CC USC RAS (2006). (in Russian.)
- 7. V.I. Lutsenko, R.S. Yulmukhametov. Generalization of the Paley-Wiener theorem in weighted spaces // Matem. Zametki.[Math. Notes. 48:5, 1131-1136 (1990).]
- 8. K.P. Isaev. Riesz bases of exponentials Riesz bases in Bergman spaces on convex polygons // Ufimskij Matem. Zhurn. 2:1, 60-71 (2010). (in Russian.)
- 9. V.V. Napalkov, R.A. Bashmakov, R.S. Yulmukhametov. Asymptotic behavior of Laplace integrals and geometric characteristic of convex funtions // Doklady Akad. Nauk. 413:1, 20-22 (2007). [Dokl. Math. 75:2, 190-192 (2007).]
- 10. R.A. Bashmakov, K.P. Isaev, R.S. Yulmukhametov. On geometric characteristics of convex functions and Laplace integrlas // Ufimskij Matem. Zhurn. 2:1, 3-16 (2010). (in Russian).
- 11. R.S. Yulmukhametov. Asymptotic approximation of subharmonic functions // Sibir. Matem. Zhurn. 26:4, 159-175 (1985). (in Russian.)
- 12. R.A. Bashmakov, A.A. Putintseva, R.S. Yulmukhametov. Entire functions of sine type and their applications // Alg. Anal. 22:5, 49-68 (2010). [St. Petersbg. Math. J. 22:5, 737-750 (2011).]

Rustem Abdraufovich Bashmakov,

Bashkir State University,

Zaki Validi str., 32,

450076, Ufa, Russia

E-mail: Bashmakov\_Rustem@mail.ru

Alla Alexandrovna Makhota,

Bashkir State University,

Zaki Validi str., 32,

450076, Ufa, Russia

E-mail: allarum@mail.ru

Kirill Vladimirovich Trounov,

Bashkir State University,

Zaki Validi str., 32,

450076, Ufa, Russia

E-mail: trounovkv@mail.ru