

DESCRIPTION OF ZERO SEQUENCES FOR HOLOMORPHIC AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF FINITE λ -TYPE IN A CLOSED HALF-STRIP

N.B. SOKULSKA

Abstract. We describe the zero sets of holomorphic and meromorphic functions f of finite λ -type in a closed half-strip satisfying $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$ on the boundary.

Keywords: holomorphic function, meromorphic function, function of finite λ - type, sequence of finite λ -density, λ -admissible sequence

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a meromorphic function in the closure of the half-strip

$$S = \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > 0, \quad 0 < t < 2\pi\}.$$

Suppose f has neither zeros nor poles on ∂S , and $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$, $\sigma \geq 0$. Denote by $\{s_j\}$ the zero sequence of function f in S , $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j$, by $\{p_j\}$ the sequence of its poles in S .

Let S^* be the strip S with the straight slits $\{\tau\sigma_j + it_j\}$, $\{\tau \operatorname{Re} p_j + i \operatorname{Im} p_j\}$, $1 \leq \tau < \infty$. Given $s_0 \in S^*$, suppose $\log f(s_0)$ is well-defined and let

$$\log f(s) = \log f(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad (1)$$

where integral is taken along a piecewise-smooth path in $S^* \cup \partial S$, which connects s_0 and s .

By $n(\eta, f)$ we denote the counting function of poles of f in the rectangle $R_\eta = \{\sigma + it : 0 < \sigma \leq \eta, \quad 0 \leq t < 2\pi\}$. We let

$$N(\sigma, f) = \int_0^\sigma n(\eta, f) d\eta. \quad (2)$$

and

$$c_0(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(\sigma + it)| dt. \quad (3)$$

The following Lemma is a counterpart of Jensen-Littlewood Theorem ([1]).

N.B. SOKULSKA, DESCRIPTION OF ZERO SEQUENCES FOR HOLOMORPHIC AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF FINITE λ -TYPE IN A CLOSED HALF-STRIP.

© SOKULSKA N.B. 2014.

Submitted January 24, 2014.

Lemma 1. [2] *Let f be a meromorphic function in the closure of half-strip S , $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$, $\sigma \geq 0$. Then*

$$N\left(\sigma, \frac{1}{f}\right) - N(\sigma, f) = c_0(\sigma, f) - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} c_0(\sigma_0, f) + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} - 1\right) c_0(0, f),$$

$$\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0. \quad (4)$$

The Nevanlinna characteristic of such functions was defined in [2] as

$$T(\sigma, f) = m_0(\sigma, f) - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} m_0(\sigma_0, f) + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} - 1\right) m_0(0, f) + N(\sigma, f), \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0,$$

where

$$m_0(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(\sigma + it)| dt.$$

Definition 1. *A positive non-decreasing continuous unbounded function $\lambda(\sigma)$ defined for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$ is said to be a growth function.*

Definition 2. *Let $\lambda(\sigma)$ be a growth function and f be a meromorphic function in \bar{S} , such that $f(\sigma + 2\pi i) = f(\sigma)$, $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$. We say that f is of finite λ -type if $T(\sigma, f) \leq A\lambda(\sigma + B)$, $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ for some constants $A > 0, B > 0$ and all $\sigma, \sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$.*

We denote by Λ the class of meromorphic functions of finite λ -type in \bar{S} and Λ_H the class of holomorphic functions of finite λ -type in \bar{S} .

In this paper we describe the zero sequences of holomorphic functions in Λ_H , as well as zero and pole sequences of meromorphic functions in Λ .

For entire and meromorphic in \mathbb{C} functions similar problems were solved by L. Rubel and B. Taylor ([3]), for holomorphic and meromorphic functions in a punctured plane the same was done by A. Kondratyuk and I. Laine ([4]).

2. DESCRIPTION OF ZERO SEQUENCES OF HOLOMORPHIC AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF FINITE λ -TYPE IN A HALF-STRIP

Let $Q = \{s_j\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers in \bar{S} . By $n(\eta, Q)$ we indicate the counting function of Q in the rectangle R_η and we let

$$N(\sigma, Q) = \int_0^\sigma n(\eta, Q) d\eta.$$

Definition 3. *A sequence $Q = \{s_j\}$ from \bar{S} has a finite λ -density if*

$$N(\sigma, Q) \leq A\lambda(\sigma + B)$$

for some positive constants A, B and all $\sigma, \sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$.

Definition 4. *A sequence $Q = \{s_j\}$ from \bar{S} is said to be λ -admissible if it has finite λ -density and there are positive constants A, B such that*

$$\frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{\sigma_1 < \operatorname{Re} s_j \leq \sigma_2} \left(\frac{1}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k \right| \leq \frac{A\lambda(\sigma_1 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + \frac{A\lambda(\sigma_2 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_2}},$$

for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote

$$c_k(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} \log |f(\sigma + it)| dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5)$$

For a meromorphic in \overline{R}_σ function f such that $f(\sigma) = f(\sigma + 2\pi i)$ the following relations hold true (see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(\sigma, f) &= \frac{e^{k\sigma}}{2k} \alpha_k(f) - \frac{e^{-k\sigma}}{2k} \overline{\alpha_{-k}}(f) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{s_j \in R_\sigma} \left[\left(\frac{e^\sigma}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k - \left(\frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^\sigma} \right)^k \right] - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{p_j \in R_\sigma} \left[\left(\frac{e^\sigma}{e^{p_j}} \right)^k - \left(\frac{e^{\overline{p_j}}}{e^\sigma} \right)^k \right], \quad (6) \\ c_{-k}(\sigma, f) &= \overline{c_k}(\sigma, f) \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where s_j, p_j are its zeroes and poles in \overline{R}_σ respectively, and

$$\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} \frac{f'(it)}{f(it)} dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem 1. *A sequence Q in \overline{S} is the zero sequence of the function in Λ_H if and only if it is λ -admissible.*

Proof. Let $Q = \{s_j\}$ be the zero sequence of a function f from Λ_H . Then by (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_k(\sigma_2, f)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} - \frac{c_k(\sigma_1, f)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} &= \frac{\alpha_k e^{k\sigma_2} - \overline{\alpha_{-k}} e^{-k\sigma_2}}{2k e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_2}} \left[\sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left(\frac{e^{\sigma_2}}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k - \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left(\frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right)^k \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_k e^{k\sigma_1} - \overline{\alpha_{-k}} e^{-k\sigma_1}}{2k e^{k\sigma_1}} - \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_1}} \left[\sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left(\frac{e^{\sigma_1}}{e^{s_j}} \right)^k - \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left(\frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right)^k \right] \\ &= \frac{\overline{\alpha_{-k}}}{2k} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} - \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} \right] + \frac{1}{2k} \left[\sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \frac{1}{(e^{s_j})^k} - \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \frac{1}{(e^{s_j})^k} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_1}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left(\frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right)^k - \frac{1}{2k e^{k\sigma_2}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left(\frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$.

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\sigma_1 < \operatorname{Re} s_j \leq \sigma_2} \frac{1}{(e^{s_j})^k} &= \frac{2c_k(\sigma_2, f)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} - \frac{2c_k(\sigma_1, f)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + \frac{\overline{\alpha_{-k}}}{k} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} - \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} \right] + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_2}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left(\frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right)^k - \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_1}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left(\frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right)^k. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_i}} \left| \frac{e^{\overline{s_j}}}{e^{\sigma_i}} \right|^k \leq \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_i}} 1 \leq n(\sigma_i + 1, \frac{1}{f}) \leq N(\sigma_i + 1, \frac{1}{f}) \leq A_1 \lambda(\sigma_i + 1 + B_1), \quad \sigma_i \in R_{\sigma_i}, i = 1, 2,$$

for some constants $A_1, B_1 > 0$.

We also get the estimate for the left-hand side of identity (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{\sigma_1 < \operatorname{Re} s_j \leq \sigma_2} \frac{1}{e^{ks_j}} \right| &\leq \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_2 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_1 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + \frac{|\bar{\alpha} - k|}{k} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} + \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_2}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_2}} \left| \frac{e^{\bar{s}_j}}{e^{\sigma_2}} \right|^k + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_1}} \sum_{s_j \in R_{\sigma_1}} \left| \frac{e^{\bar{s}_j}}{e^{\sigma_1}} \right|^k \\
&\leq \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_2 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{A_2 \lambda(\sigma_1 + B_2)}{e^{k\sigma_1}} + C \left[\frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_2}} + \frac{1}{e^{2k\sigma_1}} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_2}} N \left(\sigma_2 + 1, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{k e^{k\sigma_1}} N \left(\sigma_1 + 1, \frac{1}{f} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{A \lambda(\sigma_2 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{A \lambda(\sigma_1 + B)}{e^{k\sigma_1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sigma_2 > \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $A = \max\{A_1, A_2, C\}$, $B = \max\{B_1 + 1, B_2\}$.

Theorem 2 in [2] implies that the sequence Q has a finite λ -density. Hence, it is λ -admissible.

Let now $Q = \{s_j\}$ be λ -admissible. Then the sequence $Z = \{z_j\}$, $z_j = e^{s_j} \in \mathbb{C}$, is λ_1 -admissible in \mathbb{C} , where $\lambda_1(r) = \lambda(\log r)$. By the Rubel-Taylor Theorem [3, p. 84], (see also [5, p. 29]), there exists an entire function $F(z)$ of finite λ_1 -type with zero sequence $Z = \{z_j\}$. Therefore, the function $f(s) = F(e^s)$ is holomorphic of finite λ -type in \bar{S} with the zero sequence $\{s_j\}$. \square

Theorem 2. *A sequence Q in \bar{S} is the zero sequence of a function in Λ if and only if it has finite λ -density.*

Proof. If $Q = \{s_j\}$ is the zero sequence of a function f , $f \in \Lambda$, then from [2], we have

$$N(\sigma, Q) = N\left(\sigma, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq T(\sigma, f) \leq B\lambda(\sigma + C),$$

for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$ and some $B, C > 0$.

Let now $Q = \{s_j\}$ be a sequence of finite λ -density. Then the sequence $Z = \{z_j\}$, $z_j = e^{s_j}$, has the finite λ_1 -density if $\lambda_1(r) = \lambda(\log r)$. By the Rubel-Taylor Theorem [3, p. 88] (see also [5, p. 35]) there exist a meromorphic function F of finite λ_1 -type with zero sequence Z . The function $f(s) = F(e^s)$ is the meromorphic of finite λ -type in \bar{S} with zero sequence $\{s_j\}$. \square

Corollary 1. *A sequence $P = \{p_j\}$ is the pole sequence of a function f from Λ if and only if it has finite λ -density.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 2 to the function $\frac{1}{f}$. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J.E. Littlewood *On the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **22** (1924), 295-318.
2. N.B. Sokul's'ka *Meromorphic functions of finite λ -type in half-strip* // Carpathian Mathematical Publications 2012. V.4, 2. P. 328-339 (in Ukrainian).
3. L.A. Rubel, B.A. Taylor *Fourier series method for meromorphic functions* // Bull. Soc. Math. France 1968. 96. P. 53-96.
4. A. Kondratyuk, I. Laine *Meromorphic functions in multiply connected domains* // Fourier series method in complex analysis (Merkrijärvi, 2005), Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., **10** (2006), P. 9-111.
5. A.A. Kondratyuk *Fourier Series and Meromorphic Functions*. L'viv.: Izdat. L'viv Univ., 1988. 196 p (in Russian).

Natalia Bogdanovna Sokulska,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv,
Universytets'ka str. 1,
79000, Lviv, Ukraine
E-mail: natalya.sokulska@gmail.com