

## GENERALIZED DUNKL OPERATOR

I.I. KARAMOV, V.V. NAPALKOV

**Abstract.** In the paper we introduce a generalized Dunkl operator acting in the space of entire functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We study problems of harmonic analysis related with this operator and show its connection with the Gelfond-Leont'ev operator of generalized differentiation.

**Keywords:** Dunkl operator, eigenfunction, Dunkl convolution operator, Dunkl transform, characteristic function, hypercyclic operator.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H(\mathbb{C})$  be the space of entire functions with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets,  $H^*(\mathbb{C})$  is the strongly dual space for  $H(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the space of entire functions of exponential type. It is known that space  $H^*(\mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to  $H_0(\{\infty\})$ , which is the space of functions analytic in the vicinity of the point at infinity and vanishing at the point  $\infty$  (see, for instance, [1]). Moreover, if  $F \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$  and  $g_F \in H_0(\{\infty\})$  is the associated function (according to the mentioned isomorphism), then

$$(F, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(z) g_F(z) dz, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $C$  is a closed rectifiable contour enveloping all the singularities of function  $g_F$  and located in the analyticity domain for this function.

Consider the generalized Dunkl operator  $\Lambda$  on  $H(\mathbb{C})$

$$\Lambda f(z) = \frac{d}{dz} f(z) + \frac{c}{z} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j f(\alpha_j z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\alpha_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{m}}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, m-1}$ ,  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $m$  is a fixed natural number obeying  $m \geq 2$ . Without loss of generality, in what follows we assume  $c = 1$ .

This operator generalizes the studied before in work [2] Dunkl operator

$$Df(z) = \frac{d}{dz} f(z) + \frac{c}{z} (f(z) - f(-z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Dunkl operators (cf. [3]) are differential-difference operators with finite groups of reflections in some Euclidian spaces. These operators play an important role in various problems of mathematics and physics (cf., for instance, [4]). We study problems of harmonic analysis related with operator (1.2) (Dunkl shift operators, Dunkl convolution, Dunkl transform and so forth).

Consider the function  $g \in H_0(\{\infty\})$

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{m}}}{z - \frac{2\pi i j}{m}}.$$

---

I.I. KARAMOV, V.V. NAPALKOV, THE GENERALIZED DUNKL OPERATOR.

© KARAMOV I.I., NAPALKOV V.V. 2014.

The work is supported by RFBR (grant 11-01-97019).

Submitted December 26, 2013.

According to the aforementioned isomorphism, this function is associated with a functional  $F \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$ . We take the Laplace transform of this functional  $\widehat{F}(\mu) = (F, e^{\mu z})$ . Applying (1.1), the transform  $\widehat{F}$  can be written as

$$\widehat{F}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{\mu z} g(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{\mu z} \left( \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{m}}}{z - \frac{2\pi i j}{m}} \right) dz = \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{m}(\mu+1)}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here contour  $C$  envelopes the origin and points  $\frac{2\pi i j}{m}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, m-1}$ . We introduce the function

$$y(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)}. \quad (1.4)$$

In the second section we study the properties of eigenfunctions  $y_\lambda$  of operator  $\Lambda$  associated with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and obeying condition  $y_\lambda(0) = 1$ . We shall show that function  $y_\lambda$  is determined by the solution  $y_\lambda(z) = y(\lambda z)$ , where function  $y$  is defined by (1.4).

Then by (1.2) we construct Dunkl shift operator  $\tau_w$  (Section 3):

$$(\tau_w f)(z) = f(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \Lambda^k f(z), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.5)$$

Then Dunkl convolution operator of a functional  $T \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$  and a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  is determined as

$$M_T[f](z) = T * f(z) = \langle T_w, (\tau_w f)(z) \rangle, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.6)$$

In conclusion we introduce Dunkl transform of functional  $T \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$

$$\mathfrak{D}(T)(\lambda) = \check{T}(\lambda) = \langle T, y(\lambda z) \rangle, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.7)$$

which establishes a topological isomorphism between spaces  $H^*(\mathbb{C})$  and  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ . We also consider generalized convolution equations, both homogeneous and non-homogeneous.

## 2. EIGENFUNCTION OF DUNKL OPERATOR $\Lambda$

**Proposition 1.** *a) The eigenfunction  $y_\lambda$  of operator  $\Lambda$  associated with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and obeying  $y_\lambda(0) = 1$  is unique and is determined by formula (1.4).*

*b) Function  $y(z)$  is an entire function of exponential type, and its type is  $\sigma = 1$ .*

*Proof.* a) Indeed, let  $y$  is defined by (1.4), then

$$\Lambda(y(\lambda z)) = \Lambda \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k z^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k \Lambda(z^k)}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Taking into consideration that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(z^k) &= \frac{d}{dz} z^k + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j (\alpha_j^k z^k) = k z^{k-1} + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j^{k+1} z^k = \\ &= \left( k + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j^{k+1} \right) z^{k-1} = \widehat{F}(k) z^{k-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \widehat{F}(0) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

we obtain

$$\Lambda(y(\lambda z)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k z^{k-1}}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \widehat{F}(k) = \lambda \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k z^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \right) = \lambda y(\lambda z).$$

Let us prove the uniqueness of eigenfunction. Let  $d \in H(\mathbb{C})$  be such that  $\Lambda(d(\lambda z)) = \lambda d(\lambda z)$ . If  $d(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ , where  $b_0 = 1$ , then

$$\Lambda(d(\lambda z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \lambda^k \Lambda(z^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \lambda^k \widehat{F}(k) z^{k-1} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \widehat{F}(k) (\lambda z)^k. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand,

$$\Lambda(d(\lambda z)) = \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k (\lambda z)^k. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $b_0 = 1$ , it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence,  $d(\lambda z) \equiv y(\lambda z)$ .

b) We recall that function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  is entire of exponential type if

$$\exists C, a > 0: |f(z)| \leq C e^{a|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It follows from (1.4) that

$$|y(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^k}{|\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)|}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let us estimate  $|\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)|$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)| &= |\widehat{F}(1)||\widehat{F}(2)|\dots|\widehat{F}(k)| = \\ &= \left| 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{\frac{4\pi i j}{m}} \right| \left| 2 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{\frac{6\pi i j}{m}} \right| \dots \left| k + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi i j}{m}} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left| e^{\frac{4\pi i j}{m}} \right| \right) \left( 2 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left| e^{\frac{6\pi i j}{m}} \right| \right) \dots \left( k + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left| e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi i j}{m}} \right| \right) = \\ &= (1+m)(2+m)\dots(k+m) = \frac{(k+m)!}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\widehat{F}(k)$  takes the values:

$$\widehat{F}(k) = \begin{cases} k+m, & \text{if } k = lm - 1, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}; \\ k, & \text{if } k \neq lm - 1, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

then it is obvious that

$$|\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)| \geq k!. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus,

$$k! \leq |\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)| \leq \frac{(k+m)!}{m!}. \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.6) we get

$$|y(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^k}{k!} = e^{|z|}. \quad (2.8)$$

Consider the function  $\psi(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{(k+m)!} z^k$  and let us calculate its order. We recall that

the order of an arbitrary entire function  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  can be calculated by the formula (cf.

[5])

$$\rho_f = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \ln k}{\ln \left| \frac{1}{a_k} \right|}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\psi &= \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \ln k}{\ln \frac{(k+m)!}{m!}} = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \ln k}{\ln(k+m)! - \ln m!} \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \ln k}{\ln k!} = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \ln k}{\ln \sqrt{2\pi k} + k(\ln k - 1)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{|\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)|} \geq \frac{m!}{(k+m)!}$ , the orders of corresponding functions satisfy inequality  $\rho_y \geq \rho_\psi$ . Employing estimate (2.8), we conclude  $1 \leq \rho_y \leq 1$ . The latter means that the order of function  $y$  is also 1.

Let us calculate the type for  $y$ . Since  $\rho_y = 1$ , we can employ formula (cf. [5])

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{\frac{1}{\rho_f}} \sqrt[k]{|a_k|} = (\sigma_f e \rho_f)^{\frac{1}{\rho_f}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $a_k$  the coefficients of function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $0 < \rho_f < \infty$  and  $\sigma_f$  is the order and type of  $f$ , respectively.

In our case

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad a_0 = 1.$$

Then, employing estimate (2.6) and Stirling approximation  $k! \approx \sqrt{2\pi k} \left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{\frac{1}{\rho_y}} \sqrt[k]{|a_k|} &= \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} k \sqrt[k]{\frac{1}{|\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)|}} = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} k \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{k!}} \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} k \frac{e}{k(2\pi k)^{\frac{1}{2k}}} = e \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi k)^{\frac{1}{2k}}} = e. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.9), we find  $\sigma_y e = e$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_y = 1$ . Thus,  $y \in P_{\mathbb{C}}$ . □

**Proposition 2.** *The following product formula*

$$y(\lambda z) \cdot y(\lambda w) = \tau_w(y(\lambda \cdot))(z), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.10)$$

*holds true.*

*Proof.* Employing (1.4), we obtain

$$y(\lambda z) \cdot y(\lambda w) = \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k w^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \right) \cdot y(\lambda z) = y(\lambda z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \lambda^k y(\lambda z).$$

Since  $\Lambda^k y(\lambda z) = \lambda^k y(\lambda z)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} y(\lambda z) \cdot y(\lambda w) &= y(\lambda z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \lambda^k y(\lambda z) \\ &= y(\lambda z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \Lambda^k y(\lambda z) = \tau_w(y(\lambda \cdot))(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 3. DUNKL SHIFT OPERATOR. DUNKL CONVOLUTION

We consider first the properties of operator (1.2).

**Proposition 3.** *Operator  $\Lambda$  is a continuous mapping from  $H(\mathbb{C})$  into  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ . Without loss of generality we can let  $f(0) = 1$ . We write (1.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda f(z) &= f'(z) + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j (f(\alpha_j z) - 1) + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j \\ &= f'(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j \frac{(f(\alpha_j z) - 1)}{z} = f'(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j^2 \int_0^1 f'(\alpha_j z t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Then employing Cauchy integral formula, for each  $R > 0$  we obtain

$$\|\Lambda f\|_R \leq \|f'\|_R + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |\alpha_j|^2 \|f'\|_R = (m+1) \|f'\|_R \leq (m+1) \frac{\|f\|_{2R}}{R},$$

where  $\|f\|_R = \max_{|z| \leq R} |f(z)|$ . Thus,  $\Lambda: H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$  is a continuous operator.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $f(0) = 1$ , then  $f$  can be represented as*

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda^k f)(0)}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_0 = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then by the continuity of operator  $\Lambda$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^k f)(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Lambda^k(z^n), \\ \Lambda^k(z^n) &= \widehat{F}(n)\widehat{F}(n-1)\dots\widehat{F}(n-k+1)z^{n-k}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\Lambda^k(z^k) = \widehat{F}(k)\widehat{F}(k-1)\dots\widehat{F}(1)$  and  $\Lambda^k(z^n) = 0$  as  $n < k$  or  $n > k$ . Hence,

$$(\Lambda^k f)(0) = a_k \widehat{F}(k)\widehat{F}(k-1)\dots\widehat{F}(1).$$

Thus,

$$a_k = \frac{(\Lambda^k f)(0)}{\widehat{F}(k)\widehat{F}(k-1)\dots\widehat{F}(1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Substituting the latter into (3.2), we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Series (1.5) converges in  $H(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tau_w: H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$  is a continuous operator.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ . By (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^2 f)(z) &= f''(z) + \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{j_1}^2 \int_0^1 (1 + \alpha_{j_1} t) f''(\alpha_{j_1} z t) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{j_1}^3 \alpha_{j_2}^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t_1 f''(\alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} z t_1 t_2) dt_1 dt_2, \\ (\Lambda^3 f)(z) &= f'''(z) + \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{j_1}^2 \int_0^1 (1 + \alpha_{j_1} t + \alpha_{j_1}^2 t^2) f'''(\alpha_{j_1} z t) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{j_1}^3 \alpha_{j_2}^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t_1 (1 + \alpha_{j_1} t_1 + \alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} t_1 t_2) f'''(\alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} z t_1 t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j_3=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{j_1}^4 \alpha_{j_2}^3 \alpha_{j_3}^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t_1^2 t_2 f'''(\alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} \alpha_{j_3} z t_1 t_2 t_3) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3. \end{aligned}$$

By induction we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^n f)(z) &= f^{(n)}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \dots \sum_{j_k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{j_1}^{k+1} \alpha_{j_2}^k \dots \alpha_{j_k}^2 \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 P_{k,n}(t_1, \dots, t_k) f^{(n)}(\alpha_{j_1} \dots \alpha_{j_k} z t_1 \dots t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_{k,n}$  is a polynomial in  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , satisfying inequality

$$|P_{k,n}(t_1, \dots, t_k)| \leq \binom{n}{k}, \quad t_1, \dots, t_k \in [0, 1].$$

Then taking into consideration that  $|\alpha_{j_1}| = |\alpha_{j_2}| = \dots = |\alpha_{j_k}| = 1$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^n f\|_R &\leq \|f^{(n)}\|_R + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \dots \sum_{j_k=0}^{m-1} |\alpha_{j_1}|^{k+1} |\alpha_{j_2}|^k \dots |\alpha_{j_k}|^2 \|f^{(n)}\|_R \\ &= \left( 1 + \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \dots \sum_{j_k=0}^{m-1} (2^n - 1) \right) \|f^{(n)}\|_R = (1 + (2^n - 1)m^n) \|f^{(n)}\|_R \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy integral formula, for each  $R > 0$

$$\|f^{(n)}\|_R \leq \frac{n!}{R^n} \|f\|_{2R}.$$

Then

$$\|\Lambda^n f\|_R \leq (1 + (2^n - 1)m^n) \frac{n!}{R^n} \|f\|_{2R}. \quad (3.3)$$

Employing (3.3), we find that for each  $R > 0$  and  $|z| \leq R$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{w^n (\Lambda^n f)(z)}{\widehat{F}(1) \widehat{F}(2) \dots \widehat{F}(n)} \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{w^n \|\Lambda^n f\|_R}{n!} \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{2m|w|}{R}.$$

It implies that for each  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  series (1.5) converges uniformly on each compact set  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,  $\tau_w f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ . Let us show that  $\|\tau_w f\|_R \leq M(R, w)\|f\|_{2R+4m|w|}$ . This estimate will imply the continuity of operator  $\tau_w$ . Indeed, applying (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_w f\|_R &\leq \|\tau_w f\|_{R+2m|w|} = \left\| f(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \Lambda^k f(z) \right\|_{R+2m|w|} \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|w|^k}{(R+2m|w|)^k} (1 + (2^k - 1)m^k) \right) \|f\|_{2(R+2m|w|)} \leq M(R, w)\|f\|_{2R+4m|w|}. \end{aligned}$$

The obtained series converges since

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{(1 + (2^k - 1)m^k)|w|^k}{(R + 2m|w|)^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \frac{2m|w|}{R + 2m|w|} < 1.$$

Therefore,  $\tau_w$  is a continuous operator.  $\square$

The following statement characterizes the relation between generalized Dunkl operator and Gel'fond-Leont'ev operator.

**Theorem 2.** *Operator (1.2) is a particular case of Gel'fond-Leont'ev generalized differentiation operator.*

*Proof.* We take a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Gel'fond-Leont'ev generalized differentiation operator (cf. [6]) acts on function  $f$  as

$$D^k[f](z) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \frac{b_{n-k}}{b_n} z^{n-k},$$

where  $b_n$  are the coefficients of some entire function  $F(z)$  of order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) and type  $\sigma$  ( $0 < \sigma < \infty$ ), at that  $b_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \sqrt[n]{|b_n|} = (\sigma e \rho)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Consider the function

$$y(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(n)} z^n, \quad b_0 = 1.$$

It is obvious that  $b_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Since function  $y$  is of exponential type, taking into consideration that  $\sigma = 1$ , employing estimate (2.7) and Stirling's approximation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sqrt[n]{|b_n|} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{|\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(n)|}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n!}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \frac{e}{n(2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2n}}} = e \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2n}}} = e. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, condition (3.4) is satisfied. We apply operator  $\Lambda$  to  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\Lambda f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Lambda(z^n).$$

It follows from (2.2) that  $\Lambda(z^n) = \widehat{F}(n)z^{n-1}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \widehat{F}(n) z^{n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} z^{n-1}, \\ \Lambda^2 f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \widehat{F}(n) \Lambda(z^{n-1}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \widehat{F}(n) \widehat{F}(n-1) z^{n-2} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \frac{b_{n-2}}{b_n} z^{n-2}.\end{aligned}$$

By induction in  $k$ , we see that if identity

$$\Lambda^{k-1} f(z) = \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} a_n \widehat{F}(n) \widehat{F}(n-1) \dots \widehat{F}(n-k+2) z^{n-k+1} = \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{b_{n-k+1}}{b_n} z^{n-k+1}$$

is satisfied, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^k f(z) &= \Lambda(\Lambda^{k-1} f(z)) = \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} a_n \widehat{F}(n) \widehat{F}(n-1) \dots \widehat{F}(n-k+2) \Lambda(z^{n-k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \widehat{F}(n) \widehat{F}(n-1) \dots \widehat{F}(n-k+2) \widehat{F}(n-k+1) z^{n-k} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \frac{b_{n-k}}{b_n} z^{n-k}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have obtained the required representation.  $\square$

Let us provide some properties of Dunkl convolution operator (1.6). Let  $X$  be a topological vector space,  $L$  be a linear continuous operator in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.** A linear continuous operator  $L: X \rightarrow X$  is called hypercyclic, if there exists an element  $x \in X$  (called hypercyclic vector of operator  $L$ ), such that its orbit  $\{L^n x, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

Each hypercyclic operator  $L$  is topologically transitive in the sense of dynamical system, i.e., for each pair of open and non-empty subsets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $L^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.** Point  $x \in X$  is called periodic for  $L$  if  $L^n x = x$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 3.** Operator  $L: X \rightarrow X$  is called chaotic if it is topologically transitive and its has dense set of periodic points.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $T \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$ .

- 1) Operator (1.6) acts continuously from  $H(\mathbb{C})$  into  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .
- 2) Dunkl convolution operator is hypercyclic and chaotic on  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* 1) Consider sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in H(\mathbb{C})$  :

$$f_n \rightarrow f, M_T[f_n] \rightarrow g \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, f, g \in H(\mathbb{C}).$$

For each  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  it follows from Proposition 4 that

$$\tau_w f_n \rightarrow \tau_w f \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } H(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then

$$M_T[f_n](z) \rightarrow M_T[f](z) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for each } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Applying theorem on closed graph, we obtain that  $M_T: H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$  is a continuous operator.

2) Since Dunkl generalized differentiation operator is a particular case of Gel'fond-Leont'ev generalized differentiation operator, Theorem 1 in work [7] holds true which implies that Dunkl convolution operator (1.6) is hypercyclic and chaotic.  $\square$

#### 4. COROLLARIES OF THEOREM 2

Theorem 2 implies a series of important corollaries.



**4.1. Dunkl transform.** Denote by  $P_a(\mathbb{C})$  the space of entire functions of exponential type:

$$|f(z)| \leq C e^{a|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad C, a > 0,$$

where constant  $C$  depends on  $f$ . In this space we introduce norm  $p_a$

$$p_a(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| e^{-a|z|}.$$

As it is known  $P_a(\mathbb{C})$  is a Banach space. Then

$$P_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcup_{a>0} P_a(\mathbb{C}).$$

Space  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$  is equipped by the topology of inductive limit. We define Dunkl functional  $T \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$  by the formula

$$\mathfrak{D}(T)(z) = \langle T_w, y(wz) \rangle = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(n)} z^n,$$

where  $a_n = \langle T_w, w^n \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Applying the result of work [8], we obtain

**Corollary 1.** *Dunkl transform  $\mathfrak{D}$  makes a topological isomorphism between spaces  $H^*(\mathbb{C})$  and  $P(\mathbb{C})$ .*

**4.2. Convolution equation generated by generalized Dunkl operator.** Consider Dunkl convolution operator  $M_T[f](z) = \langle T_w, (\tau_w f)(z) \rangle$ ,  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ . In view of (1.5) we rewrite it as

$$M_T[f](z) = a_0 f(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \Lambda^k f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \Lambda^k f(z), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$c_0 = a_0, \quad c_k = \frac{a_k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)}, \quad a_k = \langle T_w, w^k \rangle, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

**4.2.1. Homogeneous convolution equation.** Homogeneous convolution equation is an equation of the form  $M_T[f](z) = 0$ . By (4.1) we get

$$M_T[f](z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \Lambda^k f(z) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

The characteristic function of equation (4.2) is

$$\check{T}(\lambda) = \langle T, y(\lambda z) \rangle = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\widehat{F}(1)\widehat{F}(2)\dots\widehat{F}(k)} \lambda^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \lambda^k.$$

Taking into consideration Theorem 2 and the result of work [9], we obtain that equation (4.2) has solutions of the form  $z^m y^{(m)}(\lambda_n z)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots, p_n - 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$  are the zeroes of characteristic function  $\check{T}(\lambda)$  of multiplicities  $p_1, p_2, \dots$ , respectively.

We call the solution of the form  $z^m y^{(m)}(\lambda_n z)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots, p_n - 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  primitive solutions to equation (4.2). We indicate the set of such solutions by  $E$ . Let  $W$  be a set of all entire solutions to equation (4.2). Then [9, Thm. 3.3.5] implies

**Corollary 2.** *The closure of linear span of set  $E$  in  $H(\mathbb{C})$  coincides with  $W$ .*

In  $H(\mathbb{C})$  we consider the non-homogeneous convolution equation

$$M_T[f](z) = g(z), \quad g(z) \in H(\mathbb{C}). \quad (4.3)$$

**Corollary 3** ([9]). *Equation (4.3) is solvable in  $H(\mathbb{C})$  for each function  $g \in H(\mathbb{C})$ .*

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. B.Ya. Levin. *Distribution of zeroes of entire functions*. GITTL, Moscow (1956). [Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. (1964).]
2. J.J. Betancor, M. Sifi, K. Trimeche. *Hypercyclic and chaotic convolution operators associated with the Dunkl operators on  $\mathbb{C}$* . Acta Math. Hungar. **106**:1-2, 101-116 (2005).
3. C.F. Dunkl. *Differential-difference operators associated with reflections groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311**:1, 167-183 (1989).
4. M. Rösler. *Dunkl operators: theory and applications*. in “Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions (Leuven, 2002)”. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 93-135 (2003).
5. A.F. Leont’ev. *Entire functions. Exponential series*. Nauka, Moscow (1983).
6. A.O. Gel’fond, A.F. Leont’ev. *On a generalization of Fourier series*. Matem. sbornik. **29**:3, 477-500 (1951). (in Russian).
7. V.É. Kim. *Hypercyclicity and chaotic character of generalized convolution operators generated by Gel’fond-Leont’ev operators*. Matem. zametki. **85**:6, 849-856 (2009). [Math. Notes. **85**:6, 807-813 (2009).]
8. S.V. Panyushkin. *Generalized fourier transform and its applications*. Matem. zametki. **79**:4, 581-596 (2006). [Math. Notes. **79**:4, 537-550 (2006).]
9. A.F. Leont’ev. *Generalization of series of exponentials*. Moscow, Nauka (1981). (in Russian).

Il’mir Irshatovich Karamov,  
Ufa State Aviation Technical University,  
Karl Marx str. 12,  
450008, Ufa, Russia  
E-mail: [ilmir.karamov@gmail.com](mailto:ilmir.karamov@gmail.com)

Valentin Vasil’evich Napalkov,  
Institute of Mathematics CC USC RAS,  
Chernyshevskii str., 112,  
450008, Ufa, Russia  
E-mail: [napalkov@matem.anrb.ru](mailto:napalkov@matem.anrb.ru)