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#### Abstract

We investigate the special solution of Korteweg-de Vries equation. This solution describes the influence of small dispersion on the process of transformation from weak to strong discontinuities in inviscid fluid dynamics. This solution also satisfies the fifth order ordinary differential equation. We construct the asymptotic solution in the Witham zone up to a phase shift. We obtain an equation for phase shift and, using the numerical experiments, we choose the concrete solution of this equation. This solution is a constant function.
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## 1. Introduction

In their papers [1-3] A.M. Ilyin and S.V. Zaharov started to research the problem of influence of small dissipation on processes of transformation of weak discontinuities to strong ones. It is shown in these papers, that this process is in general described by special solution of the Burgers' equation. It is shown in the paper [4], that in problems with small the analogous role is played by two special solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdV)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+u u_{x}+u_{x x x}=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we are intended to research one of them with the present asymptotic forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{x \rightarrow-\infty}=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{x \rightarrow \infty}=\left(-t-\sqrt{t^{2}+4 x}\right) / 2 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution $u(x, t)$ plays a universal role [4] in problems about generation of nondissipative shock waves [4-6]. In the paper 4 to solve the problem (1.11.2) there is an asymptotic solution when $x^{2}+t^{2} \rightarrow \infty$, that is given by quasiprime solutions of the Whitham equations in the domain of continuous oscillation. However, there still was an indefinite phase shift in this solution. In this paper this phase shift is defined by the method, described in [7].

It is shown in [4], that the solution $u(x, t)$ satisfies an fifth-order ordinary differential equation by the variable $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{x x x x}+\frac{5 u_{x x} u}{3}+\frac{5 u_{x}^{2}}{6}+\frac{5 u^{3}}{18}\right)_{x}^{\prime}-\frac{2 u+x u_{x}-3 t\left(u_{x x x}+u u_{x}\right)}{6}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (1.3) corresponds to a combination of stationary parts of symmetries of KdV equations. One of them is the highest (generalized) symmetry of the fifth order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\tau_{5}}=\left(u_{x x x x}+\frac{5 u_{x x} u}{3}+\frac{5 u_{x}^{2}}{6}+\frac{5 u^{3}}{18}\right)_{x}^{\prime} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the second one is a classical symmetry of extension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\tau_{r}}=2 u+x u_{x}-3 t\left(u_{x x x}+u u_{x}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The equations (1.3) can be called the first highest analogue of the Painleve equation I, see 6.2 [4].

The article consists of two parts. In part one it is shown how the problem (1.1)1.2) generates during description of nondissipative shock waves. For this purpose we consider the Cauchy problem for perturbed generalized Hopf equation with initial data, experiencing a weak discontinuity. It is shown, that in the neighbourhood of the point of the gradient catastrophe for the general term of asymptotic there occurs the problem under study. In part two we construct an asymptotic solution of the problem (1.11.2) by method, applying presence of two equations, for which the equation $u(x, t)$ holds. For the indefinite phase shift we obtain an ordinary linear equation fo the third order. The certain solution of this equation is chosen with the help of numerical experiments: modelling of the solution $u(x, t)$ and constructed asymptotic solution.

## 2. Origin of the problem (1.1,1.2)

In the paper [4] the origin of the problem (1.1)(1.2) is shown on the example of perturbed generalized Hopf equation, equations of shallow water and dispersion non-linear Schrodinger equation. The present paper describes the origin of this problem in more details.

Let us consider the Cauchy problem for the function $U(X, T)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{T}+g(U) U_{X}+\varepsilon^{3} U_{X X X}=0, \\
& U(X, 0)=F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
F_{-}(x), & x<0, \\
F_{+}(x), & x \geq 0 .
\end{array}, \quad F_{-}(0)=F_{+}(0) .\right. \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By means of substitution of variables and transformations $\varepsilon$ wa can obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-}(0)=F_{+}(0)=0, \quad g(0)=0, \quad g^{\prime}(0)=1 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We impose conditions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
g^{\prime}(U)>0, \quad F_{-}^{\prime}(0)>F_{+}^{\prime}(0), \quad F_{+}^{\prime}(0)<0,  \tag{2.3}\\
F^{\prime}(X) g^{\prime}(F(X)) \notin\left[F_{+}^{\prime}(0), 0\right], \quad \forall x \neq 0,
\end{array}
$$

on the initial data, which ensures existence of a weak discontinuity of the initial data and occurrence of the gradient catastrophe (strong discontinuity) for the characteristic $X=0$ in some moment of time $T^{*}$ in the unperturbed equation $(\varepsilon=0)$.

We are intended to construct an asymptotic solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1) in the form of the series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(X, T)=U_{0}(X, T)+\varepsilon^{3} U_{1}(X, T)+\ldots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant term and the first correction satisfy the problems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{T} U_{0}+g\left(U_{0}\right) \partial_{X} U_{0}=0, \quad U_{0}(X, 0)=F(X)  \tag{2.5}\\
\partial_{T} U_{1}+g\left(U_{0}\right) \partial_{X} U_{1}+g^{\prime}\left(U_{0}\right) \partial_{X} U_{0} U_{1}+\partial_{X}^{3} U_{0}=0, \quad U_{1}(X, 0)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution of the problem (2.5) is written in the implicit form by the method of characteristics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}=F\left(X-g\left(U_{0}\right) T\right), \quad X \neq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the problem (2.6) can be also obtained by the method of characteristics and it can be written explicitly in terms of the function $U_{0}(X, T)$.

The point of the gradient of catastrophe $X^{*}, T^{*}, U^{*}$ is defined by the correlations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=-\frac{1}{F_{+}^{\prime}(0)}, \quad U^{*}=0, \quad X^{*}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define behaviour of the solution $U_{0}(X, T), U_{1}(X, T)$ in the neighbourhood of the line $X=0$, subject to limits for the initial data we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{0}(X, T)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{F_{+}^{\prime}(0)}{1+T F_{+}^{\prime}(0)} X+\frac{F_{+}^{\prime \prime}(0)-T F_{+}^{\prime}(0) g^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2\left(1+T F_{+}^{\prime}(0)\right)^{3}} X^{2}+O\left(X^{3}\right), \quad X>0, \\
\frac{F_{-}^{\prime}(0)}{1+T F_{-}^{\prime}(0)} X+\frac{F_{-}^{\prime \prime}(0)-T F_{-}^{\prime}(0) g^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2\left(1+T F_{-}^{\prime}(0)\right)^{3}} X^{2}+O\left(X^{3}\right), \quad X<0
\end{array}\right. \\
U_{1}(X, T)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{U_{10}^{+}(T)}{\left(1+T F_{+}^{\prime}(0)\right)^{4}}+U_{11}^{+}(T) X+O\left(X^{2}\right), \quad X>0, \\
\frac{U_{10}^{-}(T)}{\left(1+T F_{-}^{\prime}(0)\right)^{4}}+U_{11}^{-}(T) X+O\left(X^{2}\right), \quad X<0
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Is is seen, that the first derivative is not a discontinuous function, moreover, the first correction $U_{1}(X, T)$ experiences a disconnection in the point $X=0$ when $T>0$. Therefore, in the neighbourhood of the line $X=0$ it is necessary to asymptotic another way. In the neighbourhood of this line it is needed to make an extension of the variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(X, T, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon V(y, T, \varepsilon), \quad x=\varepsilon y . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

After this the problem (2.1) in new variables takes the form:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
V_{T}+V V_{y}+V_{y y y}+(U(\varepsilon V) / \varepsilon-V) V_{y}=0, \\
V(y, 0)=F(\varepsilon y) / \varepsilon= \begin{cases}F_{-}^{\prime}(0) y+\varepsilon F_{-}^{\prime \prime}(0) y^{2}+\ldots, & y<0, \\
F_{+}^{\prime}(0) y+\varepsilon F_{+}^{\prime \prime}(0) y^{2}+\ldots, & y>0 .\end{cases} \tag{2.10}
\end{array}
$$

Formal asymptotic of the function $V$ can be constructed in the form of the series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(y, T, \varepsilon)=V_{0}(y, T)+\varepsilon V_{1}(y, t)+\ldots . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem for the dominant term of the asymptotic is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
V_{T}^{0}+V^{0} V_{y}^{0}+V_{y y y}^{0}=0, \\
V^{0}(y, 0)= \begin{cases}F_{-}^{\prime}(0) y, & y<0 \\
F_{+}^{\prime}(0) y, & y>0\end{cases} \tag{2.12}
\end{array}
$$

In case $F_{-}^{\prime}(0)=0$ existence of solution of this problem on the section $T \in\left(0, T^{*}\right)$ was proved by Faminsky [8]. To solve this problem (2.12) the asymptotic, resulting from necessity of matching with the decomposition for the function $U(X, T)$

$$
V(y, T) \rightarrow \frac{F_{+}^{\prime}(0)}{1+T F_{+}^{\prime}(0)} y, y \rightarrow+\infty, \quad V(y, T) \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow-\infty
$$

holds. Though, in the neighbourhood of the point of the gradient catastrophe $X^{*}, T^{*}$ decompositions for $U(X, T)$ and for $V(y, T)$ do not hold. In the neighbourhood of this point there is another decomposition needed.

We study the neighbourhood of the point $\left(0, T^{*}\right)$ with the help of the external decomposition (2.4). Let us write the behaviour of the solution $U_{0}(X, T)$ in the neighbourhood of the point of the gradient catastrophe in the left and in the right from the line $X=0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
X-\left(g^{\prime \prime}(0)+F_{+}^{\prime \prime}(0)\left(T^{*}\right)^{2}\right) U^{2} / 2-U\left(T-T^{*}\right)+\ldots=0, X>0  \tag{2.13}\\
X-\left(\frac{1}{F_{-}^{\prime}(0)}+T\right) U+\ldots=0, X<0 \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here and below the constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\left(g^{\prime \prime}(0)+F_{+}^{\prime \prime}(0)\left(T^{*}\right)^{2}\right) / 2>0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is considered to be positive, due to the condition (2.3) it is not negative, and it is positive in general position.

With respect to the method of matching of asymptotic decompositions [?] we are intended to carry out expansion of variables in the neighbourhood of the point of the gradient catastrophe:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(X, T)=a \varepsilon^{\alpha} u, \quad T-T^{*}=b \varepsilon^{\beta} t, \quad X=c \varepsilon^{\gamma} x . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2.1) and formulae (2.14) with new variables take the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a}{b} \varepsilon^{\alpha-\beta} u_{t}+\frac{a^{2}}{c} \varepsilon^{2 \alpha-\gamma} u u_{t}+\frac{a}{c^{3}} \varepsilon^{3+\alpha-3 \gamma} u_{x x x}+\frac{a^{3}}{c} \varepsilon^{3 \alpha-\gamma} g^{\prime \prime}(0) u^{2} u_{x}+\ldots=0, \\
& c \varepsilon^{\gamma} x-\delta a^{2} \varepsilon^{2 \alpha} u^{2}-a b \varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta} u t+\ldots=0, \quad x \gg 1,  \tag{2.17}\\
& c \varepsilon^{\gamma} x-\left(\frac{1}{F_{-}^{\prime}(0)}+T^{*}\right) a \varepsilon^{\alpha} u+\ldots=0, \quad x \ll-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Having demanded the equality of coefficients relative to the first three summands in the first two equations, we obtain the system:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha-\beta=2 \alpha-\gamma=3+\alpha-3 \gamma, \quad \gamma=2 \alpha=\alpha+\beta, \\
a / b=a^{2} / c=a / c^{3}, \quad c=\delta a^{2}=a b .
\end{array}
$$

This system has the following solution:

$$
\alpha=\beta=\frac{3}{5}, \quad \gamma=\frac{6}{5}, \quad a=\delta^{-2 / 5}, \quad b=\delta^{3 / 5}, \quad c=\delta^{1 / 5} .
$$

After the expansion (2.16) with the given parameters, the equations (2.17) take the form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t}+u u_{x}+u_{x x x}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3 / 5}\right)=0 \\
x-u^{2}-u t+O\left(\varepsilon^{3 / 5}\right)=0, x \gg 1, \quad u+O\left(\varepsilon^{3 / 5}\right)=0, x \ll-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This form in general coincides with the problem (1.111.2).

## 3. Defining the phase shift

The asymptotic solution of the problem (1.1)|1.2|(1.3) when $t \rightarrow \infty$ consists of several parts [4]. The zone of continuous oscillations is of special interest. Let us make a natural substitution of the variables

$$
u=t U(t, s), \quad s=\frac{x}{t^{2}}
$$

After it the equations (1.1)(1.3) take the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
t^{-5} U_{s s s}+t U_{t}-2 s U_{s}+U U_{s}+U=0  \tag{3.1}\\
t^{-10} U_{s s s s s}+\frac{1}{6} t^{-5}\left(20 U_{s} U_{s s}+(10 U+3) U_{s s s}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(5 U^{2}-s+3 U\right) U_{s}-\frac{1}{3} U=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the equation (3.2) all derivatives by the variable $x$ of the third and higher order can be substituted due to the equation (3.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 t^{-5}\left(\left(U_{s}+9\right) U_{s s}+6 s U_{s s s}\right)-6 t^{-4} U_{s s t}+\left(5 s+U^{2}+8 s U\right) U_{s}-(4 U+3) t U_{t}-(5+4 U) U=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic solution $U$ of this system (3.1|3.3) is constructed in the form of the series by inverse power series $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=U_{0}(\varphi, s)+t^{-5 / 4} U_{1}(\varphi, s)+t^{-5 / 2} U_{2}(\varphi, s)+\ldots, \quad t \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $U_{0}, U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are $2 \pi$ periodic functions of the fast variable $\varphi$. This variable has the form

$$
\varphi=t^{5 / 2} f(s)+n(s),
$$

where $n(s)$ is the required phase shift.

We obtain the following non-linear system of equations by the fast variable $\varphi$ for the function $U_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{0}+\left(a(s)+U_{0}\right) \partial_{\varphi} U_{0} & =0 \\
6 a(s)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{0}-2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0} \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}+\partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\left(s-U_{0}^{2}+4 a(s) U_{0}+3 a(s)\right) & =0 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For the function $U_{1}, U_{2}$ there are linear nonuniform systems of equations. The first from the equations on $U_{1}$ has the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{1}+\left(a(s)+U_{0}\right) \partial_{\varphi} U_{1}+\partial_{\varphi} U_{0} U_{1}=-3 f^{\prime} n^{\prime} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{0} \\
\quad+\left(2 s-U_{0}\right) \frac{\partial_{\varphi} U_{0} n^{\prime}+\partial_{s} U_{0}}{f^{\prime}}-3 \partial_{s}\left(f^{\prime} \partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0}\right)-\frac{U_{0}}{f^{\prime}} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is denoted here:

$$
a(s)=\frac{5 f}{2 f^{\prime}}-2 s .
$$

If we exclude the expression $\partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{0}$ from (3.5), we obtain an equation of the second order for the function $U_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0}+\frac{1}{2} U_{0}^{2}+a(s) U_{0}+3 a(s)^{2}-\frac{s+3 a(s)}{2}=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (3.7) can be once integrated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime} \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} U_{0}^{3}+a(s) U_{0}^{2}+\left(6 a^{2}-3 a-s\right) U_{0}+b(s)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b(s)$ is an arbitrary function (constant of integration).
Further it is suggested not to explicitly write the solution $U_{0}$, but simply consider, that it is some $2 \pi$ periodic function, satisfying the equation (3.8). Subject to this equation, we can write all derivatives from $U_{0}$ as rational-fractional expressions in terms:

$$
U_{0}, \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}, \partial_{s} U_{0}, \partial_{s}^{2} U_{0}, \ldots
$$

The equations on $U_{1}$ take the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{1}+\left(a(s)+U_{0}\right) \partial_{\varphi} U_{1}+\partial_{\varphi} U_{0} U_{1}=\frac{F_{1}\left(U_{0}, \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}, \partial_{s} U_{0}, a, a^{\prime}, n^{\prime}, s\right)}{f} \\
6 a(s)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{1}-2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{1} \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}+\partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0} \partial_{\varphi} U_{1}\right)+\partial_{\varphi} U_{1}\left(s-U_{0}^{2}+4 a U_{0}+3 a\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
+2 \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\left(2 a-U_{0}\right) U_{1}=\frac{F_{2}\left(U_{0}, \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}, \partial_{s} U_{0}, a, b, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, n^{\prime}, s\right)}{f \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are polynomial functions of their arguments. Excluding from the system (3.9) sequentially higher derivatives $U_{1}$ by the variable $\varphi$, we obtain the correlation, which does not contain the function $U_{1}$ which is the condition of compatibility of this system:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(3(2 s+a)\left(-2 s-24 a+3+36 a^{2}\right) a^{\prime}+(4 s+2 a) b^{\prime}+6 s a-4 s-27 a+108 a^{2}-6 b\right. \\
\left.-108 a^{3}\right) U_{0}+3(2 s+a)\left(-72 a^{3}+54 a^{2}-9 a+12 s a+4 b-3 s\right) a^{\prime}+3(4 a-1)(2 s+a) b^{\prime}  \tag{3.10}\\
+45 a^{2}-36 s a^{2}+216 a^{4}+15 b-198 a^{3}+15 s a-48 a b=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Whereas the equality (3.10) should hold identically, the coefficients with different degrees of $U_{0}$ are equal to 0 , consequently, we obtain an equation for $a(s), b(s)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =\frac{(2 a-1)\left(-288 a^{3}+192 a^{2}+24 s a-27 a-4 s+4 b\right)}{(2 s+a)\left(-576 a^{3}+504 a^{2}-126 a+48 s a+8 b-12 s+9\right)}  \tag{3.11}\\
b^{\prime} & =\left(3 s-54 a^{2}+36 a-9 / 2\right) a^{\prime}+\frac{-6 s a+4 s-108 a^{2}+27 a+6 b+108 a^{3}}{4 s+2 a}
\end{align*}
$$

The system (3.9) is compatible if and only if $a(s)$ and $b(s)$ are defined from the equations (3.11). If this condition holds, then all derivatives by $\varphi$ from $U_{1}$ which are higher than the second order, can be expressed by lower derivatives, for example:

$$
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{1}=-\left(U_{0}+a\right) U_{1}+\left(n^{\prime}+\partial_{s} U_{0} / \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\right) G_{1}\left(U_{0}, a, s\right) / s+G_{2}\left(U_{0}, a, b, s\right) / f / \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}
$$

where $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are some functions.
The equations on $U_{2}$ take the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{2}+\left(a(s)+U_{0}\right) \partial_{\varphi} U_{2}+\partial_{\varphi} U_{0} U_{2}=\frac{F_{3}}{f} \\
& 6 a(s)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{2}-2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{2} \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}+\partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0} \partial_{\varphi} U_{2}\right)+\partial_{\varphi} U_{2}\left(s-U_{0}^{2}+4 a U_{0}+3 a\right)  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad+2 \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\left(2 a-U_{0}\right) U_{2}=\frac{F_{4}}{f \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $F_{3}, F_{4}$ are functions, depending on previous corrections.
Excluding from these equations consequently derivatives of the function $U_{2}$, we obtain correlation of the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{\varphi s} U_{1}-\frac{\partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0}}{\partial_{\varphi} U_{0}} \partial_{s} U_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0} \partial_{s} U_{0}}{\left(\partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{G_{3}\left(U_{0}, a, b\right)}{\left(f \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\right)^{2}\left(12 a+2 U_{0}-3\right)}\right) \partial_{\varphi} U_{1} \\
-\left(\frac{\partial_{\varphi}^{3} U_{0} \partial_{s} U_{0}}{\left(\partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\right)^{2}}-\frac{G_{4}\left(U_{0}, a, b\right)}{\left(f \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\right)^{2}\left(12 a+2 U_{0}-3\right)}\right) U_{1}=G_{5}\left(U_{0}, a, b, n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiating this equation by $\varphi$, we obtain correlation of the same form, excluding from these two equations $\partial_{\varphi s} U_{1}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\varphi} U_{1}=\frac{\partial_{\varphi}^{2} U_{0}}{\partial_{\varphi} U_{0}} U_{1}+\frac{n^{\prime \prime} G_{6}(s, a, b, f)+n^{\prime} G_{7}(s, a, b, f)}{\partial_{\varphi} U_{0}}+G_{8}\left(\partial_{s}^{3} U_{0}, \partial_{s}^{2} U_{0}, \partial_{s} U_{0}, \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}, U_{0}, a, b, f, s\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting it into the equation (3.13), we obtain the correlation of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\varphi} U_{0}\left(n^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{1} n^{\prime \prime}+A_{2} n^{\prime}\right)+\partial_{s}^{3} U_{0}+B_{1} \partial_{s}^{2} U_{0} \partial_{s} U_{0}+B_{2} \partial_{s}^{2} U_{0}+ \\
& B_{3}\left(\partial_{s} U_{0}\right)^{3}+B_{4}\left(\partial_{s} U_{0}\right)^{2}+B_{5} \partial_{s} U_{0}+B_{6}=0, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A_{i}=A_{i}(s, f, a, b), \quad B_{i}=B_{i}\left(U_{0}, s, f, a, b\right)
$$

are some functions.
If we do not limit generality, we can consider the function $U_{0}$ even by $\varphi$. Then, in (3.15) the first part is odd, the second in even by $\varphi$. Consequently, we immediately obtain from (3.15) two equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
n^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{1} n^{\prime \prime}+A_{2} n^{\prime}=0  \tag{3.16}\\
\partial_{s}^{3} U_{0}+B_{1} \partial_{s}^{2} U_{0} \partial_{s} U_{0}+B_{2} \partial_{s}^{2} U_{0}+B_{3}\left(\partial_{s} U_{0}\right)^{3}+B_{4}\left(\partial_{s} U_{0}\right)^{2}+B_{5} \partial_{s} U_{0}+B_{6}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The general solution (3.16) takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(s)=C_{1}+C_{2} n_{1}(s)+C_{2} n_{2}(s) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $n_{1}, n_{2}$ are different from constant linearly independent solutions (3.16). If we apply numerical methods, we obtain:

$$
n(s)=\pi
$$

It is numerically demonstrated, that the difference between numerical and asymptotic solution reduces as $t^{-5 / 2}$ for this solution $n(s)$. The Figure 1 presents a numerical modelling of the solution $U(t, z)$ where $t=19$ and the dominant term of the asymptotic $U_{0}(\varphi, s)$.


Figure 1. Numerical modelling of the function $U(t, z)$ where $t=19$
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