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#### Abstract

In the present paper we consider the existence of unconditional exponential bases in a space of locally integrable functions on a bounded interval of the real number line $I$ satisfying $$
\|f\|:=\sqrt{\int_{I}|f(t)|^{2} e^{-2 h(t)} d t}<\infty
$$ where $h(t)$ is a convex function on this interval. The lower estimate was obtained for the frequency of indicators of unconditional bases of exponentials when $I=(-1 ; 1), h(t)=$ $-\alpha \ln (1-|t|), \alpha>0$. Keywords: series of exponents, unconditional bases, Riesz bases, power weights, Hilbert space.


## 1. Introduction

Let $I$ be a limited interval of a real axis, $h(t)$-a convex function on this interval and $L^{2}(I, h)$ a space of locally integrated functions on $I$, satisfying the condition

$$
\|f\|:=\sqrt{\int_{I}|f(t)|^{2} e^{-2 h(t)} d t}<\infty
$$

It is the Hilbert space with a scalar product

$$
(f, g)=\int_{I} f(t) \bar{g}(t) e^{-2 h(t)} d t
$$

The systems of element $\left\{e_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ in the Hilbert space is called an unconditional base (see [2]), if it is total and there are numbers $c, C>0$, such that for any group of numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ the following correlation holds true

$$
c \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} e_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{2} .
$$

It is known (see [3],[4]), that if the system $\left\{e_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is an unconditional base, then any element of the space $H$ can be only presented in the form of the following row

$$
x=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k} e_{k},
$$

and

$$
c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{2} .
$$

In the paper [12] there was introduced the following characteristic for continuous functions on the plane $u$, measuring deviation of the given function from harmonic functions. For the continuous

[^0]function $u$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and the positive number $p$ we will define the supremum of all such $r>0$ by $\tau(u, z, p)$, when the following condition holds true
$$
\inf \left\{\sup _{w \in B(z, r)}|u(w)-h(w)|, h \text { harmonic in } B(z, r)\right\} \leq p
$$

Let us define the circle of the radius $r$ in the point $z$ by $B(z, r)$. It results right from the definition, that if $\tau\left(u, z_{0}, p\right)=\infty$ for some point $z_{0}$, then $\tau(u, z, p) \equiv \infty$.

It results from Lemma 1.1 in paper [6], that in the case, when $u$ is a continuous subharmonic function, the value $\tau=\tau(u, \lambda, p)$ can be defined by the condition: if $H(z)$ is the lowest harmonic majorant of the function $u$ in the circle $B(\lambda, \tau)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \bar{B}(\lambda, \tau)}(H(z)-u(z))=2 p \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem (Theorem 2.1) was proved in paper [5]
Theorem 1. If the system $\left\{e^{z_{j} t}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an unconditional base in the space $L_{2}(I, h)$, then there is an entire function $L$ with simple zeros in the points $z_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots$, for which the following correlation holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{P} K(z) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|L(z)|^{2} K\left(z_{j}\right)}{\left|L^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left|z-z_{j}\right|^{2}} \leq P K(z), z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is some positive constant and $K(z)=\left\|e^{z t}\right\|^{2}$.
The function $\ln K(z)$ is subharmonic and continuous on all the plane.
In the continuation of the paper we will define the function $\tau(\ln K(w), z, \ln (5 P))$ by $\tau(z)$, where $P$ is a constant from the correlation (2). Hence,

$$
\inf _{h}\left\{\sup _{z \in \bar{B}(\lambda, \tau(\lambda))}|\ln K(z)-h(z)|, \mathrm{h} \text { is harmonic in } B(\lambda, \tau(\lambda))\right\}=\ln (5 P),
$$

The following theorem was proved in [7] (see Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and its corollary).
Theorem 2. Let the system $\left\{\exp \left(t z_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, make an unconditional base in the space $L_{2}(I, h)$. Then

1) in any circle $B(z, 2 \tau(z))$ there is at least one index $z_{i}$.
2) suppose $b=\frac{1}{20 P^{\frac{3}{2}}}$. Then for any $i, j, i \neq j$, the following inequality holds true

$$
\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right| \geq 2 b \max \left(\tau\left(z_{i}\right), \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)
$$

The first statement of this theorem limits the frequency of indexes $z_{k}$ below, and the second above. On the basis of these multidirectional estimates Theorem 5 was proved in paper [7], and being applied to the situation considered in the paper, it can be formulated the following way.

Theorem 3. Let $h(t)$ be a convex function on the interval $I=(-1,1)$ and

$$
\widetilde{h}(x)=\sup _{t \in I}(x t-h(t))
$$

be a function, conjugate to it by Jung. Let us assume, that $\widetilde{h} \in C^{2}(|x|>$ const ) and for any positive number $c$ the function $s(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{h}^{\prime \prime}(x)}}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\min _{y \in B(x, c s(x))} \widetilde{h}^{\prime \prime}(y)\right)\left(\max _{y \in B(x, c s(x))} \widetilde{h}^{\prime \prime}(y)\right)^{-1} \asymp 1,|x| \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there are no unconditional bases from exponents in the space $L_{2}(I, h)$.
The estimate of the function growth results from condition (3)

$$
\lim _{|x| \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{|x|-\widetilde{h}(x)}{\ln |x|}=+\infty
$$

which is equivalent to the correlation

$$
\lim _{|t| \longrightarrow 1} \frac{h(t)}{-\ln (1-|t|)}=+\infty
$$

or for any $\alpha>0$

$$
(1-|t|)^{\alpha}=O\left(e^{h(t)}\right),|t| \longrightarrow 1
$$

In this paper we consider a problem of unconditional bases from exponents in spaces with not more than power weights, i.e. according to the condition, that for some $\alpha>0$

$$
e^{h(t)}=O\left((1-|t|)^{\alpha}\right),|t| \longrightarrow 1
$$

As model spaces we will consider the spaces $L_{2}(I, h)$ when $I=(-1 ; 1), h(t)=-\alpha \ln (1-|t|)$ for $\alpha>0$, which we will define by $L_{2}(\alpha)$.

We are going to prove the following, more precise estimate of unconditional bases frequency below.
Theorem 4. Let the system $\left\{e^{z_{k} t}\right\}$ make an unconditional base in the space $L_{2}(\alpha)$. Then there are numbers $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(\alpha) \in(0,1)$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{2}(\alpha)>0, M=M(\alpha)>0$, such that in case of sufficiently large $\left|x_{0}\right|$ for any $y_{0}$ in every rectangle $Q=\left\{z=x+i y: \delta_{1} x_{0} \leq x \leq \delta_{2} x_{0},\left|y-y_{0}\right| \leq M\left|x_{0}\right|\right\}$ and $-Q$ there is at least one index $z_{k}$.

The fact, that this estimate is more precise as to p. 1 of Theorem 2, results from the awareness, that the value $\tau(z)$ in these spaces is comparable with $|R e z|$. When $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$, the statement of this theorem is proved in paper [13] some other way.

## 2. Preparatory statements

The system of exponents $\left\{e^{\lambda t}\right\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is total in the space $L_{2}(I, h)$, therefore, the transform of Fourier-Laplace functionals $L: S \longrightarrow \widehat{S}(\lambda)$, defined by the formula

$$
\widehat{S}(\lambda)=S\left(e^{\lambda t}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}
$$

sets mutually single-valued correlation between the conjugated space $L_{2}^{*}(I, h)$ and some linear manifold of entire functions $\widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)$. In this linear manifold we will consider an induced structure of the Hilbert space. Namely, if functionals $S_{1}, S_{2} \in L_{2}^{*}(I, h)$ are generated by the functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in L_{2}(I, h)$, then we suppose

$$
\left(\widehat{S}_{1}(\lambda), \widehat{S}_{2}(\lambda)\right)_{\widehat{L}_{2}^{*}(I, h)}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)_{L_{2}(I, h)}
$$

It is easy to assure, that the function

$$
K(\lambda, z)=\int_{I} e^{\lambda t+\bar{z} t-2 h(t)} d t, \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

is a reproducing kernel in the space $\widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)$, i.e.

$$
(F(\lambda), K(\lambda, z))=F(z), F \in \widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)
$$

It was proved in paper [14], that in the space $\widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)$ the following equivalent norm can be introduced

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|F(x+i y)|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}(x)} \rho_{\widetilde{h}}(x) d \widetilde{h}^{\prime}(x) d y \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{h}(x)=\sup _{t \in I}(x t-h(t)), x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

is conjugated by Jung to the function $h(t)$, and the number $\rho=\rho_{\widetilde{h}}(x)$ is defined as a supremum for all $t>0$, for which

$$
\int_{x-t}^{x+t}\left|\widetilde{h}_{+}^{\prime}(y)-\widetilde{h}_{+}^{\prime}(x)\right| d y \leq 1
$$

It is shown in paper [5], that the norm of the space $\widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)$ can be also presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|F(x+i y)|^{2}}{K(x)} d \widetilde{h}^{\prime}(x) d y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(z)=K(z, z)$.
Whereas the Fourier-Laplace transform sets an isomorphism of the space $L_{2}^{*}(I, h)$ and $\widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)$, then the unconditional base of the exponent system $\left\{e^{t z_{k}}\right\}$ in the space $L_{2}(I, h)$ is equivalent to the
statement, that the set of indexes $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ is the set of uniqueness for the space $\widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)$, and for any function $F \in \widehat{L}_{2}(I, h)$ the following correlation holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|F\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{2}}{K\left(z_{k}\right)} \leq\|F\|^{2} \leq P \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|F\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{2}}{K\left(z_{k}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is some positive constant.
Let us calculate the introduced above characteristics for the space $L_{2}(\alpha)$.
Lemma 1. If

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{\alpha}(z)=\left\|e^{z t}\right\|_{L_{2}(\alpha)}^{2}=K_{\alpha}(z, z)=\int_{-1}^{1} e^{2 \text { Rezt }}(1-|t|)^{2 \alpha} d t, \\
h_{\alpha}(t)=-\alpha \ln (1-|t|), \rho_{\alpha}(x)=\rho_{\breve{h}_{\alpha}}(x), \quad \tau_{\alpha}(z, p)=\left(\ln K_{\alpha}(w), z, p\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)=|x|-\alpha \ln |x|+a_{\alpha},|x| \geq X(\alpha), \\
\tau_{\alpha}(z, p) \asymp|\operatorname{Re} z|+1,|\operatorname{Re} z| \longrightarrow \infty, \rho_{\alpha}(x)=\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{1}{2 \alpha+1}}} x, x>X(\alpha), \\
\ln K_{\alpha}(x)=2|x|-(2 \alpha+1) \ln |x|+b_{\alpha}+o(1),|x| \longrightarrow \infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
b_{\alpha}=\ln \frac{1}{2^{2 \alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{2 \alpha} d y
$$

Whereas the functions $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x), \rho_{\alpha}(x)$ are positive and contiguous, then, in particular, the following correlations hold true

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{\widetilde{\breve{h}}_{\alpha}(x)} \asymp e^{|x|-\alpha \ln (|x|+1)}, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(x) \asymp(|x|+1)^{-2}, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\rho_{\alpha}(x) \asymp(|x|+1), x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
K_{\alpha}(x) \asymp e^{2|x|-(2 \alpha+1) \ln (|x|+1)}, x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The function $K(x)$ is even, therefore, we will make calculations for $x>0$. The asymptotic representation for $\ln K_{\alpha}(x)$ results from the correlation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-1}^{1} e^{2 x t}(1-|t|)^{2 \alpha} d t=\int_{-1}^{0} e^{2 x t}(1+t)^{2 \alpha} d t+e^{2 x} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 x(1-t)}(1-t)^{2 \alpha} d t= \\
=O(1)+\frac{e^{2 x}}{(2 x)^{2 \alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{2 x} e^{-y} y^{2 \alpha} d y=\frac{e^{2 x+b_{\alpha}}}{x^{2 \alpha+1}}(1+o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

The function $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)$ for large $x$ is calculated by the definition. Expressions for $\tau_{\alpha}, \rho_{\alpha}$ were calculated in paper [13].

Lemma 2. For $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, M>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$via $Q\left(x_{0}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, M\right)$ we will define the rectangle

$$
Q=\left\{x+i y: \delta_{1} x_{0} \leq x \leq \delta_{2} x_{0},|y| \leq M x_{0}\right\}
$$

Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ we can find quite a low number of $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$, and quite large numbers of $\delta_{2}=\delta_{2}(\varepsilon)>0, M=M\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \varepsilon\right)>0$ so, that when $x_{0}>X\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \varepsilon\right)$ the following correlation will hold true

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C} \backslash Q\left(x_{0}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, M\right)}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha}(x) d \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x) d y \leq \varepsilon K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let us take positive $x_{0}$ and present the X-axis in the form of gaps integration

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=\left\{x: x>\delta_{2} x_{0}\right\}, I_{2}=\left\{x:-\delta_{1} x_{0} \leq x<\delta_{1} x_{0}\right\}, \\
I_{3}=\left\{x:-2 x_{0}<x<-\delta_{1} x_{0}\right\}, I_{4}=\left\{x: x \leq-2 x_{0}\right\}, \\
I=\left\{x: \delta_{1} x_{0} \leq x \leq \delta_{2} x_{0}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the supplement to the rectangle $Q\left(x_{0}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, M\right)$ will be expanded into two half-planes $Q_{1}=$ $I_{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $Q_{4}=I_{4} \times \mathbb{R}$, two vertical strips $Q_{2}=I_{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $Q_{3}=I_{3} \times \mathbb{R}$ and two semi-strips
$Q_{+}=I \times\left\{y>M x_{0}\right\}, Q_{-}=I \times\left\{y<-M x_{0}\right\}$. Note, that the function $K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)$ is the Fourier function transform $e^{\left(x+x_{0}\right) t-2 h_{\alpha}(t)}$ with fixed $x$ and, according to the Plancherel theorem

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d y=2 \pi \int_{-1}^{1} e^{2\left(x+x_{0}\right) t-4 h_{\alpha}(t)} d t .
$$

As it was proved in paper [15], for any convex function $u(t)$ the following correlation holds true

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} e^{y t-u(t)} d t \asymp \frac{e^{\widetilde{u}(y)}}{\rho_{\tilde{u}}(y)}, y \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Hence, according to Lemma 1 we have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d y \asymp \frac{e^{4 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x_{0}}{2}\right)}}{\rho_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x_{0}}{2}\right)} \asymp \frac{e^{4 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x_{0}}{2}\right)}}{\left(\left|x+x_{0}\right|+1\right)},
$$

therefore,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha}(x) d y \prec \frac{x_{0}(|x|+1) e^{\Psi \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x_{0}}{2}\right)-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)}}{\left|x+x_{0}\right|+1} K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

In the half-plane $Q_{1}$ we obtain the estimate when $\delta_{2} \longrightarrow \infty$ is uniform on $x_{0}$

$$
\int_{Q_{1}}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha}(x) d y d \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x) \prec K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right) \int_{\delta_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d y}{(y+1) y}=o\left(K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

If $\delta_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $|x| \leq \delta_{1} x_{0}$, then we have

$$
\frac{x_{0}(|x|+1) e^{4 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x_{0}}{2}\right)-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)}}{\left|x+x_{0}\right|+1} \prec \frac{x_{0}\left(x_{0}+1\right)^{2 \alpha}(|x|+1)^{2 \alpha+1}}{\left(\left|x+x_{0}\right|+1\right)^{4 \alpha+1}} \prec \frac{(|x|+1)^{2 \alpha+1}}{\left(\left|x+x_{0}\right|+1\right)^{2 \alpha}},
$$

therefore, in the strip $Q_{2}$ we deal with $\delta_{1} \longrightarrow 0$, which is uniform on $x_{0}>1$

$$
\int_{Q_{2}}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha}(x) d y d \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x) \prec \frac{K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{0}^{2 \alpha}} \int_{-\delta_{1} x_{0}}^{\delta_{1} x_{0}}(|x|+1)^{2 \alpha-1} d x=o\left(K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

For the fixed $\delta_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $-2 x_{0} \leq x \leq-\delta_{1} x_{0}$ we obtain

$$
\frac{x_{0}(|x|+1) e^{4 \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x_{0}}{2}\right)-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)-2 \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)}}{\left|x+x_{0}\right|+1} \prec e^{-2 \delta_{1} x_{0}}\left(x_{0}+1\right)^{4 \alpha+2},
$$

hence, in the strip $Q_{3}$ with $x_{0} \longrightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\int_{Q_{3}}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha}(x) d y d \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x) \prec K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right) e^{-2 \delta_{1} x_{0}}\left(x_{0}+1\right)^{4 \alpha+3}=o\left(K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

With the fixed $\delta_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $x \leq-2 x_{0}$ we have

$$
\frac{x_{0}(|x|+1) e^{4 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x_{0}}{2}\right)-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)}}{\left|x+x_{0}\right|+1} \prec e^{-4 x_{0}}\left(x_{0}+1\right)^{2 \alpha+1}(|x|+1)^{-2 \alpha},
$$

therefore, in the strip $Q_{4}$ with $x_{0} \longrightarrow \infty$ the following estimate holds true
$\int_{Q_{4}}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha}(x) d y d \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x) \prec K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right) e^{-4 x_{0}}\left(x_{0}+1\right)^{2 \alpha+1} \int_{2 x_{0}}^{+\infty}(|x|+1)^{-2 \alpha-2} d x=o\left(K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$.
If we choose $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ the right way, we can proceed to estimates of integrals on semi-strips $Q_{ \pm}$. For this we will apply the following representation for the reproducing kernel with $z=x+i y \neq w=x_{0}+i y_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\alpha}(z, w) & =\int_{-1}^{1} e^{z t+\bar{w} t-2 h_{\alpha}(t)} d t=\int_{-1}^{1} e^{2\left(x t-h_{\alpha}(t)\right)} d \frac{e^{(\bar{w}-\bar{z}) t}}{\bar{w}-\bar{z}}= \\
& =\frac{2}{\bar{w}-\bar{z}} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{z t+\bar{w} t-2 h_{\alpha}(t)}\left(x-h_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality, we obtain

$$
\left|K_{\alpha}(z, w)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{4}{|w-z|^{2}} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{2 x t-2 h_{\alpha}(t)}\left|x-h_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \cdot \int_{-1}^{1} e^{2 x_{0} t-2 h_{\alpha}(t)}\left|x_{0}-h_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t .
$$

The function $h_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)$ we change the sign only in the point $t=0$, therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-1}^{1} e^{2 x t-2 h_{\alpha}(t)}\left|x-h_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \leq \int_{-1}^{1} e^{2 x t-2 h_{\alpha}(t)}|x| d t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{2 x t} d e^{-2 h_{\alpha}(t)} \leq \\
\leq|x| K_{\alpha}(x)+1+x K_{\alpha}(x) \leq 3 K_{\alpha}(x)|x|
\end{gathered}
$$

when $K_{\alpha}(x) \geq 1$. It results from the latter two estimates, that

$$
\left|K_{\alpha}(z, w)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{36|x|\left|x_{0}\right|}{|w-z|^{2}} K_{\alpha}(x) K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

Hence, from the estimates in Lemma 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{Q_{+}}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha} d y d \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x) \leq \\
\leq 36 K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{0} \int_{I} \int_{M x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\left(\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+y^{2}\right)(x+1)^{2}} d y d x \prec \frac{1}{M} K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, choosing the number $M$ large enough, we can consider the integral on the strip $Q_{+}$sufficiently low. The same way we can estimate an integral on the semi-strip $Q_{-}$.
Lemma 2 has been proved. It is easy to see, that the following lemma has been proved the same way.
Lemma 3. For $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, M>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ via $Q\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, M\right)$ we will define the rectangle

$$
Q=\left\{x+i y: \delta_{1} x_{0} \leq x \leq \delta_{2} x_{0},\left|y-y_{0}\right| \leq M x_{0}\right\}
$$

Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ we can find quite a low number of $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$, and quite large numbers of $\delta_{2}=\delta_{2}(\varepsilon)>0, M=M\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \varepsilon\right)>0$ so, that when $x_{0}>X\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \varepsilon\right)$, the following correlation will hold true

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C} \backslash Q\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, M\right)}\left|K_{\alpha}\left(x+i y, x_{0}+i y_{0}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)} \rho_{\alpha}(x) d \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x) d y \leq \varepsilon K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right) .
$$

## 3. Low estimate of frequency indexes. Proof of Theorem 4

Let the system $\left\{\exp \left(z_{j} t\right)\right\}$ make an unconditional base in the space $L_{2}(\alpha)$. Then, as it was already pointed out in section 2, the system $K_{\alpha}\left(z, z_{j}\right)$ makes an unconditional base in the space $\widehat{L}_{2}(\alpha)$, i.e. for some $P$ correlation (6) holds true. In this correlation we can define the norm by formula (5). Let us take sufficiently low positive $\varepsilon$, the degree of infinitesimality we will define later. By this number $\varepsilon$, according to Lemma 3, we will find numbers $\delta_{1} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \delta_{2}$ and $M$, for which the statement of Lemma 3 holds true.

Assume, that for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, y_{0} \quad$ in the rectangle $Q:=Q\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \delta_{1}+\frac{1}{4}, \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{4}, M+\frac{1}{4}\right)$ there are no indexes $z_{j}$.

According to Lemma 1 , values $\tau_{\alpha}(z)$ and $\rho_{\alpha}(z)$ are comparable with $|\operatorname{Re} z|+1$. Considering item 1 of Theorem 2, we can assert, that there is a number $\sigma>0$, such that circles $B_{j}=B\left(z_{j}, \sigma\left(\left|\operatorname{Re} z_{j}\right|+1\right)\right)$ do not cross pairwise and lie outside the rectangle $Q$. According to the definition of the value $\tau_{\alpha}$ in every circle $B_{j}$ there is a harmonic function $H_{j}$, which stands by from the function $\ln K_{\alpha}$ for not more than $\ln (5 P)$. According to the properties of subharmonic functions, for any entire function $F$ the following inequality holds true

$$
\left|F\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 H_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\pi \sigma^{2}\left(\left|\operatorname{Re} z_{j}\right|+1\right)^{2}} \int_{B_{j}}|F(z)|^{2} e^{-2 H_{j}(z)} d m(z),
$$

where $d m(z)$ is the Lebesgue planar measure. Whereas in the circle $B_{j}\left|\operatorname{Re} z_{j}\right|+1 \asymp|\operatorname{Re} z|+1$, then

$$
\left|F\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{2} e^{-2 H_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)} \prec \int_{B_{j}} \frac{|F(x+i y)|^{2}}{K(x)(|x|+1)^{2}} d x d y \prec \int_{B_{j}} \frac{|F(x+i y)|^{2}}{K(x)} d \widetilde{h}^{\prime}(x) d y .
$$

Let us summarize these estimates by all $j$ :

$$
\sum_{j} \frac{\left|F\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{2}}{K\left(z_{j}\right)} \prec \int_{\mathbb{C} \backslash Q} \frac{|F(x+i y)|^{2}}{K(x)} d \widetilde{h}^{\prime}(x) d y
$$

We will apply this estimate to the function $F(z)=K_{\alpha}\left(z, x_{0}+i y_{0}\right)$. According to Lemma 3, we will obtain, that due to the choice of the rectangle size $Q$ the following inequality holds true

$$
\sum_{j} \frac{\left|F\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{2}}{K\left(z_{j}\right)} \leq \varepsilon K_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\varepsilon\left\|K_{\alpha}\left(z, x_{0}+i y_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} .
$$

If $\varepsilon<\frac{1}{P}$, then it contradicts condition (6).
Theorem 4 has been proved.
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