

## QUASI-ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS

A.YA. KHRYS TIYANYN, DZ.V. LUKIVSKA

**Abstract.** We study certain generalizations of elliptic functions, namely quasi-elliptic functions.

Let  $p = e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $q = e^{i\beta}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  function  $g$  is called quasi-elliptic if there exist  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ , such that  $g(u + \omega_1) = pg(u)$ ,  $g(u + \omega_2) = qg(u)$  for each  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ . In the case  $\alpha = \beta = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$  this is a classical theory of elliptic functions. A class of quasi-elliptic functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{QE}$ . We show that the class  $\mathcal{QE}$  is nontrivial. For this class of functions we construct analogues  $\wp_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\zeta_{\alpha\beta}$  of  $\wp$  and  $\zeta$  Weierstrass functions. Moreover, these analogues are in fact the generalizations of the classical  $\wp$  and  $\zeta$  functions in such a way that the latter can be found among the former by letting  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ . We also study an analogue of the Weierstrass  $\sigma$  function and establish connections between this function and  $\wp_{\alpha\beta}$  as well as  $\zeta_{\alpha\beta}$ .

Let  $q, p \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $|q| < 1$ . A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  function  $f$  is said to be  $p$ -loxodromic of multiplier  $q$  if for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$   $f(qz) = pf(z)$ . We obtain relations between quasi-elliptic and  $p$ -loxodromic functions.

**Keywords:** quasi-elliptic function, the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function, the Weierstrass  $\zeta$ -function, the Weierstrass  $\sigma$ -function,  $p$ -loxodromic function.

**Mathematics subject classification:** 30D30

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Denote  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  function  $g$  is called *elliptic* [1] if there exist  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$  and for each  $u \in \mathbb{C}$

$$g(u + \omega_1) = g(u), \quad g(u + \omega_2) = g(u).$$

The theory of elliptic functions was developed by K. Jacobi, N. Abel, A. Legendre, K. Weierstrass. The following definition was introduced by A. Kondratyuk.

**Definition 1.** [2] *A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  function  $f$  is said to be modulo-elliptic if there exist  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$  and for each  $u \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$|f(u + \omega_1)| = |f(u)|, \quad |f(u + \omega_2)| = |f(u)|.$$

Consider the first of these identities

$$|f(u + \omega_1)| = |f(u)|, \quad u \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1)$$

If  $f(u) \neq 0$  and  $f(u) \neq \infty$ , we can divide (1) by  $|f(u)|$  to obtain

$$\left| \frac{f(u + \omega_1)}{f(u)} \right| = 1. \quad (2)$$

The function  $g(u) = \frac{f(u + \omega_1)}{f(u)}$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It follows from (2) that the function  $g$  is holomorphic and bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$  except for a set of the zeros and poles of  $f$ . Since  $g$  is bounded, these points are removable, and relation (2) implies

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{C} : |g(u)| = 1.$$

By the Liouville theorem  $g$  is constant and the latter identity implies the existence of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g(u) = e^{i\alpha}$ . This means that

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{C} : f(u + \omega_1) = e^{i\alpha} f(u).$$

In the same way as above, we conclude that there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{C} : f(u + \omega_2) = e^{i\beta} f(u).$$

We consider separately the following cases:

- (i)  $\alpha = \beta = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ ;
- (ii)  $\alpha = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ ,  $\beta \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$  (or  $\alpha \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ ,  $\beta = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ );
- (iii)  $\alpha \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ ,  $\beta \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ .

In the first case we obtain the classical theory of elliptic functions including the famous Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function

$$\wp(u) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(u - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right), \quad \omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3)$$

The Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function is elliptic [1] with periods  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ . The representations for classical Weierstrass  $\zeta$  and  $\sigma$  functions are well-known [1], [3]:

$$\zeta(u) = \frac{1}{u} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{u - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{u}{\omega^2} \right), \quad \omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma(u) = u \prod_{\omega \neq 0} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{\omega} \right) e^{\frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^2}{2\omega^2}}, \quad \omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5)$$

We also observe that the following identities

$$\wp(u) = -\zeta'(u), \quad \zeta(u) = \frac{\sigma'(u)}{\sigma(u)}, \quad \wp(u) = -\left( \frac{\sigma'(u)}{\sigma(u)} \right)'$$

hold true. We note that each elliptic function can be represented by using (3), (4), (5) (see [3]). In other words, these functions play an important role in representations of elliptic functions.

In the second case we obtain so-called  $p$ -elliptic functions.

**Definition 2.** [4] Let  $p = e^{i\beta}$ . A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  function  $g$  is called  $p$ -elliptic if there exist  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $\text{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$  and for each  $u \in \mathbb{C}$

$$g(u + \omega_1) = g(u), \quad g(u + \omega_2) = pg(u).$$

This case was studied in [6].

The aim of this article is to consider the third case. This is a generalization of elliptic functions in some sense as the following definition says.

**Definition 3.** Let  $p = e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $q = e^{i\beta}$ . A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  function  $g$  is called quasi-elliptic if there exist  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\text{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ , such that for each  $u \in \mathbb{C}$

$$g(u + \omega_1) = pg(u), \quad g(u + \omega_2) = qg(u).$$

We denote the class of quasi-elliptic functions by  $\mathcal{QE}$ .

Let  $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{QE}$ , Definition 3 implies

$$g(u + \omega) = p^m q^n g(u).$$

If  $p = 1$  and  $q = 1$  in Definition 3, we obtain classic elliptic function. If  $p = 1$  or  $q = 1$  in Definition 3, we obtain  $p$ -elliptic function.

**Remark 1.** *There is one special case when Definition 3 still gives an elliptic function. Namely, if  $p = e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $q = e^{i\beta}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Q}$ , then*

$$f(u + l\omega_1) = f(u), \quad f(u + l\omega_2) = f(u),$$

where  $l$  is the least common denominator of  $\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}$  and  $\frac{\beta}{2\pi}$ .

Indeed, if  $\alpha = 2\pi\frac{a}{b}$ , using Definition 3, we have

$$f(u + l\omega_1) = f(u + (l-1)\omega_1)e^{i2\pi\frac{a}{b}} = \dots = f(u)e^{i2\pi\frac{al}{b}} = f(u).$$

The same conclusion can be made for  $\beta$ .

**Remark 2.** *The class  $\mathcal{QE}$  of quasi-elliptic functions is not trivial. For example, consider the function*

$$f(u) = \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \frac{e^{im\alpha} e^{in\beta}}{(u - \omega)^3}, \quad \omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6)$$

Consider a compact subset  $K$  from  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since ([1], [3])

$$\sum_{\omega \neq 0} \frac{1}{|\omega|^3} < +\infty, \quad (7)$$

we obtain that the series in the right hand side of (6), or at least its remainder, is uniformly convergent on  $K$ . Therefore  $f$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we have for each  $u \in \mathbb{C}$

$$f(u + \omega_1) = e^{i\alpha} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{i(m-1)\alpha} e^{in\beta}}{(u - (m-1)\omega_1 - n\omega_2)^3} = e^{i\alpha} f(u).$$

In the same way, for each  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  we obtain  $f(u + \omega_2) = e^{i\beta} f(u)$ .

Our main aim is to construct a quasi-elliptic function  $\wp_{\alpha\beta}$  being an analogue of  $\wp(u)$  and also to construct corresponding analogues of  $\zeta$  and  $\sigma$  functions.

## 2. GENERALIZATION OF THE WEIERSTRASS $\wp$ -FUNCTION

Let  $p = e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $q = e^{i\beta}$ . Consider the function

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(u - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) e^{i(m\alpha + n\beta)}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ ,  $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly, in view of (7), as in the case of the series from (6), we obtain that  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

It is obvious that,  $G_{00}$  coincides with the classical Weierstrass function  $\wp$ .

Consider the case  $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$  and  $\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , that is,  $p \neq 1$  and  $q \neq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *A function of the form*

$$\wp_{\alpha\beta}(u) = G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta},$$

where

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha}G_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right)}{e^{i\alpha} - 1} = \frac{G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) - e^{i\beta}G_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right)}{e^{i\beta} - 1}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{QE}$  with  $p = e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $q = e^{i\beta}$ .

*Proof.* Consider the function  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ . We shall show that there exists a unique constant  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  such that  $(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta}) \in \mathcal{QE}$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1) + C_{\alpha\beta} &= e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha\beta} + C_{\alpha\beta}), \\ G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha\beta} &= e^{i\beta}(G_{\alpha\beta} + C_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

These properties are called multi  $p$ -periodicity with the period  $\omega_1$  and multi  $q$ -periodicity with the period  $\omega_2$ , respectively.

Let us consider the derivative of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ :

$$G'_{\alpha\beta}(u) = -2 \sum_{\omega} \frac{e^{i(m\alpha+n\beta)}}{(u-\omega)^3}.$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} G'_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1) &= -2 \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{i(m\alpha+n\beta)}}{(u + \omega_1 - m\omega_1 - n\omega_2)^3} = -2 \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{i(m\alpha+n\beta)}}{(u - (m-1)\omega_1 - n\omega_2)^3} \\ &= -2e^{i\alpha} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{i((m-1)\alpha+n\beta)}}{(u - (m-1)\omega_1 - n\omega_2)^3} = e^{i\alpha}G'_{\alpha\beta}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$G'_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1) - e^{i\alpha}G'_{\alpha\beta}(u) = 0. \quad (9)$$

We note that for each  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ , the function  $(G_{\alpha\beta} + C)$  satisfies (9). Let

$$C = C_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha}G_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right)}{e^{i\alpha} - 1}. \quad (10)$$

Then relation (9) implies

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1) + C_{\alpha\beta} - e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha\beta} + C_{\alpha\beta}) = A,$$

where  $A$  is a constant. If we let  $u = -\frac{\omega_1}{2}$ , it is easy to obtain that

$$G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha}G_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) + (1 - e^{i\alpha})C_{\alpha\beta} = A.$$

Taking into consideration the choice of  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  by formula (10), we get  $A = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1) + C_{\alpha\beta} = e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha\beta} + C_{\alpha\beta}), \quad (11)$$

that is, we have shown that the function  $(G_{\alpha\beta} + C_{\alpha\beta})$  is multi  $p$ -periodic of period  $\omega_1$ .

It remains to prove the uniqueness of  $C_{\alpha\beta}$ . Suppose that there exists a constant  $C$  different from  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  such that the function  $(G_{\alpha\beta} + C)$  is multi  $p$ -periodic of period  $\omega_1$ , too. Then we get

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1) + C = e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C).$$

Deducting this identity from (11), we obtain

$$C - C_{\alpha\beta} = e^{i\alpha}(C - C_{\alpha\beta}).$$

Since  $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , we get  $C = C_{\alpha\beta}$ .

In the same way, for the period  $\omega_2$  we have

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha\beta} = e^{i\beta}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta}) + B, \quad (12)$$

where  $B$  is some constant.

Let us find  $B$ . Using identities (11) and (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1 + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha\beta} &= e^{i\beta}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1) + C_{\alpha\beta}) + B \\ &= e^{i\beta}(e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta})) + B \\ &= e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta}) + B \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_1 + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha\beta} &= e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= e^{i\alpha}(e^{i\beta}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta}) + B) \\ &= e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta}) + Be^{i\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the right hand sides of these relations, we get  $B = Be^{i\alpha}$ . Since  $\alpha \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , the previous identity implies that  $B = 0$ . Therefore,

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(u + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha\beta} = e^{i\beta}(G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta}).$$

Hence, the function  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  is multi  $p$ -periodic with the period  $\omega_1$  and is multi  $q$ -periodic with period  $\omega_2$ , respectively.

It is easy to see that  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  can be also expressed as

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) - e^{i\beta}G_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right)}{e^{i\beta} - 1}.$$

□

**Definition 4.** A function of the form

$$\wp_{\alpha\beta}(u) = G_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(u - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) e^{i(m\alpha + n\beta)} + C_{\alpha\beta},$$

where

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha}G_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right)}{e^{i\alpha} - 1} = \frac{G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) - e^{i\beta}G_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right)}{e^{i\beta} - 1}$$

is called the generalized Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function.

**Remark 3.** For the sake of completeness, in the case  $p = q = 1$ , in other words, as  $\alpha = \beta = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , we define  $C_{00} = 0$ . Then  $\wp_{00} = \wp$ .

### 3. GENERALIZATION OF WEIERSTRASS $\zeta$ AND $\sigma$ FUNCTIONS

Now we consider the function

$$\zeta_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{u} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{u - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{u}{\omega^2} \right) e^{i(m\alpha + n\beta)},$$

where  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ ,  $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$ ,  $m^2 + n^2 \neq 0$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Differentiating  $\zeta_{\alpha\beta}$ , we obtain  $G_{\alpha\beta}(u) = -\zeta'_{\alpha\beta}(u)$ . Hence,

$$\wp_{\alpha\beta}(u) = -\zeta'_{\alpha\beta}(u) + C_{\alpha\beta}.$$

We denote

$$\chi_{mn}(u) = \left( \frac{1}{u - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{u}{\omega^2} \right), \quad m^2 + n^2 \neq 0,$$

and

$$\chi_{00}(u) = \frac{1}{u}.$$

Then  $\zeta_{\alpha\beta}$  can be rewritten as

$$\zeta_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(m\alpha+n\beta)} \chi_{mn}(u). \tag{13}$$

We observe that  $\zeta_{00}$  coincides with the classical Weierstrass  $\zeta$  function.

By  $A^*$  we denote the plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with radial slits from  $\omega$  to  $\infty$ . Integrating  $\chi_{mn}$  and  $\chi_{00}$  along a path in  $A^*$  connecting the points 0 and  $u$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^u \chi_{mn}(t) dt = \log\left(1 - \frac{u}{\omega}\right) + \frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^2}{2\omega^2}, \quad m^2 + n^2 \neq 0 \tag{14}$$

and

$$\int_0^u \chi_{00}(t) dt = \log u. \tag{15}$$

We consider entire functions

$$\sigma_{mn}(u) = \left(1 - \frac{u}{\omega}\right) e^{\frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^2}{2\omega^2}}, \quad m^2 + n^2 \neq 0,$$

and we let

$$\sigma_{00}(u) = u.$$

Employing these functions, we can rewrite (14) as

$$\int_0^u \chi_{mn}(t) dt = \log \sigma_{mn}(u), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Differentiating this identity and using the definitions of  $\chi_{00}$  and  $\sigma_{00}$ , we get

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z} : \quad \chi_{mn}(u) = \frac{\sigma'_{mn}(u)}{\sigma_{mn}(u)}.$$

Taking into consideration this representation for  $\chi_{mn}$ , we rewrite (13) as

$$\zeta_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(m\alpha+n\beta)} \frac{\sigma'_{mn}(u)}{\sigma_{mn}(u)}.$$

Hence,  $\wp_{\alpha\beta}$  can be rewritten as

$$\wp_{\alpha\beta}(u) = C_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(m\alpha+n\beta)} \frac{(\sigma'_{mn}(u))^2 - \sigma''_{mn}(u)\sigma_{mn}(u)}{\sigma_{mn}^2(u)}.$$

We note that if we consider the product  $\prod_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_{mn}(u)$ , then we obtain the Weierstrass  $\sigma$ -function.

#### 4. CONNECTION BETWEEN $p$ -LOXODROMIC AND QUASI-ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS

Let  $q, p \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $|q| < 1$ .

**Definition 5.** [5] *A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  function  $f$  is said to be  $p$ -loxodromic with the multiplier  $q$  if  $f(qz) = pf(z)$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .*

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{qp}$  the class of  $p$ -loxodromic functions with the multiplier  $q$ .

The case  $p = 1$  was studied earlier in the works of O. Rausenberger [7], G. Valiron [8] and Y. Hellegouarch [1]. In this case the function  $f$  is called loxodromic.

Let  $a_1 = e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}}$ ,  $a_2 = e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}}$  and  $f_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{a_1 q}$ ,  $f_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{a_2 p}$ . Then

$$f_1(a_1 z) = q f_1(z), \quad f_2(a_2 z) = p f_2(z).$$

We define

$$g(u) := f_1(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_1}}) f_2(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_2}}).$$

Then  $g \in \mathcal{QE}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g(u + \omega_1) &= f_1\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_1}}\right) f_2\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_2}} e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}}\right) \\ &= f_1\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_1}}\right) f_2\left(a_2 e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_2}}\right) \\ &= p f_1\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_1}}\right) f_2\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_2}}\right) = p g(u), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(u + \omega_2) &= f_1\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_1}} e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}}\right) f_2\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_2}}\right) \\ &= f_1\left(a_1 e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_1}}\right) f_2\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_2}}\right) \\ &= q f_1\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_1}}\right) f_2\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{\omega_2}}\right) = q g(u). \end{aligned}$$

Vice versa, let  $g \in \mathcal{QE}$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $q \neq 1$ , that is

$$g(u + \omega_1) = g(u), \quad g(u + \omega_2) = q g(u).$$

We denote

$$f(z) := g\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2i\pi} \log z\right). \quad (16)$$

The function  $f$  is well-defined since  $g$  admits the period  $\omega_1$  and therefore, the substitution of  $\log z$  by  $\log z + 2\pi i k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  does not change the value of  $g$  in the right hand side of (16). In other words, here the composition of a multivalent mapping with a univalent one is a univalent function. Hence, if we let  $a = e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}}$ ,  $\text{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(az) &= g\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2i\pi} \log(az)\right) = g\left(\omega_2 + \frac{\omega_1}{2i\pi} \log z\right) \\ &= q g\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2i\pi} \log z\right) = q f(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{aq}$ . The case  $p \neq 1$ ,  $q = 1$  is similar. We let

$$f(z) := g\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2i\pi} \log z\right)$$

and  $a = e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f(az) &= g\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2i\pi} \log(az)\right) = g\left(\omega_1 + \frac{\omega_2}{2i\pi} \log z\right) \\ &= p g\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2i\pi} \log z\right) = p f(z). \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $p \neq 1$ ,  $q \neq 1$  the functions  $g\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2i\pi} \log z\right)$  are multivalent,  $k = 1, 2$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Y. Hellegouarch. *Invitation to the Mathematics of Fermat-Wiles*. Academic Press, San Diego (2002).
2. V.S. Khoroshchak, A.A. Kondratyuk. *Some steps to nonlinear analysis* // in Book of Abstracts of “XVIII-th Conference on analytic functions and related topics”. Chełm, Poland. 38–39 (2016).
3. A. Hurwitz, R. Courant. *Function theory*. Nauka, Moscow (1968). (in Russian).
4. V.S. Khoroshchak, A.A. Kondratyuk. *Generalization of the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function* // in Book of Abstracts of Ukrainian Scientific Conference “Modern problems of probability theory and mathematical analysis”, Vorokhta, Ukraine, 93 (2016) (in Ukrainian).
5. V.S. Khoroshchak, A.Ya. Khrystianyn, D.V. Lukivska. *A class of Julia exceptional functions* // Carpathian Math. Publ. **8**:1, 172–180 (2016).
6. A.A. Kondratyuk, V.S. Khoroshchak, D.V. Lukivska.  *$p$ -Elliptic functions* // Visnyk Lviv Univ. Ser. Mech. Math. **81**, 121–129 (2016).
7. O. Rausenberger. *Lehrbuch der Theorie der Periodischen Functionen Einer variabeln*. // Druck und Verlag von B.G.Teubner, Leipzig (1884).
8. G. Valiron. *Cours d'Analyse mathématique: Théorie des fonctions*. Masson, Paris. (1948).

Andriy Yaroslavovych Khrystianyn,  
Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,  
Universytetska str., 1,  
79000, Lviv, Ukraine  
E-mail: [khrystianyn@ukr.net](mailto:khrystianyn@ukr.net)

Dzvenyslava Volodymyrivna Lukivska,  
Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,  
Universytetska str., 1,  
79000, Lviv, Ukraine  
E-mail: [d.lukivska@gmail.com](mailto:d.lukivska@gmail.com)